



11th ELSA Moot Court Competition

Final Report



The European Law Students' Association



Technical support:



Law. Tax



ADVOCATEN | AVOCATS | LAWYERS



Official Carrier

Contents

Contents.....	1
1. Foreword	3
2. Background, structure and timeline.....	6
2.1. About ELSA	6
2.1 The ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law.....	6
3. National Rounds	8
4. Asia Regional Round - Taipei, Taiwan.....	9
4.1. Introduction	9
4.2. Panelists	9
4.3. Participating Universities.....	9
4.4 Preliminary Round Scores.....	10
4.5. Semi-Final Scores	12
4.6. Individual Oral Pleading Scores	13
4.7. Awards and Prizes.....	18
4.8. Concluding Remarks.....	19
5. All-America Regional Round – San José, Costa Rica.....	20
5.1 Introduction	20
5.2. Panelists	20
5.3. Participating Universities.....	21
5.4. Preliminary Round Scores.....	21
5.5. Semi-Final Scores	23
5.6. Grand Final scores	24
5.7. Individual Oral Pleading scores.....	25
5.8. Awards and Prizes.....	32
5.9. Concluding Remarks.....	33
6. European Regional Round – Cluj-Napoca, Romania	34
6.1. Introduction	34

6.2. Panelists	34
6.3. Participating Universities.....	34
6.4 Preliminary Round Scores.....	35
6.5. Semi-Final Scores	36
6.6. Grand Final Scores.....	37
6.7. Individual Oral Pleading scores.....	37
6.8. Awards and Prizes.....	41
6.9. Concluding Remarks.....	42
7. Europe Regional Round – Porto, Portugal	43
7.1. Introduction	43
7.2. Panelists	43
7.3. Participating Universities.....	43
7.4. Preliminary Round Scores.....	44
7.5. Semi-Final Scores	45
7.6. Grand Final Scores.....	46
7.7. Individual Oral Pleading Scores	46
7.8. Awards and Prizes.....	51
7.9. Concluding Remarks.....	51
8. The Final Oral Round	53
8.1. Introduction	53
8.2. Panelists	53
8.3. Participating Universities.....	54
8.4. Preliminary Round Scores.....	55
8.5 Quarter Final Scores	57
8.6. Semi-Final Scores	58
8.7. Individual Oral Pleading Scores	59
8.8. Awards and Prizes.....	66
8.9. Career Panel	67
8.10. Concluding Remarks.....	68

1. Foreword

Dear Reader,

This Final Report of the 11th edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law finally concludes the overall competition 2012/2013.

The 11th edition was a special one for me. Due to some changes in the organizational part, I had to face the issue of finding a new Case Author, Regional Round organizers and to host the Final Oral Round myself. It was a challenge, but most of all a real pleasure to work together for the first time with the Asian Law Students' Association and ACODI in Costa Rica. The competition in this year was truly organized solely by law students for law students all around the globe. With the great support of Lothar Ehring a Case Author was found and the competition could finally be launched on the 30th of September.

An unbelievable number of 84 teams had registered until December. After the Written Submission Deadline in January 2013, my own personal world tour began. I was the first Vice President for Moot Court Competitions of ELSA to travel to each and every Regional Round taking place over the globe. In 4 weeks I travelled to Taipei, San José, Cluj-Napoca, Porto – each Round was unique and special. Each organizer had put their outmost efforts in hosting the event and I truly enjoyed all of them and especially working together with law students in each part of the world. At this point I need to thank 3 individuals – Jan Bohanes, Prof Lei Zhang and Prof Ewing Chow who helped me until the very end to find panelists for the Regional Rounds.

The Final Oral Round was organized for the first time in a long period again solely by the International Board of ELSA, in particular by the Vice President for Moot Court Competitions, together with an International Organising Committee. The structure of the organisation of the Final Round was changed in order to drastically reduce the participation fees for each participant and for being able to set a stable basis for the future organization of the Final Round being constantly in Geneva.

For the first time 20 teams were invited to plead in front of WTO academics in Geneva itself. Mostly, I would like to thank the Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies Geneva for providing us with their Villas where the pleadings took place and a huge organizational support! In the

end, it was a pleasure for me to see the teams interacting with each other and participants from each region of the world in the Quarter-vFinals. I would like to congratulate the Pontificia University Javeriana from Colombia for an outstanding performance in the Grand Final and for being the Winners' of this years' edition! I would also like to congratulate each and every participant – I hope they could gather valuable contacts, inputs and memories.

I would like to thank the Case Author Prof Dr Herrmann for creating a unique and very challenging Case, the Case Review Board for helping the latter, the Academic Board for their academic support, the panelists for sitting in endless pleading sessions, the Organizing Committees all around the world, the International Organising Committee of the Final Round and the participants without whom the competition would not have been possible!

Very special thanks go to my International Board – Bartosz, Alpo, Anette, Anders, Vasco, Federica, Dena. You have listened to my endless ideas and supported me in good or bad times along the way. You are a true family for me and I am thankful for everything!

I would also like to thank our Corporate Partner, CMS and our Human Rights Partner, the Council of Europe.

Big thanks goes to the long-term sponsors who provide the teams with prizes and educational support: The World Trade Institute, ALTIUS law firm, the University of Barcelona's Master Programme on International Economic Law and Policy (IELPO), Globe Law and Business, Swiss Airlines as well as the National and Regional Round sponsors.

Special thanks go to the technical supporter of the competition, the WTO, in particular Marisa Goldstein and Gabrielle Marceau. They have put all their efforts in supporting me with the competition. I would like to thank all the WTO individuals who have regained their faith in ELSA and to support the competition in future as well.

I hope and believe that the ELSA Moot Court will reach out to Africa and the Middle East in order to bring law students in every corner of the world closer to WTO law. I hope that the participants could gain valuable assistance and motivation to strive for a career in international trade law.

Personally, I started my ELSA career with being a participant myself in the 8th edition 2009/2010 and now I have been the organizer myself of the global

wide competition. The ELSA Moot Court has changed my life and I am truly thankful for each individual who has followed me along this path!

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Corinna Mückenheim', with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the right.

Corinna Mückenheim
Vice President for Moot Court Competitions
ELSA International 2012/2013

2. Background, structure and timeline

2.1. About ELSA

The European Law Students' Association (ELSA), founded on the 4th of May 1981 is an international, independent, non-political and non-profit-making organization run by and for law students and young lawyers. After 32 years of existence it is the world's largest independent law students' association with 38.000 members in 42 countries.

2.1 The ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law

2.1.1. General

The ELSA Moot Court on WTO law took place for the first time in 2003 in Geneva. After 11 years it has expanded to National Rounds and several Regional Rounds in North-, Latin-America, Asia-Pacific and Europe. ELSA chose WTO law due to the growth in global trade law and to educate law students and young lawyers in this particular field of law. Currently, ELSA is working on expanding the competition also to the Middle-East and Africa.

2.1.2. Structure

The ELSA Moot Court consists of 2 phases. Firstly, teams have to deliver Written Submissions on the issued Case for both the Complainant and the Respondent. Secondly, the teams have to participate in one of the allocated Regional Rounds (see rules) in one of the ELSA member states, Asia-Pacific, North-, Latin-America or the International Written Round. The best 4 teams of each Regional Round were qualified for the Final Oral Round. The teams have to plead on each side during the Preliminary Rounds. The best 4 teams of each Regional Round were qualified for the Semi-Finals. The best 2 teams pleaded against each other in the Grand Final. In the Final Oral Round the best 8 teams were qualified from the Preliminary Rounds to participate in the Quarter-Finals additionally.

2.1.3. The 11th edition

The Moot problem of the 11th edition was written by Prof Dr Herrmann of the University of Passau, Germany. On the 30th of September 2012 the Case was published and thus, the new edition launched. Teams were allowed to send in Clarification Questions to the Case Author until the 30th of November 2012.

