EUROPEAN HUMAN RIGHTS MOOT COURT COMPETITION

FINAL REPORT

4th European Human Rights Moot Court Competition 2015/2016

Organised by the **European Law Students' Association**
in co-operation with the **Council of Europe**

elsa
The European Law Students' Association

COUNCIL OF EUROPE
CONSEIL DE L'EUROPE

With the support of:

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

European Human Rights Association

UNITY CREATES STRENGTH
L'UNITÉ FAIT LA FORCE
Bulgarian Chairmanship
Council of Europe
November 2015 – May 2016
Présidence de la Bulgarie
Conseil de l'Europe
Novembre 2015 – Mai 2016

REPUBLIC OF TURKEY
PERMANENT REPRESENTATION TO CoE

Table of Contents

1. Foreword by Mark Villiger

President of the Grand Final of the IV EHRMCC

It was a considerable honour for me to preside the 2016 Fourth Edition of the ELSA European Human Rights Moot Court in Strasbourg. Over the years this competition has acquired a high reputation and much prestige.

Also this year it attracted widespread participation: almost 80 teams from all over Europe applied with their written pleadings, 16 teams were chosen for the oral rounds in Strasbourg. Over a number of days these teams then competed with each other in proceedings which were modelled after those of the European Court of Human Rights (the Court).

Above all, it was a great pleasure to listen to the two finalist teams, those from the University of Sofia "St. Kliment Ohridski" in Bulgaria and from the University of Cambridge in England. I cannot overemphasise the high quality of their pleadings – both as regards the profound knowledge of the European Convention on Human Rights in its interpretation by the Court and their most persuasive rhetoric.

The bench was composed of nine Judges from all over Europe – North, South, East, West – , thus offering a truly European and very objective adjudication. One of the particularities of this moot court competition is that the Judges may at any time interrupt the parties and put questions to them – which was frequently done. The replies were so impressive, at times brilliant, that the bench subsequently had considerable difficulties deciding a winner: it was split down the middle with 5 votes to 4, thus clearly confirming the very high level of the pleadings on both sides.

As it was, the team of the University of Cambridge won the competition, once again my sincere congratulations to them! As a winning prize, they will now have a traineeship at the Court. Equally, I must warmly salute the team of the University of Sofia which also pleaded most convincingly.

On the whole, I have enormous admiration for all participants who over many months thoroughly prepared for this big event. They have gained so much in so many respects: a deep knowledge of European human rights and its procedures; how to plead in court both as an applicant and as a respondent; and not least how to cooperate as a team.

Finally, my sincere thanks go to the organisers, in particular ELSA-Vice-President ADA GAWRYSIAK and her team, without whose tireless preparation over nearly a year this event would never have come about. My gratitude also goes to the Council of Europe which supported the event and to Bulgaria, Greece and Turkey for their contributions.

MARK VILLIGER

Former Judge and Section President
at the European Court of Human Rights

2. Foreword by Ada Gawrysiak

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions ELSA International 2015/2016

Dear Reader,

The International Board of ELSA for the 2015/2016 term hereby presents to you the Final Report concluding the 4th edition of the European Human Rights Moot Court Competition.

The European Human Rights Moot Court Competition works as a unique contribution to university curricula in helping students understand the principles and implementation of Human Rights. Through the European Human Rights Moot Court Competition ELSA wishes to answer to the need for an English language moot court competition on Human Rights voiced by students across Europe. We wish to enable law students to deepen their understanding of law and practice their skills outside of the lecture halls of their universities and in an international environment. Participation in a competition such as the Human Rights Moot Court will help them build a professional profile already during their studies and prove their skills immediately upon entering professional life. The trend concerning the functioning of the European Human Rights system is to increase the role of national courts in these matters and to reduce the case burden of the European Court of Human Rights. As a consequence, Council of Europe member states will need lawyers with a strong command of the European Convention on Human Rights and its implementation on national level. The European Human Rights Moot Court Competition is a project with a significant impact on the way future lawyers understand and apply the European Convention on Human Rights.

