



EUROPEAN  
HUMAN RIGHTS  
MOOT COURT  
COMPETITION

# FINAL REPORT

on the 3rd edition of the European Human Rights  
Moot Court Competition

Organised by the European Law Students'  
Association in cooperation with the Council of Europe

---

## SPONSORS



United Kingdom  
Delegation to the  
Council of Europe



PERMANENT MISSION OF NORWAY  
TO THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE

## Table of Contents

1. Foreword .....	2
2. Overview of the competition.....	4
3. The Written Round .....	5
4. The Final Round.....	9
4.1 Team Ranking .....	9
a) The Preliminary Rounds.....	9
b) Semi-Final.....	11
c) Grand Final.....	11
4.2 Speakers Ranking.....	12
a) Individual orator scores after the preliminary rounds.....	12
b) Individual orator scores overall.....	14
5. The Jury .....	17
6. The Organising Committee.....	19

## 1. Foreword

Dear Reader,

After first two very successful editions of the European Human Rights Moot Court Competition, it was time for the International ELSA Board of the term 2014/2015 to continue the project started in 2011 by, organizing the third edition. Thanks to the effort of previous ELSA officers and the cooperation with the Council of Europe the structure of the competition was already in place. Thanks to case authors from the European Human Rights Association, a very interesting case, tailored for this sort of competition, was also created. After launching the competition we focused on promoting the third edition towards students all over Europe, as well as recruiting judges both for scoring written submissions and for sitting in the jury during the Final Round in Strasbourg in February 2015. After the registration deadline in November 2014 we were happy to conclude that the success of the first two editions has continued with the third edition, as we had more than 100 teams signed up, from 31 different countries in Europe. We would like to extend a large thanks to all the jury members who contributed to scoring the enormous amount of written submissions we received. Many of the jury members were also recruited by the European Human Rights Association.

From the written submissions, the 16 best contributions were chosen, and we could announce the finalists of the Competition, and invite them to the Final Round at the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg. The Competition took place from the 23rd until the 25th of February 2015, with more than 60 participants in total from 12 different countries. The Final Round commenced with a nice Opening Ceremony at the residence of the United Kingdom Permanent Representative to the Council of Europe, hosted by Mr. Matthew Johnson, where the preliminary rounds draft was held. All pleadings took place at the European Court of Human Rights, and the Grand Final took place in the Salle d'Audience, the biggest court room in the building. We are very humble and grateful for having members of the Jury at the European Human Rights Court itself in the Jury of the Grand Final, alongside members of EHRA, lawyers at the Court and other distinguished people such as representatives from the permanent representations of Croatia, Malta and Norway and from the Council of Europe. The winners were announced by Judge Robert Spano from the ECHR, and awarded with the prizes by the Registrar of the European Court of Human Rights, Mr. Erik Fribergh. The Award Ceremony was hosted by Belgian Permanent Representation to conclude the Final Round.

The Council of Europe has been an enormous support from the very start of the discussion of establishing such a competition. We would like to especially thank Ms Edith Lejard, Ms Sophie Lobey and Ms Barbara Orkwiszewska for an incredible amount of help, assistance and guidance throughout our term, and for their enthusiastic support and participation during the Final Round. We are looking forward to continue the cooperation, and we are incredibly grateful to have such strong supporters of the competition.

The European Human Rights Moot Court Competition cannot take place without generous sponsors, and we would therefore like to express our gratitude towards the British, Norwegian and the Belgian Permanent Representations of the Council of Europe.

We are also very thankful for our cooperation with the European Human Rights Association, who not only provided us with this editions' case and the major part of the Jury, but also with help and guidance throughout the preparation process.

Lastly, I would like to thank my wonderful Organising Committee and Assistants, my very own hard working board and representatives of ELSA Strasbourg. Without their support throughout the year, as well as an immense effort during the Final Round in Strasbourg, this competition would not have been such a success. I am forever grateful for your help.