One team per university could register 2-4 team members until the 16th of December 2012. In total 84 teams had registered until that date. Until the 23rd of January teams had to send in the Written Submissions.

In February 2013, ELSA Ukraine organized a National Round in Ukraine.

In March the Regional Rounds took place in Taipei, Taiwan organized by ALSA Taiwan, San José, Costa Rica organized by ACODI, in Cluj-Napoca, Romania organized by ELSA Cluj-Napoca and Porto, Portugal organized by ELSA FDUP.

The Final Oral Round took place from the 30th of April to the 5th of May in Geneva, Switzerland. For the first time, 20 teams were invited to plead in front of the highest WTO academics.

2.1.4. The Scoring System

The Written Submissions were assessed by 4 panelists for each team. All the scores were added and divided by 4 minus the penalty points according to the rules.

The Oral Pleadings were assessed as follows: in the Preliminary Rounds 3 panelists were sitting in each pleading. The Semi-Finals were 3-5 panelists and the Grand Final was 5-9 panelists. The Panel had to issue overall points for each team and besides that for each orator additionally.

In the Regional Rounds the Preliminary Scores were calculated as follows: 70% Oral Pleading scores and 30 % Written Submission scores. The Semi-Finals and Grand Final only consisted of oral pleading scores.

In the Final Oral Round only the Oral Pleading scores were calculated.

Each Panelist could give points from a range 0-100.

3. National Rounds

On the 23-24 February 2013 in the city of Lviv ELSA Ukraine organized the National Round of ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law.

Teams from four universities during two days were pleading against each other in order to distinguish those, who will proceed to the Regional Round of the EMCC. The competition was supported by the Media Partners <http://pravotoday.in.ua/> and <http://yur-gazeta.com/>. There were five panelists invited to judge the presentations of participants – practicing lawyers, professors and experts in WTO law, among which – Roberto Rios Herran (Appleton Luff Warsaw), who has been judging EMCC games for many times before, Nataliya Mykolska ("Sayenko Kharenko", Kyiv); Andriy Zablotskyy ("Volkov and partners", Kyiv), Nataliya Haletska (Legal Council at "Softserve", Lviv), Volodymyr Motyl (WTO Law Teacher at Ivan Frank National University of Lviv). It was a great pleasure for everybody to be involved in the great event of EMCC and have a chance to meet one of the best experts in WTO law in Ukraine. With their help two teams were qualified to the Regional Round – from National University of "Kyiv-Mohyla Academy" and Kyiv National Taras Shevchenko University.

After the National Round ELSA Lviv, together with the Ukrainian Bar Association, a panel discussion on WTO law with the participation of the National Round panelists, participants, other students and practicing lawyers was organized. The topics of Ukraine's involvement in the WTO and its obligations were discussed, as well as the efficiency of WTO dispute resolution mechanisms.

Altogether, the National Round of the EMC² in Ukraine was a very fruitful event, which gave to its participants a lot of motivation and inspiration.

Yana Ballod

Vice President Academic Activities
Head of the Organising Committee
ELSA Ukraine 2012/2013

4. Asia Regional Round - Taipei, Taiwan

4.1. Introduction

The competition took place in Taipei, Taiwan at the Soochow University from the 4th to the 8th of March 2013. The Head of the Organizing Committee was Ms Lenny Yang from the Asian Law Students' Association (ALSA) together with numerous helpers. The competition was sponsored by Tsar & Tsai Law Firm, Y.R. Lee & Partners and PalmForce Software Inc.

4.2. Panelists

- Chibole Wakoli – Legal officer, WTO
- Jan Bohanes – Advisory Centre on WTO law
- James Nedumpara – Professor at Jindal Global Law School, India
- Yun Zhao – Professor at the University of Hong Kong
- Henry Ghao – Professor at Singapore Management University School of Law
- Jaemin Lee – Professor at Hanyan University, Seoul, South Korea
- Julia-Ya Qin – Professor at Wayne State University, USA
- Chun Lee – Professor Soochow University Taiwan
- Tzu-wen Lee – Professor Soochow University Taiwan
- Stephen Tean – Attorney, Baker & McKenzie Taiwan
- Pi-jian Wu – Professor, Soochow University Taiwan
- Tsun-Tzu Hsu – Professor Soochow University, Taiwan

4.3. Participating Universities

- 030 – The University of Hong Kong, China
- 013 – The West Bengal National University of Juridical Science, India
- 031 – Amity Law School, Delhi, India
- 026 – Renmin University, China
- 069 – National Chiao-Tung University, Taiwan
- 043 – National Taiwan University, Taiwan
- 066 – Tsinghua University China
- 033 – Hidayatullah National Law University, Raipur, India
- 042 – Gujarat National Law University, India

- 062 – Xiamen University, China
- 056 – University of International Business and Economics, China
- 018 – National Law University Jodhpur, India
- 011 – School of Law, Christ University India
- 078 – University of Pelita Harapan, Indonesia
- 023 – Diplomatic Academy of Vietnam
- 081 – Shanghai Institute of Foreign Trade, China

4.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary round are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Written Submissions were assessed by 4 panellists for each team minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 panellists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS Compl ainant	WS Respon dent	Overall WS scores	OP Compl ainant	OP Respon dent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
030	81.25	77.5	79.375	88.1666 6667	83.5833 3333	85.875	83.925
013	69.5	77.125	73.3125	85.3333 3333	90.0833 3333	87.7083 33333	83.389 58333
031	88.5	71.125	79.8125	79	86.1666 6667	82.5833 3333	81.752 08333
026	85.625	81.75	83.6875	84.5	73.0833 3333	78.7916 6667	80.260 41667

ELSA Moot Court Competition
 2012/2013
 Final Report

069	83.25	66.625	74.9375	83.0833 3333	79.3333 3333	81.2083 33333	79.327 08333
043	84	83.5	83.75	81.0833 3333	72.5833 3333	76.8333 3333	78.908 3333
066	70.625	80.375	75.5	83	76.8333 3333	79.9166 66667	78.591 6667
033	76.75	64.5	70.625	79.5	73.9166 6667	76.7083 3333	74.883 33333
042	69.375	64.75	67.0625	75.6666 6667	80.5833 3333	78.125	74.806 25
062	80.75	86.125	83.4375	68.9444 4333	72.5	70.7222 2167	74.536 80517
056	81.25	69.5	75.375	67.0833 3333	77.75	72.4166 6667	73.304 16667
018	70	72.875	71.4375	85.9166 6667	60.9166 6667	73.4166 6667	72.822 91667
011	71.125	75.625	73.375	77.9166 6667	61.5833 3333	69.75	70.837 5

078	73.875	83.625	78.75	63.5833 3333	67.1666 6667	65.375	69.387 5
023	73.75	82.125	77.9375	61.5833 3333	59.9166 6667	60.75	65.906 25
081	64.35	63.625	63.9875	63	61.1111 1	62.0555 555	62.635 1385

4.5. Semi-Final Scores

In each Semi-Final 4 panellists were present and the results are the following:

4.5.1. Semi-Final 1

Team	Role	Scores
030	Complainant	86.94
031	Respondent	87.3

4.5.2. Semi-Final 2

Team	Role	Scores
026	Complainant	77.25

013	Respondent	70
-----	------------	----

4.5.3. Grand Final Scores

Team	Role	Scores
031	Complainant	86
026	Respondent	81.83333333

4.6. Individual Oral Pleading Scores

4.5.4.1. Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Scores	Respondent Scores	Overall Scores
013	Anviti Chaturvedi	87.25	90.83333333	89.04167
030	Ching Ha Natalie Lam	88.58333333	84.33333333	86.45833
031	Sambit Nanda	82.75	86.91666667	84.83333
030	Man Fung Ivan Leung	84.83333333	80.08333333	82.45833