I could not imagine more rewarding moment for the head of the organization of this Competition than standing in the middle of the Salle d'Audience while addressing Judges, Ambassadors, Lawyers and other distinguished guests, and most importantly students from 12 different countries that participated in the Competition. We could observe their development from the first day of the preliminary rounds until their Grand Final performances. You can see on your own eyes the impact that the Competition has in a real life, how we are accomplishing all our goals and providing them with platform to future develop their legal and practical skills in international environment. In the Competition we always have a winner. However, it is not about winning, it is about being brave enough to compete. Therefore, I would like to once again congratulate all the teams for being so brave and dedicated – you are all winners.

The 4th edition of the European Human Rights Moot Court Competition gave us a number of almost 80 teams registered for the Competition, from 26 different countries, from which the best students arrived on 14th of February to the home of Human Rights, Strasbourg, to participate in the Final Oral Round. The Competition Final took place from the 15th until the 18th of February 2016, with more than 70 participants in total from 12 different countries. We are very grateful towards all 29 of the Competition's Judges, who shared their experience are challenged the teams in order for students to reach and cross their limitations. We were honoured for having with us members of real Jury from the European Court of Human Rights, alongside members of EHRA,

lawyers from the Court, Council of Europe and representatives of the Permanent Representations to the Council of Europe.

The Council of Europe has been from the beginning the biggest partner and supporter of the Competition. We are very honoured and proud of our strong partnership. We would like to especially thank Ms Barbara Orkwiszewska, Ms Edith Lejard, Ms Sophie Lobey for an incredible amount of help, assistance and guidance throughout the whole 4th edition of the Competition.

The European Human Rights Moot Court Competition cannot take place without our sponsors, and we would therefore like to express our gratitude towards the Greek, Bulgarian and the Turkish Permanent Representations of the Council of Europe. I would also like to thank to the European Human Rights Association, who not only provided us with this editions' case and the major part of the Jury, but also with help and guidance throughout the preparation process
.
Lastly, I would like to thank my outstanding Assistants for a very hard year of work, the most supportive Board of mine and representatives of ELSA Strasbourg – the whole Organizing Committee. I could not handle the organization of the Competition without you. I appreciate all the immense effort that you made during the Final Oral Round in Strasbourg. Thank you for making this Competition another success.

It was an honour to fully work for one year on the organization of the 4th edition of the European Human Rights Moot Court Competition. I would like to thank once again everyone involved in the Competition and all the teams participating in it. It was a pleasure together with all of you to strengthen the understanding in human rights and to educate young lawyers in this particular field of law. Thank all of you for being a part of this journey.

Warm wishes,

Ada Gawrysiak
Vice President for Moot Court Competitions
ELSA International 2015/2016

3. Overview of the competition

The fourth edition of the European Human Rights Moot Court was launched on the 18th of

August 2015. The Case, written by Ana-Maria Telbis from the European Human Rights Association, was dealing with environmental aspects of human rights protection. Though being a fictitious case, the core of the case was based on real cases happening all over the world. The clarification questions from the teams regarding the case were sent in and published during October, and the team registration was open until the 8th of November 2015. The competition is twofold – firstly, there is a Europe-wide written phase in which the teams have to send in Written Submissions for the applicant and the respondent. The Final Oral Round is the last stage of the Competition, where the best sixteen teams selected though the written part compete against each other.