After receiving a large amount of positive feedback from jury members, participants and other stakeholders, we are now fully motivated to continue our work by preparing for the fourth edition of the competition together with the Council of Europe. I would like to thank once again everyone involved in the competition, as it has been a pleasure to work on this project for one year. Thanks to all those who participated, we were able to fulfil one of our main goals as an association, which is to increase the knowledge and awareness about human rights. With the experience from this edition and good input from our supporters and participants, we are now eager to implement small improvements in order to improve the competition further and hopefully contribute to a long lasting success.

Best wishes,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Tanja Smallegange', written in a cursive style.

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions  
ELSA International 2014/2015

## 2. Overview of the competition

The third edition of the European Human Rights Moot Court was launched on the 15<sup>th</sup> of August 2014. The clarification questions from the teams regarding the case were sent in and published during October and November, and the team registration was open until the 3<sup>rd</sup> of November 2014. The competition is twofold – firstly, there is a Europe-wide written phase in which the teams have to send in written submissions for the applicant and the respondent. The best 16 teams are chosen by Human Rights experts assessing the written submissions. From the 23<sup>rd</sup> to the 25<sup>th</sup> of February 2015 those 16 teams were invited to participate in the Final Round taking place at the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg, France. The teams had to plead on both sides of the case and the best 4 teams advanced to the Semi-Finals.

The Case, written by representatives of the European Human Rights Association, was dealing with the minority rights, notably LGBT right and their parental rights. Though being a fictitious case, the core of the case was based on real cases happening all over the world.

The case and all other important documents of the third edition can be found and downloaded on our website [www.humanrightsmootcourt.org](http://www.humanrightsmootcourt.org).

### 3. The Written Round

In order to qualify for the Final Round in Strasbourg at the European Court of Human Rights, the teams had to send in Written Submissions for both sides of the case: the applicant and the respondent. The Jury, consisting of Human Rights experts from all over Europe, was assessing those Written Submissions from December to January in order to find the best teams. Out of the 106 registered team, in total 57 sent their written submissions. In January the Jury had made their decision and the best 16 teams were chosen.

The following tabula indicates the scores for each team and thus, the ranking. The Overall Score is calculated as follows: (scores applicant + scores respondent – penalty points) divided by 2. The highest possible score to reach was 40 points, the lowest 0.

Ranking	Team number	University	Penalty Points	Scores for Applicant	Scores for Respondent	Total points
1	082	National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Faculty of Law, Greece	0	33,75	33	33,375
2	019	The Graduate Institute, International Law Department, Switzerland	0	31,25	34,5	32,875
3	007	Higher School of Economics, Faculty of Law, Russia	1,5	33,5	33	32,5
4	046	University of Essex, School of Law, United Kingdom	1	29,25	35,5	31,875
5	015	Maastricht University, Faculty of Law, The Netherlands	0,5	31,75	32,25	31,75
6	060	Queen Mary, University of London (School of Law), United Kingdom	1	29,25	34,25	31,25
7	045	Uppsala University, Faculty of Law, Sweden	0	30	32,5	31,25
8	023	Fatih University Law Faculty, Turkey	3,5	32,5	32,75	30,875
9	022	University of Zagreb, Faculty of Law, Croatia	1,5	26,25	35	29,875
10	067	West University of Timisoara, Romania	0,5	30,75	29	29,625
11	040	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece	0,5	28,25	29	28,375
12	011	Vienna University of Economics and Business, Department of Public Law	1,5	26,25	31,75	28,25