069	Szu-Yi Lee	83.583333	80.333333	81.958333
013	Aanchal Dalal	80.0833333	82.25	81.16667
026	Dewei Cheng	87.5833333	71.8333333	79.70833
069	Chieh-Ju, Liao	80.6666667	78	79.33333
066	Nga Ki So	81.3333333	76.4166667	78.875
018	Vishal Sagar	89	68.5	78.75
042	Shweta Siriam	80.0833333	76	78.04167
043	Ming-Hsi Chu	82.5833333	71.9166667	77.25
042	Harshdeep Singh	67.5	86	76.75
031	Yuvraj Khanna	69.3333333	83.9166667	76.625
066	Xiaomeng Zhang	80.8333333	71.8333333	76.33333
026	Beiming Liu	80.1666667	72.4166667	76.29167

043	Kang-Hsuan Huang	78.75	73.25	76
033	Hansa Sinha	80.75	69.75	75.25
033	Srijan Sinha	76.4166667	72.9166667	74.66667
011	Shweta Nambiar	81	68	74.5
062	Limin Zhang	68	80.75	74.375
018	Anusha Ramesh	83	65.4166667	74.20833
042	Sagar Godbole	69	79.3333333	74.166667
043	Shan-Ying Suk	74.1666667	72.1666667	73.16667
011	Amruthavarshini	75.4166667	67.3333333	71.375
078	Livianca Sudjana	68.6666667	72.3333333	70.5
062	Yuanyuan Mo	67.3333333	63.9166667	65.625
078	Sofia Kartika Ayu	59.3333333	69	64.16667

081	YiMei Qiao	63.91666667	57.33333333	60.625
023	Anh Ngoc Vu	61.66666667	58.58333333	60.125
030	Ming Chung Ng	88.58333333	-	44.29167
030	Hei Tung Zita Chan	-	84.33333333	42.16667
056	Haoyang Yan	-	79.16666667	39.5833
056	Xiang Xu	-	75.33333333	37.66667
023	Hung Quang Le	-	71.75	35.875
056	Qing Hu	71.66666667	-	35.833333
056	Haibao Ruan	71.41666667	-	35.708333
078	Christopher Pribadi	69.66666667	-	34.833333
062	Bohuai Lin	-	68.41666667	34.20833
081	YiXuan Chen	66.83333333	-	33.41667

023	Thinh Duc Phung	-	59.3333333	29.66667
081	WenJing Shi	59	-	29.5
023	Giang Thu Nguyen	56.333333	-	28.16667
081	Jing Yin	-	56.3333333	28.16667

4.5.4.2. Semi-Finals

Team	Name	Score
031	Sambit Nanda	89,6875
030	Ching Ha Natalie Lam	83,25
026	Dewei Cheng	81,75
030	Man Fung Ivan Leung	81,6875
031	Yuvraj Khanna	80,625
013	Anviti Chaturvedi	78,625
026	Beiming Liu	73,25
013	Aanchal Dalal	71,375

4.5.4.2. Grand Final

Team	Name	Score
031	Sambit Nanda	88,72222
026	Dewei Cheng	84,333333
031	Yuvraj Khanna	81,166667
026	Beiming Liu	80,8666611

4.7. Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 031 Amity Law School Delhi, India
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Team 013, Anviti Chaturvedi
- Best Orator Semi-Finals: Team 031, Sambit Nanda
- Best Orator Grand Final: Team 031, Sambit Nanda
- Best Complainant Written Submission: Team 031, Amity Law School, Delhi, India
- Best Respondent Written Submission: Team 062, Xiamen University, China
- Best Overall Written Submission: Team 043, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

4.7.1. WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC², the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winner and the best Orator of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2013 or 2014 or in the WTI-CUHK Summer Programme on Intellectual Property in Hong Kong 2013/2014 or a combination of both or or a two MILE à la carte courses 2013/2014 or a partial tuition fee waiver for the MILE programme.

4.7.2. IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC², IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2013 or 2014 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

4.8. Concluding Remarks

This Asia-Pacific Round was very special since it was the first one organized in cooperation with the Asian Law Students' Association (ALSA), especially ALSA Taiwan. It was a great pleasure working with such a big, well-organized and always friendly Organising Committee, consisting of Yang Ya-Chu, Xu-Chen-Yu, Yu-Kung Wu, Yu-Yan Jung, Hui-Ping Wang, You-Jun Hsieh, Lin Hui-Tzu. They took well care of the panelists and participants and brought Taipei closer to all of us. Special thanks go also to the timekeepers who are essential for the competition to be in order! I would like to thank them for all their hard work, commitment and kind treatment.

Corinna Mückenheim

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions
ELSA International 2012/2013

5. All-America Regional Round – San José, Costa Rica

5.1 Introduction

The All American Regional Round took place from the 11th to the 16th of March in San José, Costa Rica. The pleadings were held in the Holiday Inn Hotel Escazu and the BLP Abogados law firm. The Head of the Organising Committee was Valeria Tiffer from the Asociacion Costarricense de Derecho Internacional (ACODI) together with numerous helpers.

5.2. Panelists

- Prof Mauricio Salas – Partner BLP Abogados, Professor University of Costa Rica
- Santiago Wills – Associate Lewin & Wills law firm Bogota, Colombia
- Jennifer Hillman – former WTO Appellate Body member
- Jaime Granados – Inter-American Development Bank
- Carlos Angulo – Associate BLPO Abogados
- Esteban Agüero – Associate Aguilar Castillo Love
- Luis Adolfo Fernández – Chief Legal Affairs Ministry of Foreign Trade, Costa Rica
- Ricardo Zúñiga – Director of Foreign Investment, Ministry of Foreign Trade, Costa Rica
- Gregory Spak – Partner White and Case Washington D.C., US
- Daniela Gómez Altamirano – Editorial Assistant to Prof. John H. Jackson for the Journal of International Economic Law at Georgetown University
- Jesse Nicol – WTO Appellate Body Secretariat
- Maria Carolina Pelaez – Professor Universidad the Costa Rica
- Alan Thompson – Partner Bufete Thompson & Asociados law firm, Costa Rica
- Velia Govaere – Ministry of Industry and Commerce, Costa Rica
- Sergio Navas – Professor at University Fundepos, Costa Rica
- Neftalí Garro – Partner BLP Abogados, Costa Rica
- Arlina Gómez – Ministry of Foreign Trade, Costa Rica
- Victor Murillo – Regional Attorney for Central America, Walmart

5.3. Participating Universities

North America

- Team 015 – Queen’s University, Canada
- Team 017 – University of Ottawa, Canada
- Team 020 – University of Missouri-Kansas City, US
- Team 036 – University of Kansas, US
- Team 054 – Harvard University, US
- Team 082 – American University, Washington College of Law, US

Latin America

- Team 001 – University Sergio Arboleda, Colombia
- Team 038 – University de los Andes, Colombia
- Team 040 – Pontificia University Javeriana, Colombia
- Team 053 – University Francisco Marroquin, Guatemala
- Team 060 – University Externado de Colombia, Colombia
- Team 070 – Pontificia University Catolica de Sao Paulo, Brazil
- Team 080 – University del Rosario, Colombia

5.4. Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary rounds are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Written Submissions were assessed by 4 Panelists for each team minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 Panelists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

5.4.1. North America

Team	WS Compl ainant	WS Respo ndent	Overall WS Scores	OP Compla inant	OP Respon dent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
054	84,625	91,875	88,25	96,25	94,75	95,5	93,325
082	91,5	85,875	88,6875	86,75	89,66667	88,208333	88,352 083