The Final Oral Round of the 4th EHRMCC took place between the 15th to the 18th of February 2015. The Final Oral Round commenced with an Opening Ceremony at the residence of the Greek Ambassador of the Permanent Representation of Greece to the Council of Europe in Strasbourg. All pleadings took place at the Council of Europe and the European Court of Human Rights venues. The Competition is a unique opportunity for students to gain practical experience comparable to real pleading in front of the European Court of Human Rights, while they are presenting their arguments for both sides of the case in front of the best human rights experts. Since, one of the selected teams did not arrive on time for the Final Oral Round, 15 teams were competing with each other in 4th edition Finals. This year we held for the first time quarterfinals, where the best 8 teams were competing for the spot in semi-finals. The quarterfinalists were announced during the Reception generously hosted by the City of Strasbourg in a breath taking venue of Hotel de Ville. On the 17th of February the participants were able to attend a panel discussion on careers in human rights law. We invited three individuals to share how their work relates to international human rights law and how to pursue a legal career in this field. The following individuals provided a very diverse and interesting informative session to the participating students: Ana-Maria Telbis, Slavica Chubrikj and Andrew Forde. The discussion was moderated by Mr Andrew Forde, who is political adviser at the Council of Europe. After the discussion the best 4 semifinalists were announced. The Grand Final between 2 teams took place in the Salle d'Audience, the biggest court room in the European Court of Human Rights building. The winners were announced by Former Judge Mark Villiger from the ECHR and Chairman of the EHRMCC Jury, and awarded prizes together with the Deputy Secretary General of the Council of Europe, Gabriella Battaini-Dragoni. An Award Reception was hosted by the Bulgarian Permanent Representation to conclude the Final Oral Round.

The case and all other important documents of the fourth edition can be found and downloaded on the new website www.ehrmcc.elsa.org.

4. The Written Round

In order to qualify for the Final Oral Round in Strasbourg held at the European Court of Human Rights, the teams dispatched their Written Submissions both for the Applicant and the Respondent.

The Jury, consisting of Human Rights experts from all over Europe, was assessing Written Submissions from November to December in order to select the 16 best teams, that were announced on January find the best teams. Each Written Submission has been scored by two different Judges.

Out of the 79 that completed the registration procedure, 66 sent their written submissions.

The Announcement of Finalists took place on the 7th of January 2015.

The following table indicates the scores and the ranking of each team.

The Overall Score is calculated as follows: (Average Scores Applicant Written Submission + Average Scores Respondent Written Submission – Penalty Points) divided by 2.

Ranking	Team number	University	Penalty Points	Applicant 1	Applicant 2	Respondent 1	Respondent 2	Total Points
1	**37**	University of Graz, Austria	0,5	36,5	29,25	37,5	40	35,56
2	**22**	Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, Bulgaria	0	33,75	34	37	30	33,69
3	**47**	City Law School, City University London, UK	2	28	34	36,5	33,25	31,94
4	**60**	Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Law, Czech Republic	0,5	27,5	33	36,25	31,25	31,75

5	**32**	Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Faculty of Law, The Netherlands	5,5	38	28,75	34	36	31,44
6	**36**	University in Nis, Law faculty, Serbia	0	30,75	27	32	33	30,69
7	**59**	Tilburg University - Tilburg Law School, The Netherlands	4	31,5	38,25	22	35,75	29,88
8	**10**	University College Freiburg, Albert-Ludgwigs-Universitaet Freiburg, Germany	2,5	21,75	38,5	30	34	29,81
9	**40**	University of Nottingham School of Law, Law and Social Sciences Building, Nottingham, UK	2	37,5	30	21	34,75	29,81
10	**30**	Babeş Bolyai University, Facuty of Law. Cluj-Napoca, Romania	1,5	28,75	27	30,75	33,5	29,25
11	**58**	University of Cambridge, Law Faculty, Cambridge, UK	1	38	34,75	25,75	20	29,13

12	**19**	National Research University Higher School of Economics, Faculty of Law, Moscow, Russia	0,5	32,75	28,75	19,5	36	29,00
13	**24**	Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Faculty of Law and Economics, Halle (Saale) – Germany	1	35	31	29,25	22,25	28,88
14	**18**	Universidad Pontificia Comillas, Faculty of Law, Madrid, Spain	3	21,75	34,5	29	35,25	28,63
15	**54**	Trinity College, the University of Dublin, College Green Dublin 2. School of Law, Ireland	2,5	28,5	31,75	29,25	30	28,63
16	**2**	Fatih University, Faculty of Law – Istanbul, Turkey	0,5	21,25	28	34,75	28,5	27,88
17	**63**	Democritus University Of Trace, Faculty Of Law,Komotini, Greece	5	25	31,5	31,5	32,5	27,63