		and Tax Law, Austria				
<b>13</b>	003	University of Oslo, Faculty of Law, Norwegian Center for Human Rights, Norway	2,5	32	26,25	27,875
<b>14</b>	093	Tilburg University, Law School, The Netherlands	0,5	33,75	22,5	27,875
<b>15</b>	006	Universidad Pontificia Comillas - ICADE (Law Faculty), Spain	1	26,25	30,25	27,75
<b>16</b>	044	Democritus University of Thrace (DUTH), Greece	2	21	35,5	27,25
<b>17</b>	080	City University London, United Kingdom	0	31,5	22,75	27,125
<b>18</b>	004	Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Germany	0	22	31,5	26,75
<b>19</b>	039	University of Groningen, Faculty of Law, The Netherlands	0	34,5	18,75	26,625
<b>20</b>	017	University of Latvia, Faculty of Law, Latvia	2	21	34	26,5
<b>21</b>	020	Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO - University) of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Russia, Russia	0	32,5	20	26,25
<b>22</b>	104	City University London, School of Law, United Kingdom	4	30,75	23,75	25,25
<b>23</b>	026	University of Lodz, Poland	3	24,25	28,75	25
<b>24</b>	036	Aberdeen University, Faculty of Law, United Kingdom	3,5	26,75	25	24,125
<b>25</b>	034	Nicolaus Copernicus University, Faculty of Law and Administration, Poland	9,5	25,75	31,25	23,75
<b>26</b>	024	Comenius University in Bratislava Faculty of Law, Slovakia	2	23,25	24,25	22,75
<b>27</b>	043	University of Trento, Faculty of Law, Italy	7	30,5	21,5	22,5
<b>28</b>	077	City University, Faculty of Law, United Kingdom	0	19	25,75	22,375
<b>29</b>	086	University of Wrocław, Faculty of Law, Administration and Economics, Poland	5	17,5	31,25	21,875
<b>30</b>	021	University of Graz, Faculty of Law, Austria	0	18	25,5	21,75
<b>31</b>	097	University of Tirana, Law	3,5	22,75	24	21,625

Faculty , Albania						
<b>32</b>	054	University of Nis Law faculty, Serbia	9,5	24,5	27,75	21,375
<b>33</b>	035	Durham University Law School, United Kingdom	3,5	30,5	15	21
<b>34</b>	048	Ludwig - Maximilians - Universität München, Faculty of Law, Germany	0	19,75	20,25	20
<b>35</b>	049	Antalya International University, Law, School, Turkey	0	28,5	11,25	19,875
<b>36</b>	071	Armenian (Slavonic) University, Armenia	1,5	28	12,5	19,5
<b>37</b>	057	Alexandru Ioan Cuza University Iasi, Faculty of Law, Romania	2	20	20,43	19,215
<b>38</b>	037	University of Surrey, School of Law, United Kingdom	2	15	24,75	18,875
<b>39</b>	084	University of Kent, Kent Law School, United Kingdom	5	22	20,5	18,75
<b>40</b>	076	Gray's Inn (Education Department), United Kingdom	0	15,5	21,5	18,5
<b>41</b>	106	Adam Mickiewicz's University, Poland	2	14,5	24	18,25
<b>42</b>	030	Zaporizhzhya national university, Faculty of Law, Ukraine	1	8,75	28	17,875
<b>43</b>	055	National Research University "Higher School of Economics" Saint-Petersburg, Faculty of Law, Russia	0	14,25	20,5	17,375
<b>44</b>	042	Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Law, Czech Republic	8	25	17	17
<b>45</b>	066	La Sapienza University of Rome Faculty of Law, Italy	5	13,5	19	13,75
<b>46</b>	078	Waterford Institute of Technology, Ireland	1	9	19	13,5
<b>47</b>	032	Kutafin Moscow State Law University, The International Law Institute, Russia	1	15	12	13
<b>48</b>	069	Belarusian State University, Faculty of International Relations, Belarus	0	15,75	9,25	12,5
<b>49</b>	053	Jagiellonian University in Krakow, Poland	8	16,5	16,25	12,375



50	031	Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO - University) of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Russia, Russia	1	10,5	15	12,25
51	027	La Sapienza Giurisprudenza, Italy	1,5	20	3,25	10,875
52	058	University of Pisa, Law, Italy	1	3,75	17	9,875
53	063	Tbilisi State University, Faculty of Law, Georgia	8,5	20,5	7,5	9,75
54	107	University of Strasbourg, Faculty of Law, France	2	10	10	9
55	072	National university "Odesa law academy", Faculty of international legal relations, Ukraine	7,5	15	10	8,75
56	052	Univeristy of Pisa, Law Department, Italy	6	10	10	7
57	103	Kozminski University, Law, Poland	0	7	4,25	5,625