015	75,625	81,875	78,75	91.33333	87.33333	89.3333	86.158 333
036	79,625	84,25	81,9375	86,91666 67	86,41666 67	86,66667	85,247 9166
017	67,5	80	73,75	88,75	90,5	89,625	84,862 5
020	73,125	82	77,5625	76,5	84,25	80,375	79,531 25

5.4.2. Latin America

Team	WS Compla inant	WS Respo ndent	Overall WS Scores	OP Compla inant	OP Respon dent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP Scores
038	83,125	79,5	81,125	85,66667	91,75	88,70833	86,489 5833
040	77,75	71,875	74,8125	87,58333	84,3333	85,958333	82,614 5833
060	69	67	68	86,55	77,75	82,15	77,905
053	83,875	77,125	80,5	83,16666 7	62,33333	72,75	75,075
070	68,75	68,125	68,43785	73,25	76,91666 67	75,083333	73,089 58333
080	83,125	79,625	81,375	76,16666 7	60,16666 7	68,166667	72,129 1667
001	73,125	67,25	7,1875	65,33333	63,33333	64,3333	66,089 5833

5.5. Semi-Final Scores

5.5.1. North America

5.5.1.1. SF 1

Team	Role	Overall Score
036	Complainant	80,5
082	Respondent	84,0625

5.5.1.2. SF 2

Team	Role	Overall Score
054	Complainant	90,5625
015	Respondent	90,0625

5.5.2. Latin America

5.5.2.1. SF 1

Team	Role	Overall Score
040	Complainant	83,875
053	Respondent	74,6875

5.5.2.1. SF 2

Team	Role	Overall Score
038	Complainant	82,9375
060	Respondent	76,25

5.6. Grand Final scores

5.6.1. North America

Team	Role	Score
082	Complainant	77,958333
054	Respondent	81,298333

5.6.2. Latin America

Team	Role	Score
040	Complainant	88,58333
038	Respondent	90,16667

5.7. Individual Oral Pleading scores

5.7.1. Preliminary Round

5.7.1.1. North America

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
054	Al-Amyr Sumar	96,91667	95,8333	96,375
054	Colette van der Ven	90,41667	94,5833	92,5
054	Derrick Sutter	90,75	93,5	92,125
082	Christine Casaceli	87,16667	90,6667	88,916667
036	Ryan Thornton	88,58333	86,4167	87,5
036	Bruno Simoes	86,16667	87	86,58333
017	Laura McLennan	85,83333	87	86,416667
082	Lindsay Heebner	85,41667	85,8333	85,625
017	Rhema Chi Jing Kang	86,3333	84	85,166667
020	Andrew Alexander	79,08333	86	82,5416667
020	Jason Schurke	78,5	75,1667	76,83333

ELSA Moot Court Competition
 2012/2013
 Final Report

015	Aarondeep Singh Bains	0	92,8333	46,416667
015	Ashook Menen Tekkurishii	92,5	0	45,25
017	Nicholas John William Sampson	0	92,5	46,25
015	Alexandra K W Shelley	0	91,9167	45,958333
017	Ella Aisach	91,75	0	45,875
036	Matthew O'Neill	90,5	0	45,25
015	Eric David Buist	90,25	0	45,125
082	Yue Heng Loke	0	89,0833	44,5416667
036	Jade Martin	0	87	43,5
020	Quentin Templeton	0	86,333	43,416667
082	Thomas Kirby	86,416667	0	43,208333
020	Chelsea Braun	78,6667	0	39,3333

5.7.1.2. Latin America

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
040	Nicolas Esguerra	91,25	85,917	88,58333
060	Samuel Trujillo	90,41667	79,917	85,166667
070	Heloisa Figueiredo Ferraça Vianna	81,08333	83,75	82,416667
060	Daniela Maria Rojas Garcia	84,16667	77,75	80,958333
080	Alejandro Jaramillo	77	63,167	70,08333
080	Simon Hernandez	75,416667	58,667	67,416667
001	Daniela Munoz Gonzalez	65,5	67	66,25
070	Barbara Castro	60,16667	71,417	65,7916667
001	Diego Andres Jimenez Alfonso	66,08333	62,083	64,08333
038	Paula Daniela Cala	0	91,75	45,875

ELSA Moot Court Competition
 2012/2013
 Final Report

038	Ana Maria Garces	0	91,333	45,66667
038	Nicolas Eduardo Ramos	86,16667	0	43,98333
038	Ana Carolina Ramirez	85,25	0	42,625
053	Javier Santizo Mansylla	83,6667	0	41,83333
040	Daniel Orduz	0	83,583	41,7916666 7
40	Miguel Andres Torres	82,916667	0	41,458333
053	Ana Rocia Monzon Woc	81,08333	0	40,5416667
070	Luis Fernando Asad	0	79,333	39,66667
070	Julia Antunes Mariani	66,16667	0	33,208333
053	Martin Andres Barillas Aragon	0	63,75	31,875
053	Esther Marbella Zea Bobadilla	0	62,5	31,25

5.7.2. *Semi-Finals*

5.7.2.1. North America

Team	Name	Score
054	Al-Amyr Sumar	95
015	Aarondeep Singh Bains	95
054	Colette van der Ven	92,3125
015	Alexandra K W Shelley	87,1875
082	Lindsay Heebner	86,375
054	Derrick Sutter	84,8125
036	Ryan Thornton	84,0625
082	Christine Casaceli	83,1875
082	Yue Heng Loke	81,5625

036	Bruno Simoes	81,4375
-----	--------------	---------

5.7.2.2. Latin America

Team	Name	Score
038	Nicolas Eduardo	87,4375
040	Nicolas Esguerra	86
040	Miguel Andres Torres	80,6875
060	Samuel Trujillo	74,875
060	Daniela Maria Rojas Garcia	74,875
038	Ana Carolina Ramirez	74,5
053	Esther Marbella Zea	73,5
053	Martin Andres Barillas Aragon	72,375

5.7.3 Grand Final

5.7.3.1. North America

Team	Name	Score
054	Al-Amyr Sumar	85,58333
054	Colette van der Ven	83,708333
082	Christine Casaceli	82,25
082	Lindsay Heebner	79,3333
054	Derrick Sutter	76,458333

5.7.3.2. Latin America

Team	Name	Score
040	Nicolas Esguerra	92,708333
038	Ana Maria Garces	90,5
38	Paula Daniela Cala	90,2833
040	Miguel Andres Torres	83,41667

5.8. Awards and Prizes

5.8.1. North America

- Winner North America: Team 054, Harvard University
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Al-Amyn Sumar, Team 054
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Al-Amyn Sumar, Team 054 and Aarondeep Singh Bains, Team 015
- Best Orator Grand Final: Al-Amyn Sumar
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 82, American University, Washington College of Law
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 054, Harvard University
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 82, American University, Washington College of Law

5.8.2. Latin America

- Winner Latin America: Team 038, University de los Andes, Colombia
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Nicolas Esguerra, Team 040
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Nicolas Eduardo, Team 038
- Best Orator Grand Final: Nicolas Esguerra, Team 040
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 053, University Francisco Marroquin, Guatemala
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 080, University del Rosario, Colombia
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 080, University del Rosario, Colombia

5.8.3 WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC², the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winner and the best Orator of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2013 or 2014 or in the WTI-CUHK Summer Programme on Intellectual Property in Hong Kong 2013/2014 or a combination of both or or a two MILE à la carte courses 2013/2014 or a partial tuition fee waiver for the MILE programme.

5.8.4. IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC2, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2013 or 2014 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

5.9. Concluding Remarks

This round was also a very special one since it was organized almost fully by former participants of the 10th edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition. I would like to thank ACODI for agreeing to host the competition and for bringing the wonderful country of Costa Rica closer to all of us. They were very professional, friendly and the timekeepers did a great job! I would like to thank as well all the Organising Committee members Fernanda Jiménez, Diana Jiménez, Elia Naranjo, Diego Soto, José Jaime Villalobos, Andrea Zumbado, Valeria Tiffer and especially Prof Salas for their motivation, enthusiasm and great contribution to the competition.

