**PART 1 - GUIDELINES FOR SCORING WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS**

**Moot Court Competition**

**SCORE SHEETS**

**I Version**

Instructions: Please score each team. The maximum Score for each Criterion is 25 Points.

You should estimate the quality of a written submission by giving any number of points between 0-25. There are 4 different assessment criteria to score each weighting 25%:

| Team number: | Round: □ 1st round □ 2nd round |
| --- | --- |

| Assessment Criteria  Each is 25 % weighting | POOR | AVERAGE | GOOD | EXCELLENT | SCORE |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Command of the issues | 0 - 6 | 7-12 | 13-18 | 19-25 | **/25** |
| 2. Argumentation | 0 - 6 | 7-12 | 13-18 | 19-25 | **/25** |
| 3. Legal Analysis | 0 - 6 | 7-12 | 13-18 | 19-25 | **/25** |
| 4. Style | 0 - 6 | 7-12 | 13-18 | 19-25 | **/25** |
| **Overall Team Score** |  |  |  |  | **/100** |

| JUDGE  NAME: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | JUDGE  SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| --- | --- |

**Instructions:**

**1. Command of the Issues**

* Recognition of legal issues
* Display of general knowledge related to legal issues
* Weighting of legal issues

**2. Argumentation**

* Logic, reasoning, ingenuity, persuasiveness of arguments

**3. Legal Analysis**

* Identification of the applicable treaties/law
* Identification of the applicable jurisprudence
* Application of the treaties/law to the facts
* Analysis of the applicable treaties/laws to the facts

**4. Style**

* Organisation of legal argument
* Ability to utilise legal language
* Correct grammar, spelling and referencing authorities
* Clarity of the system of citation

**Grades:**

**Poor** (0-6 points) **-** almost no [relevant topic] knowledge, no cases used

* the legal pleadings are not understandable
* not many references to books, case law etc.

**-Average** (7-12 points) **-** enough [relevant topic] knowledge

* the legal pleadings are understandable
* some references to books, case law etc.

**Good** (13-18 points) **-** good [relevant topic] knowledge and case law

* the legal pleadings are well organised, full understandable
* almost all relevant references are made

**Excellent** (19-25 points) **-** complete and excellent [relevant topic] knowledge

* very well-organised legal pleading
* complete convincing legal pleadings

**NOTES AND STATEMENTS OF THE PANELLIST (Verbal evaluation)**

* This contest is organised to improve students' skills to write a lawsuit, please write down the pros and cons of their work.

**II VERSION**

| Team number: | Round: |
| --- | --- |

| Assessment Criteria  Each is 25 % weighting | POOR | AVERAGE | GOOD | EXCELLENT | SCORE |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Formal requirements of the submission | 0 - 6 | 7-12 | 13-18 | 19-25 | **/30** |
| 2. Argumentation, Legal Analysis | 0 - 6 | 7-12 | 13-18 | 19-25 | **/30** |
| 3. Command of the issues | 0 - 5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | **/20** |
| 4. Style, grammar | 0 - 5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | **/20** |
| **Overall Team Score** |  |  |  |  | **/100** |

| JUDGE  NAME: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | JUDGE  SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| --- | --- |

**Instructions:**

**1. Formal requirements of the submission**

* Organisation of legal argument
* Ability to utilise legal language

*Rate all attributes (e.g. pre-litigation, addressing to the right court, correct number of copies, power of attorney, etc.)*

**2. Argumentation, Legal Analysis**

* Logic, reasoning, ingenuity, persuasiveness of arguments
* Identification of the applicable treaties/law
* Identification of the applicable jurisprudence
* Application of the treaties/law to the facts
* Analysis of the applicable treaties/laws to the facts

**3. Command of the issues**

* Recognition of legal issues
* Display of general knowledge related to legal issues
* Weighing of legal issues

**4. Style, Grammar**

* All "non-legal" particulars of the text
* Text Editing, clarity of the entire document
* Correct grammar and syntax, spelling and referencing authorities
* Clarity of the system of citation

*The evaluation should correspond to the overall quality of the text (e.g. one slight grammatical error is still OK, the whole text with errors will get a minimum score).*

**Grades:**

**Poor** (0-6 points) **-** almost no [relevant topic] knowledge, no cases used

* the legal pleadings are not understandable
* not many references to books, case law etc.

**Average** (7-12 points) **-** enough [relevant topic] knowledge

* the legal pleadings are understandable
* some references to books, case law etc.

**Good** (13-18 points) **-** good [relevant topic] knowledge and case law

* the legal pleadings are well organised, fully understandable
* almost all relevant references are made

**Excellent** (19-25 points) **-** complete and excellent [relevant topic] knowledge

* very well-organised legal pleading
* complete convincing legal pleadings
* all formal requirements and relevant references are made

**NOTES AND STATEMENTS OF THE PANELLIST (Verbal evaluation)**

* This contest is organised to improve students' skills to write a lawsuit, please write down the pros and cons of their work.