- **Best Written Submissions: Applicant**

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Faculty of Law, Greece: 33.75  
Tilburg University, Law School, Netherlands: 33.75

- **Best Written submission: Respondent**

University of Essex, School of Law, UK: 35.5  
Democritus University of Thrace (DUTH), Greece: 35.5

## 4. The Final Round

The best 16 teams chosen out of 106 registered teams had the unique opportunity to come to Strasbourg, France and plead at the European Court of Human Rights from the 23<sup>rd</sup> to the 25<sup>th</sup> of February 2015.

On the 23<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> the teams had to plead on both sides in the preliminary rounds. In each pleading 3 Jury Members were present. Out of all the given scores, the best 4 teams were announced and invited to participate in the Semi-Finals on the 25<sup>th</sup>. After long deliberations of the Jury, the Finalists, National and Kapodistrian University, Athens, Greece and University of Essex, School of Law, United Kingdom, have been chosen. In front of a Jury consisting of 9 Judges, the teams were pleading in the Salle d'Audiences, the biggest hearing room in the Court. During the Award Ceremony on the 25<sup>th</sup>, the winners, runner-up, best orator and best written submission were awarded by the prizes by the Registrar of the European Court of Human Rights, Mr. Erik Fribergh.

The following tabula shows the ranking of the teams after the first two preliminary rounds. The scores of the Written Submissions did not count, only the ones obtained during the oral pleadings.

### 4.1 Team Ranking

#### a) The Preliminary Rounds

In each pleading 3 Judges were assessing the teams. Each judge was assessing each based on four different individual criteria, all weighting 25%:

1. **COMMAND OF THE ISSUES:** Recognition, displaying, weighing and proper analysis of legal issues.
2. **ARGUMENTATION:** Logic, reasoning, persuasiveness of arguments; Ability to analogise with legal or general scenarios; Rebuttal/Sur-rebuttal is correctly utilised.
3. **LEGAL ANALYSIS:** Identification, knowledge, understanding, analysis of the applicable treaties/law and jurisprudence.
4. **STYLE:** Organisation and structure of arguments; Response to Panellist questions; Eloquence and clarity of presentation; Teamwork, time management.

The highest possible score to reach was 40 points, the lowest 0. Scores for applicant and scores for respondent are thus calculated by summing up the points for each criterion and then divided by 4. Overall Scores marks the sum up of the applicant and respondent scores divided by 2.

Ranking	Team	University	Scores Applicant	Scores Respondent	Overall Scores
1	082	National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Faculty of Law, Greece	35,33333333	31,91666667	33,625
2	067	West University of Timisoara, Romania	30,41666667	36,41666667	33,41666667
3	006	Universidad Pontificia Comillas - ICADE	33,08333333	31	32,04166667
4	046	University of Essex, School of Law, United Kingdom	31,75	30,91666667	31,33333333
5	015	Maastricht University, Faculty of Law, The Netherlands	34,25	26,75	30,5
6	003	University of Oslo	25,33333333	34,58333333	29,95833333
7	019	The Graduate Institute, Geneva, Switzerland	32,25	26	29,125
8	011	Vienna University of Economics and Business	31,91666667	23,83333333	27,875
9	022	University of Zagreb, Faculty of Law, Croatia	28,83333333	26,33333333	27,58333333
10	045	University of Uppsala, Faculty of Law, Sweden	32,08333333	21,75	26,91666667
11	060	Queen Mary, University of London, School of Law, United Kingdom	22,08333333	30,58333333	26,33333333
12	093	Tilburg University, Law School, The Netherlands	28	23,91666667	25,95833333
13	040	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece	26,33333333	21,83333333	24,08333333
14	044	Democritus University of Thrace (DUTH), Greece	23,25	17,25	21,08333333
15	007	Higher School of Economics, Faculty of Law, Russia	16,16666667	21,33333333	18,75
16	023	Fatih University, Law Faculty, Turkey	14,5	17,08333333	15,79166667

## b) Semi-Final

In each Semi-Final teams were assessed by 5 Judges. The assessment criteria are the same. The winner of each Semi-Final qualified for the Grand Final.

### Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Scores
067	West University of Timisoara, Romania	Applicant	31,3
046	University of Essex, School of Law, UK	Respondent	34,35

### Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Scores
082	National and Kapodistrian University Athens, Greece	Applicant	37,9
006	Universidad Pontificia Comillas - ICADE , Spain	Respondent	32,85

## c) Grand Final

In total 9 Judges were judging in the Grand Final. The assessment criteria are the same.

Team	University	Role	Scores
082	National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece	Applicant	30,25
046	University of Essex, School of Law, United Kingdom	Respondent	22,25

- **Winner** Team 082, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece
- **Runner-up** Team 046, University of Essex, School of Law, United Kingdom

## 4.2 Speakers Ranking

A minimum of two team members and a maximum of three team members presented their team's main oral pleading. Each orator had to speak for at least seven (7) minutes during the main pleading. If the orator spoke less than 7 minutes, even though (s)he was scored, the points were not taken into the account when calculating the scores for the Best orator award nor were they reflected in the ranking below.

### a) Individual orator scores after the preliminary rounds

Individual results after preliminary rounds (calculated on the basis of overall preliminary round average (sum of the scores the role of the applicant and respondent divided by 2).

Ranking	Team	Name	Scores
1	093	Fernanda Graeff Machry	33,04166667
2	006	Miriam Gutierrez Martin	32,75
3	082	Myrto Stavridi	32,29166667
4	006	Carlota Fernandez de la Cancela Sardina	30,66666667
5	022	Nika Petic	30,16666667
6	006	Teresa Checa Garcia-Recio	30
7	019	Vera Piovesan	29,08333333
8	019	David Westenfelder	28,875
9	045	Alexander Ottosson	27,79166667
10	019	Evin Durmaz	27,625
11	022	Tihana Tucakovic	26,83333333
12	093	Maxime van Gerven	25,83333333
13	040	Alexia-Nefeli Douma	25,16666667
14	045	Johannes Haglund	24,83333333
15	022	Martina Refi Homolak	24,375
16	007	Polina Gligen	22,08333333
17	045	Daniela Demirtas	21,83333333

18	067	Astrid Maria Bolea	18,58333333
19	015	Sahar Sverdlov	18,28333333
20	003	Daniela Kistler	18,08333333
21	067	Roxana Ioana Jinaru	16,91666667
22	082	Styliani Mavrommati	16,91666667
23	046	Marte Lund Johannessen	16,75
24	046	Dimitra Serafeimidi	16,66666667
25	011	Christian Inmenn	16,20833333
26	015	Theano Karanikioti	16,125
27	011	Daniela Bittner	15,45833333
28	003	Emilia Ramazanova	15,41666667
29	060	James Alao	15,41666667
30	046	Lisa O'Boyle	15,25
31	060	Phillippa White	15,20833333
32	067	Izabella Kadar	14,95833333
33	015	Sara Hadzic	14,75
34	046	Elena Maren Dietenberger	14,45833333
35	011	Marc-Phillip Tschernitz	14,33333333
36	067	Paul Suta	14,16666667
37	023	Muhammed Nurbaki Kasikci	14
38	046	Gohar Hakobyan	13,54166667
39	003	Alfonso Calcáneo Sánchez	12,83333333
40	040	Pinelopi Maria Brifa	12,33333333
41	015	Clara Decamps	12,125
42	011	Astrid Wurm	11,54166667
43	060	Juliette Guiot	11,375
44	003	Dorina Damsa	11,125
45	060	Genevieve King	11,04166667