Corinna Mückenheim

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions
ELSA International 2012/2013

6. ELSA Regional Round – Cluj-Napoca, Romania

6.1. Introduction

The first ELSA Regional Round organized by the Local Group ELSA Cluj-Napoca took place in Cluj-Napoca, Romania from the 20th to the 24th of March 2013. The pleadings took place in the Grand Hotel Napoca, the Court in Cluj-Napoca and was sponsored by Babes-Boylai University - Faculty of Law. The Head of the Organizing Committee was Gabriel Tamas together with numerous helpers.

6.2. Panelists

- Diana Olar – Professor Universitatea Babes-Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
- Yen-Ching Joseph Chao – Third Secretary at the Taiwan Mission to the WTO
- Judith Czako – Legal Officer, WTO
- Gary Horlick – Partner Law Offices of Gary N. Horlick Washington D.C., US; Professor Georgetown University Law Center
- Ilmars Naglis – Assistant Professor Universitatea Babes-Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
- Delia Orabona – Legal Officer, ALTIUS law firm Brussels, Belgium
- Andrei Suse – Consultant Dentons’ Brussels office, Belgium

6.3. Participating Universities

- Team 006 – University of Berne, Switzerland
- Team 008 – Martin Luther University Halle, Germany
- Team 019 – Kutafin Moscow State Law Academy, Russia
- Team 039 – National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russia
- Team 044 – University of Szeged, Hungary
- Team 048 – Kyiv National Taras Shevchenko University, Ukraine
- Team 052 – University of Barcelona, Spain
- Team 055 – National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Faculty of Law, Greece
- Team 057 – Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania

- Team 058 – University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Team 064 – Tallinn University of Technology, Estonia
- Team 065 – London School of Economics, United Kingdom

6.4 Preliminary Round Scores

Team	WS Compla inant	WS Respo ndent	Overall WS Scores	OP Compla inant	OP Respon dent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
055	93,5	87	90,25	82,08333	94,16667	87,125	88,0625
048	63,625	81,5	72,5625	86,33333 3	86,75	86,79166 67	82,52229 166
006	82,75	88,5	85,625	82,33333	76,5	79,41666 7	81,27916 667
058	76,5	77,875	77,1875	80	85,5	82,75	81,08125
008	63	64,875	63,9375	82,66666 7	81,66666 7	82,16667	76,69791 667
065	84,375	87,75	86,0625	72,91666 7	70,5	71,70833 3	76,01458 33
019	79,75	39,625	59,6875	80,25	73,25	76,75	71,63125
057	61,875	62,875	62,375	67,66667	80,66667	74,16666 7	70,62916 6
064	63,5	67	65,25	71,33333	65,41666 7	68,375	67,4375

039	66,875	48,75	57,8125	83,33333 3	56,58333 3	70,20833 3	66,48958 33
052	72,625	74,875	73,75	69,58333	49	59,29111 66	63,62916 6
044	58,25	47,5	52,875	59,58333 3	74,5	67,04166 7	62,79166 67

6.5. Semi-Final Scores

5.5.1 SF 1

Team	Role	Overall Score
006	Complainant	92,25
055	Respondent	95,8333

5.5.2. SF 2

Team	Role	Overall Score
058	Complainant	85,58333
048	Respondent	85,33333

6.6. Grand Final Scores

Team	Role	Score
055	Complainant	93,916667
058	Respondent	85,208333

6.7. Individual Oral Pleading scores

6.7.1 Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
048	Olesia Kryvetska	87,25	88,16667	87,708333
055	Nikolaos Makrygiannis	82	92,08333	87,0416667
055	Aikaterini Charara	79,9166667	94,08333	87
055	Maria Kotsi	80,58333	89,58333	85,08333
058	Wanhui Ou	79,16667	88,916667	84,0416667
006	Rika Koch	86,333	81,25	83,7916667
048	Vitalii Danylchenko	80	84,3333	82,166667
019	Svetlana Shchipakova	85,166667	76	80,583333

057	Linas Vastakas	68	83,25	75,625
058	Daniel Mandrescu	72,3333	78,4166667	75,375
065	Caitlyn Conyers	74,25	71,5	72,875
039	Evgeniia Soloveva	85,3333	57,83333	71,58333
019	Ekaterina Orlova	68,916667	71,916667	70,4166667
039	Zarina Khetagurova	84,083333	56,58333	70,33333
065	James Douglas	71,3333	69,16667	70,25
039	Elena Tonenkova	77,83333	56,66667	67,25
064	Sandra Särav	65,58333	65,58333	65,58333
008	Kira-Leticia Sprick	86,75	0	43,375
048	Mariya-Krhystyna Koriy	83,3333	0	41,66667
044	Aron Paldeak	0	82,16667	41,08333
058	Nina Korestenbroek	81,75	0	40,875
008	Torben Knoedler	0	81,75	40,875

ELSA Moot Court Competition
 2012/2013
 Final Report

006	Mara Benita	81,25	0	40,625
008	Alice Bertram	0	81,08333	40,5416667
008	Marc Loesewitz	78,8333	0	39,416667
057	Vytautas Treigys	0	77,916667	38,958333
064	Rasmus Saviranta	74,08333	0	37,0416667
006	Gilles Voigt	0	72	36
064	Matti Siiteri	71,75	0	35,875
065	Kevin Crow	0	70,333	35,166667
044	Csilla Bartos	0	69,333	34,666667
052	Alexander Huitzi	69,08333	0	34,5416667
044	Noemi Agai	60,83333	0	30,4166667
044	Mate Szabo	58,416667	0	29,208333
052	Miguel Rubio	0	49,75	24,875
052	Pablo Quiles	0	49,5833	24,7916667

6.7.2. Semi-Finals

Team	Name	Score
055	Maria Kotsi	94,58333
055	Aikaterini Charara	94,3333
055	Nikolaos Makrygiannis	94,3333
006	Rika Koch	93,16667
006	Mara Wolf	92,25
058	Nina Korstenbroek	87,58333
058	Wanhui Ou	87,5
048	Olesia Kryvetska	85,58333
058	Daniel Mandrescu	82,25
048	Vitalii Danylechenko	82

6.7.3. Grand Final

Team	Name	Score
055	Nikolaos Makrygiannis	94,45833
055	Aikaterini Charara	94
058	Nina Korstenbroek	91,5
055	Maria Kotsi	91,416667
058	Wanhui OU	84,3333
058	Daniel Mandrescu	79,416667

6.8. Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 055, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Faculty of Law, Greece
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Olesia Kryvetska, Team 048
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Maria Kotsi, Team 055
- Best Orator Grand Final: Nikolaos Makrygiannis, Team 055
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 055, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Faculty of Law, Greece
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 006, University of Berne, Switzerland
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 055, National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Faculty of Law, Greece

6.8.1. WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC², the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winner and the best Orator of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2013 or 2014 or in the WTI-CUHK Summer Programme on Intellectual Property in Hong Kong 2013/2014 or a combination of both or or a two MILE à la carte courses 2013/2014 or a partial tuition fee waiver for the MILE programme.

6.8.2. IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC², IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2013 or 2014 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

6.9. Concluding Remarks

Remark from the Head of the Organising Committee:

“Hello, my name is Gabriel Tamas, I was head of the organising committee of one ELSA Regional Round which was held in Cluj-Napoca, Romania. It was a great experience organising this event for the 2nd time. I would like to thank everyone for helping ELSA Cluj-Napoca, because without your help it would not have been such a remarkable EMC².”

ELSA Cluj-Napoca organizes the Regional Round of the EMC² already for several and thus, the organization was well-structured due to years and years of experience. The OC consisted of many ELSA members who were professional, efficient and very friendly. The specialty was that every team had a team guide from the Organising Committee which was very beneficial. I would like to thank the OC and the timekeepers for a tremendous job and their hard work, especially: Gabriel Tamas, Balint Kovacs, Alexandra Borzan, Andrada Persu, David Boldut, Hajnal Daniel, Madalina Perte, Ioana Tataru! ELSA Cluj-Napoca proves to be very well-organized and I hope that they will consider hosting the event in future years again!

Corinna Mückenheim

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions
ELSA International 2012/2013

7. ELSA Regional Round – Porto, Portugal

7.1. Introduction

The last Regional Round of the 11th edition was organized by ELSA FDUP in Porto, Portugal from the 26th to the 30th of March 2013. The pleadings were held in the University of Porto, Faculty of Law. The Head of the Organising Committee was Liliana Silveira de Freitas together with numerous helpers.

7.2. Panelists

- Nathalie McNelis – Counsel VVGB Advocats Brussels, Belgium
- Shanda Gulzar Khan – Legal Affairs Officer, Permanent Mission of Pakistan to the WTO
- Michael Loizou – European Court of Justice
- Sofya Matteotti – Legal Research Fellow, World Trade Institute Bern, Switzerland
- Jasmin Hundorf – Consultant, United Nations Environment Programme
- Reto Malacrida – Legal Officer, WTO
- Professor Rolf Weber – Professor, University of Zurich, Switzerland
- Lukasz Gruszczynski – Institute of Law Studies of the Polish Academy of Sciences Warsaw, Poland

7.3. Participating Universities

- Team 007 – Westfaelische Wilhelms Universitaet Münster, Germany
- Team 028 – University of Leiden, The Netherlands
- Team 029 – Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies, Switzerland
- Team 032 – University of Geneva, Switzerland
- Team 035 – St. Petersburg State University, Russia
- Team 037 – Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium
- Team 051 – University of Southern Denmark, Denmark
- Team 059 – Tilburg, The Netherlands
- Team 071 – University of Helsinki, Finland
- Team 074 – Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- Team 076 – University of Warsaw, Poland

- Team 077 – National University of "Kyiv-Mohyla Academy", Ukraine
- Team 084 – Maastricht University, The Netherlands

7.4. Preliminary Round Scores

Team	WS Compl ainant	WS Respo ndent	Overall WS Scores	OP Compl ainant	OP Respon dent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
037	90,5	86,25	88,375	87,666 6667	86,75	87,208333	87,55833 33
029	80,75	78	79,375	90,916 6667	87,08333 3	89	86,1125
007	73,5	75,5	74,5	86,75	81,25	84	81,15
077	68	84,75	76,375	83,5	82,58333	83,041666 67	81,04166 67
032	88,5	64,625	76,5625	83,583 333	82,25	82,916666 7	81,01041 667
028	67,75	75,375	71,5625	79,5	82,91666 67	81,208333	78,31458 33
084	57,5	83,75	70,625	78,916 6667	81,16666 7	80,041666 67	77,21666 67
071	79,5	82,875	81,1875	74,75	75,91666 67	75,333333	77,08958 333
059	71,75	76,5	74,125	69,5	82,16666 7	75,833333	75,32083 333

051	87,625	66,75	77,1875	85	60,66666 7	72,833333	74,13958 333
035	64,75	54,5	59,625	69,983 333	75,08333 3	72,083333	68,34583 33
076	77,25	57,75	67,5	61,25	60,5	60,875	62,8625
074	51,75	24,625	38,1875	74,666 66667	66,41666 67	70,541666 67	60,83541 667

7.5. Semi-Final Scores

7.5.1. SF 1

Team	Role	Overall Score
029	Complainant	90,08333333
077	Respondent	83,416666667

7.5.2. SF 2

Team	Role	Overall Score
037	Complainant	84,5833333
007	Respondent	78,5

7.6. Grand Final Scores

Team	Role	Score
029	Complainant	86,0625
037	Respondent	87,28125

7.7. Individual Oral Pleading Scores

7.7.1. Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
029	Marina Mittelbach	92,5	86,25	89,375
037	Jan-Frederik Keustermans	86	87,5833333	86,79166667
028	Christopher Macera	87,5	85,3333333	86,41666667
077	Iulianna Romanchyshyna	84,3333333	87,25	85,791666667
029	Natsumi Oka	83,8333333	87,5	85,66666667
032	Aleksandra Czajka	87,0833333	83,5	85,291666667
037	Julie Vanhoenacker	86,0833333	82,3333333	84,20833333

071	Pauliina Katila	76,9166667	90,1666667	83,54166667
059	Arvind Rattan	72,25	86,9166667	79,5833333
074	Despoina Poutakidou	83,25	74,5833333	78,9166667
028	Antony Bartholomeusz	75,6666667	81,1666667	78,4166667
051	Hinna Anwar	84,25	68,6666667	76,5483333
059	Desirée Ramada	66,75	85,6666667	76,2083333
074	Ioannis Krekis	76,083333	74,75	75,4166667
076	Pawel Michalski	77,9166667	72,75	75,333333
032	Marius Tauchmann	78,9166667	71,5833333	75,25
032	Joonas Metsämäki	70,9166667	79,3333333	75,125
071	Louna Taskinen	68	73,333333	70,6666667
076	Marta Kownacka	51,4166667	49,333333	50,375
029	Anastasia Smirnova	93,0833333	0	46,54166666 7
084	Armin Lambertz	0	89,5833333	44,79166666 7

ELSA Moot Court Competition
 2012/2013
 Final Report

029	Nicolas Zahn	0	88,5	44,25
037	Claire Tobback	88,3333333	0	44,1666667
007	Maria Fernanda	88,0833333	0	44,04166666 7
084	Alexandra Esmel	87,08333333	0	43,54166666 7
007	Patrick Wasilczyk	86,41666667	0	43,20833333
037	Paulien Tanghe	0	86	43
084	Sandra Menke	82,91666667	0	41,4583333
051	Nanna Schaufuss	82,5	0	41,25
035	Alina Davletshina	0	81,75	40,875
077	Nataliia Chernenko	0	80,8333333	40,41666667
007	Lili Popov	0	80	40
007	Rika Bohmann	0	78,4166667	39,20833333
084	Stephanie Kohl	0	78,0833333	39,04166666 67
074	Eleni Melliou	73	0	36,5

059	S.I. van Harten	0	73	36,5
074	Adam Marios	0	69,4166667	34,7083333
071	Jenni Asikainen	64,5	0	32,25
051	Bo Hansen	0	61,3333333	30,6666667
076	Magdalena Chalas	57	0	28,5

7.7.2. Semi-Finals

Team	Name	Score
029	Marina Mittelbach	93,1666667
029	Anastasia Smirnova	89,25
077	Iulianna Romanchystyna	87
037	Claire Tobback	86,25
029	Natsumi Oka	81,9166667

077	Nataliia Chernenko	80,25
037	Jan-Frederik Keustermans	79,833333
007	Lili Popov	79,5
037	Julie Vanhoenacker	77
007	Rika Bohmann	75,75

7.7.3. Grand Final

Team	Name	Score
037	Jan-Frederik Keustermans	92
029	Anastasia Smirnova	89,90625
029	Marina Mittelbach	89,15625
037	Julie Vanhoenacker	85,34375
037	Paulien Tanghe	84,0625

029	Natsumi Oka	80,5
-----	-------------	------

7.8. Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 037, Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Team 029, Marina Mittelbach
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Team 029, Marina Mittelbach
- Best Orator Grand Final: Jan-Frederik Keustermans, Team 037
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 037
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 037
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 037, Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium

7.8.1. WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC2, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winner and the best Orator of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2013 or 2014 or in the WTI-CUHK Summer Programme on Intellectual Property in Hong Kong 2013/2014 or a combination of both or or a two MILE à la carte courses 2013/2014 or a partial tuition fee waiver for the MILE programme.