46	007	Elena Cherkasova	11
47	040	Ioannis Petros	10,5
48	044	Eirini Koutsoukou	10,5
49	007	Bike Gyulmagomedova	10,08333333
50	044	Aikaterini Gkamagka	10,08333333
51	044	Evangelia Pappa	9,75
52	093	Adrian Luca Zacharias	9,5
53	007	Veniamin Geynbikhner	9,208333333
54	044	Foteinos Gaitanakis	7,875
55	023	Semra Bayik	7,083333333

#### b) Individual orator scores overall

This ranking represents overall scores, i.e. it is based on the following formula:

(Preliminary round Applicant and Respondent + Semi Final + Grand Final) divided by 4

Ranking	Team	Name	Scores
1	082	Myrto Stavridi	25,31791667
2	082	Dimitra Serafeimidi	21,24416667
3	046	Marte Lund Johannessen	20,255
4	006	Miriam Gutierrez Martin	16,625
5	006	Carlota Fernandez de la Cancela Sardina	15,89166667
6	046	Gohar Hakobyan	15,68541667
7	006	Teresa Checa Garcia-Recio	15,425
8	067	Astrid Maria Bolea	12,17083333
9	067	Izabella Kadar	11,66458333
10	093	Fernanda Graeff Machry	8,260416667
11	022	Nika Petic	7,541666667
12	019	Vera Piovesan	7,270833333

13	019	David Westenfelder	7,21875
14	045	Alexander Ottosson	6,947916667
15	019	Evin Durmaz	6,90625
16	022	Tihana Tucakovic	6,708333333
17	093	Maxime van Gerven	6,458333333
18	040	Alexia-Nefeli Douma	6,291666667
19	045	Johannes Haglund	6,208333333
20	022	Martina Refi Homolak	6,09375
21	007	Polina Gligen	5,520833333
22	045	Daniela Demirtas	5,458333333
23	015	Shahar Sverdlov	4,570833333
24	003	Daniela Kistler	4,520833333
25	067	Roxana Ioana Jinaru	4,229166667
26	082	Styliani Mavrommati	4,229166667
27	011	Christian Inmenn	4,052083333
28	015	Theano Karanikioti	4,03125
29	011	Daniela Bittner	3,864583333
30	003	Emiliya Ramazanova	3,854166667
31	060	James Alao	3,854166667
32	046	Lisa O'Boyle	3,8125
33	060	Phillippa White	3,802083333
34	015	Sara Hadzic	3,6875
35	046	Elena Maren Dietenberger	3,614583333
36	011	Marc-Phillip Tschernitz	3,583333333
37	067	Paul Suta	3,541666667
38	023	Muhammed Nurbaki Kasikci	3,5
39	003	Alfonso Calcáneo Sánchez	3,208333333
40	040	Pinelopi Maria Brifa	3,083333333



41	015	Clara Decamps	3,03125
42	011	Astrid Wurm	2,885416667
43	060	Juliette Guiot	2,84375
44	003	Dorina Damsa	2,78125
45	060	Genevieve King	2,760416667
46	007	Elena Cherkasova	2,75
47	040	Ioannis Petras	2,625
48	044	Eirini Koutsoukou	2,625
49	007	Bike Gyulmagomedova	2,520833333
50	044	Aikaterini Gkamagka	2,520833333
51	044	Evangelia Pappa	2,4375
52	093	Adrian Luca Zacharias	2,375
53	007	Veniamin Geynbikhner	2,302083333
54	044	Foteinos Gaitanakis	1,96875
55	023	Semra Bayik	1,770833333

- **Best Orator** Myrto Stavridi, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

## 5. The Jury

The Jury of the Final Round consisted of experts in the field of Human Rights from various areas. I would like to thank the Jury for their passion, enthusiasm, time and mostly for sharing their experience and knowledge!