7.8.2. IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC², IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2013 or 2014 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

7.9. Concluding Remarks

ELSA FDUP was appointed for the first time to be the host of a Regional Round of the EMC². It was a pleasure working together with them in the breathtaking city of Porto. The Organising Committee and the timekeepers did their best to contribute to the competition. The specialty of this Regional Round was that one team was awarded with the ELSA Spirit trophée of being

the most social team. I would like to thank the OC for a wonderful last Regional Round of this years' edition!

Corinna Mückenheim

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions
ELSA Internaitonal 2012/2013

8. The Final Oral Round

8.1. Introduction

The Final Oral Round of the 11th edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law took place in Geneva, Switzerland from the 30th of April to the 5th of May 2013. The Preliminary Pleadings and Quarter Finals were held in the Graduate Institutes' breathtaking Villa Rigot and Villa Barton. The Semi-Finals took place in Room D and E in the WTO Headquarters. The Grand Final took place in the historical big conference room of the WTO.

8.2. Panelists

- Prof Herrmann – Professor, University of Passau, Germany
- Shandana Khan – Legal Affairs Officer, Permanent Mission of Pakistan to the WTO
- Santiago Wills – Associate Lewin & Wills law firm Bogota, Colombia
- Judith Czako - Legal Officer, WTO
- Chibole Wakoli – Legal officer, WTO
- Kevin Chun-Ming Chen – Legal Adviser, Taiwan Mission to the WTO
- Alejandro Sanchez – Counsellor, Advisory Centre on WTO law
- Alan Yanovich – Counsellor, WTO
- Reto Malacrida – Counsellor, WTO
- Claus D. Zimmermann – Associate Sidley Austin LLP Geneva, Switzerland
- Christina Schröder – former Senior Counsellor WTO
- Maria Pereyra – Counsellor WTO
- Victoria Donaldson – WTO Appellate Body Secretariat
- Ruosi Zhang – Counsellor WTO
- Philippe Vlaeminck – Partner ALTIUS, Brussels, Belgium
- Prof Cottier – Managing Director World Trade Institute, Bern, Switzerland
- Michelle Healy – Counsellor WTO
- Hannes Schloemann - Partner MSBH Bernzen Sonntag Lawyers, Switzerland
- Jan Bohanes – Counsellor, Advisory Centre on WTO law
- Jorge Castro – Counsellor WTO

- Jesse Kreier – Counsellor WTO
- Werner Zdouc – Director of the WTO Appellate Body Secretariat
- Marc Auboin – Counsellor WTO
- Juan Marchetti – Counsellor WTO
- Valerie Hughes – Director of the Legal Affairs Division of the WTO
- DG Alejandro Jara – Deputy Director General of the WTO
- Arancha Gonzalez - Chief of Staff of the oft he Director General Pascal Lamy

8.3. Participating Universities

- Team 030 – The University of Hong Kong, China
- Team 026 – Renmin University, China
- Team 031 – Amity Law School, Delhi, India
- Team 013 – The West Bengal National University of Juridical Science, India
- Team 054 – Harvard University, US
- Team 082 – American University, Washington College of Law, US
- Team 015 – Queen’s University, Canada
- Team 036 – University of Kansas, US
- Team 040 – Pontificia University Javeriana, Colombia
- Team 060 – University Externado de Colombia, Colombia
- Team 053 – University Francisco Marroquin, Guatemala
- Team 038 – University de los Andes, Colombia
- Team 006 – University of Berne, Switzerland
- Team 055 – National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece
- Team 058 – University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Team 048 – Kyiv National Taras Shevchenko University, Ukraine
- Team 037 – Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium
- Team 029 – Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies Geneva, Switzerland
- Team 007 – Westfälische Wilhelms University Münster, Germany
- Team 077 – National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy, Ukraine

8.4. Preliminary Round Scores

The Preliminary Round Scores calculate as follows: all points given by 3 panelists for each side were divided by 3. Complainant and Respondent were added and divided by 2. The maximum score to reach was 100.

Team	OP Complainant	OP Respondent	Overall OP Scores
038	97,75	89,58333	93,6666667
054	90,16667	97	93,583333
026	89,25	92,91667	91,0833333
013	87,33333	94,833333	91,0833333
029	89,75	88,91667	89,333333
053	87,25	91,33333	89,2916667
030	96,25	82,08333	89,16666667
040	88	86,16667	87,0833333
015	83,75	89,58333	86,6666667

ELSA Moot Court Competition
2012/2013
Final Report

055	91,58333	80,75	86,166667
082	84,41667	85,58333	85
031	85,33333	82,16667	83,75
077	90,33333	76,58333	83,4583333
037	87,25	76,5	81,875
036	73	89,41667	81,2083333
007	81,33333	75,41667	78,375
060	77,75	78,75	78,25
048	80,91667	75,16667	78,0416667
058	79,16667	71,16667	75,1666667
006	77,75	58,416667	68,0833333

8.5 Quarter Final Scores

8.5.1. QF 1

Team	Role	Score
040	Complainant	92,0833333
038	Respondent	83,4166667

8.5.2 QF 2

Team	Role	Score
030	Complainant	88,6666667
054	Respondent	86,6666667

8.5.3. QF 3

Team	Role	Score
053	Complainant	85,9166667
026	Respondent	82,75

8.5.4 QF 4

Team	Role	Score
029	Complainant	94,0833333
013	Respondent	95,5833333

8.6. Semi-Final Scores

8.6.1. SF 1

Team	Role	Score
030	Complainant	90,2
013	Respondent	83,75

8.6.2. SF 2

Team	Role	Score
040	Complainant	93,25
053	Respondent	90

8.6.3 Grand Final Scores

Team	Role	Score
040	Complainant	100
030	Respondent	96,5

8.7. Individual Oral Pleading Scores

The Individual score of each participant calculates as follows: scores of the complainant and respondent divided by 2. The maximum points to reach were 100. Each speaker had to plead on both sides and at least 10 minutes to be eligible for the best orator award.

8.7.1. Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
013	Anvitit Chaturvedi	90,8333333	96,4166667	93,625
054	Derrick Sutter	90,5	94,9166667	92,70833333
013	Aanchal Dalal	89,1666667	95,3333333	92,25
026	Dewei Cheng	93,8333333	89	91,4166667
054	Colette van der Ven	87,1666667	93,9166667	90,54166667
055	Maria Kotsi	90,1666667	89,9166667	90,04166667

029	Natsumi Oka	91,3333333	88,16666667	89,75
030	Ming Chung Ng	90,5833333	88,5	89,54166667
037	Jan-Frederik Keustermans	86,333333	91,0833333	88,70833333
040	Nicolas Esguerra	88,33333	88	88,166667
026	Beiming Liu	89,25	87	88,125
029	Marina Mittelbach	91,5833333	83,0833333	87,333333
082	Lindsay Heebner	86,5	86	86,25
031	Sambit Nanda	87,9166667	83,75	85,833333
037	Julie Vanhoenacker	83	87,9166667	85,4583333
036	Ryan Thornton	78,5833333	92,25	85,41666667
060	Samuel Trujillo	79,0833333	91	85,041666667
077	Natalia Chernenko	90,5	79,25	84,875
077	Iulianna Romanchyshyna	91,5	76,33333	83,91666667
055	Aikaterini Charara	90,583333	76,9166667	83,75