- Robert Spano Judge at the European Court of Human Rights
- Zdravka Kalaydjieva Judge at the European Court of Human Rights
- Ana-Maria Telbis European Human Rights Association
- Simon Palmer European Human Rights Association
- Lucja Miara European Human Rights Association
- Zuzana Kovalova European Human Rights Association
- Geanina Munteanu European Human Rights Association
- Rimante Tamulyte European Human Rights Association
- Matthias Hahnel European Human Rights Association
- Slavica Chubric European Human Rights Association
- Munteanu Geanina European Human Rights Association
- Ceren Sen European Human Rights Association
- Eduard Markov European Human Rights Association
- Elena Yurkina European Human Rights Association
- Piotr Turek European Human Rights Association
- Marina Makarova European Human Rights Association
- Anastasia Shadarova European Human Rights Association
- Andrew Drzemczewski Head of the Legal Affairs and Human Rights Department of the Council of Europe
  
- Marjan Janssens Permanent Representation of Belgium
- Miroslav Papa Permanent Representation of Croatia
- Joseph A. Filletti Permanent Representation of Malta
- Yngve Hvoslef Olsen Permanent Representation of Norway
- Benjamin Danlos Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Nicola Hlavacikova Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Diana Lupu Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Tatyana Sveshnikova Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Pamela McCormick Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Diana Lupu Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Andrey Esin Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Vugar Fataliyev Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Vasily Lukashevich Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Agata Bzdyn Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Marcin Mrowicki Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights

We also would like to thank the individuals who kindly agreed to take part of the Written Submission Jury, who were scoring the enormous amount of written submissions:

- Ana-Maria Telbis European Human Rights Association
- Simon Palmer European Human Rights Association
- Slavica Cubric European Human Rights Association
- Irina Chepaykina European Human Rights Association
- Rimante Tamulyte European Human Rights Association
- Matthias Hähnel European Human Rights Association
- Kristaps Tamusz European Human Rights Association
- Paul Harvey European Human Rights Association
- Munteanu Geanina European Human Rights Association
- Helga Popescu European Human Rights Association
- Zuzana Kovalova European Human Rights Association
- Eduard Markov European Human Rights Association
- Slavica Cubric European Human Rights Association
- Elena Yurkina European Human Rights Association
- Ceren Sen European Human Rights Association
- Piotr Turek European Human Rights Association
- Marina Makarova European Human Rights Association
- Victoria Prais European Human Rights Association
- Jan Kratochvil European Human Rights Association
- Maria Dimitrova European Human Rights Association
- Stéphanie Bourgeois European Human Rights Association
- Valentin Rétornaz European Human Rights Association
- Irene Suominen-Picht European Human Rights Association
- Benjamin Danlos Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Diana Lupu Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Kristaps Tamuzs Former Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Andreea Popescu Former Lawyer, European Court of Human Rights
- Marjan Janssens Permanent Representation of Belgium
- Miroslav Papa Permanent Representation of Croatia
- Yngve Hvoslef Olsen Permanent Representation of Norway
- Sergej Dikman Council of Europe

## 6. The Organising Committee

Without the hard work of the Organising Committee before and at the competition itself, the Moot Court would not have taken place. I would like say a big thank you to the following Members of ELSA and the International Board:

- Armin Khoshnewiszadeh      President of ELSA International
- Lauri Vaihemäki              Treasurer of ELSA International
- Olav Vogt Engeland          Vice President for Academic Activities of ELSA International
- Ida Dojčinović                Assistant for EHRMCC of ELSA International
- Hana Pavlišová                Assistant for EHRMCC of ELSA International
- Anthony Besnier              President of ELSA Strasbourg
- Déborah André                ELSA Strasbourg
- Christelle Bour                ELSA Strasbourg
- Annie Degla                    ELSA Strasbourg
- Céline Duchateau            ELSA Strasbourg
- Christelle Hernandez        ELSA Strasbourg
- Nawel Jellabi                 ELSA Strasbourg
- Linda Kadouche               ELSA Strasbourg
- Mahsa Rafie                    ELSA Strasbourg
- Lucy Scaramuzza              ELSA Strasbourg
- Arame Thiame                 ELSA Strasbourg