ELSA Moot Court Competition
2012/2013
Final Report

082	Christine Casaceli	84,666667	80,833333	82,75
055	Nikolaos Makrygiannis	91,583333	70,91666667	81,25
031	Yuvraj Khanna	84,25	76,91666667	80,5833333
058	Wanhui Ou	88	73	80,5
048	Vitalii Danylchenko	83,8333333	74,5	79,1666667
036	Bruno Simoes	69,833333	87,666667	78,75
048	Olesia Kryvetska	78,583333	74,5	76,54166667
058	Daniel Mandrescu	71,666667	66,25	68,9583333
038	Nicolas Eduardo Ramos	98,333333	0	49,16666667
054	Al-Amyr Sumar	0	98,333333	49,16666667
030	Ching Ha Natalie Lam	94,5	0	47,25
030	Man Fung Ivan Leung	93,9166667	0	46,9583333
038	Ana Carolina Ramirez	93,6666667	0	46,833333
053	Martin Andres Barillas Aragon	0	93,16666667	46,583333

ELSA Moot Court Competition
2012/2013
Final Report

037	Claire Tobback	93	0	46,5
053	Esther Marbella Yea Bobadilla	0	91,58333333	45,79166667
015	Aarondeep Singh Bains	0	91,58333333	45,79166667
038	Paula Daniela Cala	0	90,8333333	45,4166667
015	Alexandra K W Shelley	0	90,166667	45,08333333
029	Anastasia Smirnova	88,83333333	0	44,4166667
007	Maria Monteiro	88,83333333	0	44,4166667
053	Javier Santizo Mansylla	88,75	0	44,375
036	Jade Martin	0	88,6666667	44,33333333
038	Ana Maria Garces	0	88,5	44,25
029	Nicolas Zahn	0	88,08333333	44,04166667
082	Thomas Kirby	87,666667	0	43,8333333
037	Paulien Tanghe	0	86	43
015	Ashook Menen Tekkurishii	85,58333333	0	42,79166667

ELSA Moot Court Competition
 2012/2013
 Final Report

053	Ana Rocio Monzon Woc	84	0	42
030	Hei Tung Zita Chan	0	82,833333	41,4166667
040	Miguel Torres	82,5	0	41,25
082	Yue Heng Loke	0	81,583333	40,79166667
015	Eric David Buist	80,0833333	0	40,04166667
040	Daniel Orduz	0	79,91666667	39,9583333
006	Rika Koch	79,1666667	0	39,5833333
007	Lili Popov	0	78,833333	39,41666667
006	Mara Benita Wolf	78,5	0	39,25
060	Daniela Maria Rojas Garcia	0	77,583333	38,79166667
036	Matthew O'Neill	77,4166667	0	38,70833333
007	Patrick Wasilczyk	74,5833333	0	37,29166667
007	Rika Bohmann	0	71,5833333	35,79166667
040	Mariya-Khrystyna Koziy	0	69	34,5

006	Gabriela Wermelinger	0	61,9166667	30,95833333
006	Gilles Vogt	0	57,5	28,75

8.7.2. Quarter Final Scores

Team	Role	Score
013	Anviti Chaturvedi	97,583333
029	Marina Mittelbach	95,75
029	Anastasia Smirnova	95,1666667
029	Natsumi Oka	94,0833333
013	Aanchal Dalal	93,8333333
040	Nicolas Esguerra	93,666667
054	Al-Amyr Sumar	92
030	Ming Chung Ng	92
053	Javier Santizo	88,66667
053	Ana Rocia Monzon Woc	88
030	Ching Ha Lam Natalie	87,6666667

038	Ana Maria Garces	86,9166667
030	Man Fung Leung	86,66667
054	Colette van der Ven	86,33333
040	Miguel Torres	85
054	Derrick Sutter	85
026	Cheng Dewei	84,666667
038	Paula Daniela Cala	82,833333
026	Beiming Liu	82,833333

8.7.3. Semi-Final Scores

Team	Role	Score
040	Nicolas Esguerra	95,35
030	Man Fung Leung	91,5
053	Martin Barillas	89,9
030	Ching Ha Lam Natalie	89,65
040	Miguel Torres	86,95
053	Esther Zea	86,9

013	Anviti Chaturvedi	83,9
013	Aanchal Dalal	83,45

8.7.3. Grand Final Scores

Team	Role	Score
040	Nicolas Esguerra	100
030	Ching Ha Lam Natalie	95
030	Hei Tung Chan	92,5
040	Miguel Torres	92,5
030	Ming Chung Ng	87,5

8.8. Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 040, Pontificia University Javeriana, Colombia
- Runner-up: Team 030, University of Hong Kong, China
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Team 013, Anviti Chaturvedi
- Best Orator Semi-Finals: Nicolas Esguerra
- Best Orator Grand Final – Werner Zdouc Award - : Nicolas Esguerra
- Best Complainant Written Submission – Gabrielle Marceau Award - : Team 054, Harvard University, US
- Best Respondent Written Submission – Valerie Hughes Award - : Team 037, Catholic University Leuven, Belgium
- Best 3rd Overall Written Submission – WTO DG Award- : Team 026, Renmin University, China
- Best 2nd Overall Written Submission – WTO DG Award - : Team 037, Catholic University Leuven, Belgium

- Best Overall Written Submission – WTO DG Award - : Team 054, Harvard University, US

8.8.1. WTI Prize

One of the main sponsors of EMC2, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winner of the Final Oral Round: free participation (no course fees) for up to 5 weeks of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2013 or 2014. Two of the above five weeks could be redeemed in the WTI-CUHK Summer Programme on Intellectual Property in Hong Kong 2013 or 2014. Alternatively, one of the five weeks could be redeemed for a MILE à la carte course in the 2013/2014 academic year.

8.8.2. IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC2, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 80 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2013 or 2014 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

8.8.3. Globe Law and Business Prize

The firm Globe law and Business provided the book “World Trade Law in Practice” for free for the winning team.

8.8.4. White and Case Prize

The office of White and Case in Brussels offers all best orators an interview for a possible internship in their branch in Geneva or Washington.

8.8.5. WTO Prizes

The WTO provided material prizes to all participants who received an award.

8.9. Career Panel

On the 3rd of May, 5.30pm in room S1 in the WTO a very inspiring Career Panel took place. The WTO invited several individuals to further provide the participants of the competition with information about the international trading system and the roles of lawyers within it, their experiences working in international trade law and how to pursue a legal career in international trade law.

The following individuals provided a very diverse and interesting informative session to more than 80 students which was chaired by Ms Valerie Hughes from the WTO:

- **Thorsten Bartsch**, Head of Europe and Middle East Commercial Legal Group and Geneva Legal Office, Caterpillar Inc.
- **Pablo Bentes**, Director International Trade and Investment, Steptoe and Johnson, Washington DC
- **Mateo Ferrero**, Legal Affairs Officer, Appellate Body Secretariat, WTO
- **Alicia Greenidge**, President and CEO Summit Alliances International
- **Jorge Huerta Goldman**, Director TILPA –Trade and Investment Law
- **Cherise Valles**, Deputy Director Advisory Centre on WTO law
- **Shandana Khan**, Legal Advisor to the Pakistan Mission to the WTO

8.10. Concluding Remarks

The Final Oral Round was brought back to the WTO Headquarters in Geneva for the 11th edition. For the first time in a long period, the competition was organized again by ELSA International, in particular the Vice President for Moot Court Competitions, in cooperation with an International Organising Committee. For the first time, the participation fees were drastically reduced due to the fact that accommodation and meals were not provided anymore. For this reason, for the first time 20 teams could be invited to participate from each part of the world. I would like to thank the WTO, the IOC members, the hardworking timekeepers and the Graduate Institute and our sponsors for the big support they have provided! It was a real pleasure to work together with all of them and I hope that all participants and panelists were satisfied. It was a very inspiring week in Geneva!

Corinna Mückenheim

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions
ELSA International 2012/2013