18	**70**	University of Essex, School of Law, Colchester, UK	3	31,5	22,25	30,75	31,25	27,44
19	**48**	University of Pisa, Faculty of Law, Pisa, Italy	3	22,75	27,5	36,5	28,5	27,31
20	**42**	University of Maastricht, Faculty of Law, The Netherlands	1	31	23,75	31,25	25	27,25
21	**16**	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki Faculty of Law, School of Law Thessaloniki Greece	2,5	20,25	27	31,5	34,25	27,00
22	**56**	Orebro University, Fakultetsgatan1, Orebro, Sweden	2	26,25	35	21,25	29,25	26,94
23	**9**	National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy, Faculty of Law; Kyiv, Ukraine	3,5	20,5	35	31	27,5	26,75
24	**23**	Russian State University of Justice, Russia	0,5	32	22	30	24	26,75
25	**6**	University of Lodz, Faculty of Law and Administrat	0	27	35	19	24	26,25

		ion, Lodz, Poland						
26	**25**	Comenius University in Bratislava, Faculty of law – Bratislava, Slovakia	7	34	31	23	31	26,25
27	**29**	Nicolaus Copernicus University, Faculty of Law and Administration, Poland	0,5	27,75	26	23,75	27,75	26,06
28	**26**	Law Faculty,National and Kapodostrian University of Athens, Greece	0	22,75	23,75	29	26,5	25,50
29	**38**	The Franko National University of Lviv, Law faculty, Ukraine	1	31,5	29,5	23	19,25	25,31
30	**66**	Queen Mary, University of London School of Law, UK	1	17	37	24,25	25	25,31
31	**12**	University of York, York Law School, Heslington, York, UK	2	19	28,5	34	23,5	25,25
32	**35**	University of Trento, Law Faculty, Trento, Italy	6	25	28,5	31,75	27	25,06

33	**13**	National Research University Higher School of Economics Saint – Petersburg, Faculty of Law, Russia	0,5	20,5	24	23,75	32,75	25,00
34	**53**	Université Toulouse 1 Capitole, France	2,5	25,5	16	27,25	34,75	24,63
35	**46**	Yaroslav Mudryi National Law University, Ukraine, Kharkiv	0,5	20,75	26	21,5	30,5	24,44
36	**17**	Coventry University London Campus, University House, London, UK	3	28,25	19,75	25,25	29	24,06
37	**69**	Paris 2 Panthéon-Assas University, Faculty of Human Rights and Humanitarian Law, Paris, France	2,5	21	22,5	22,5	28	22,25
38	**27**	Vienna University of Economics and Business, Department of Public Law and Tax Law, Wien,	6,5	26	29,5	19,25	25	21,69

		Austria						
39	**11**	Université de Strasbourg, France	1	22,75	12,5	27,25	25,75	21,56
40	**39**	Catholic University of Leuven - KU Leuven, Belgium	9,5	28	29,5	24	23,25	21,44
41	**15**	Lomonosov Moscow State University, Faculty of Law Russia, Moscow	3,5	18,5	22	21	31	21,38
42	**31**	University of Adam Mickiewicz in Poznań, Faculty of Law, Poznań, Poland	3	23,75	31,25	10,25	25	21,06
43	**3**	Alma Mater Studiorum, Facoltà di Giurisprudenza, Bologna, Italy	0	20	26,75	21,25	14,75	20,69
44	**49**	Ludwig Maximilians Universität München, Germany	6,5	28,25	16	20	29	20,06
45	**51**	University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg	3	17,5	24	19,25	23,5	19,56

46	**64**	University of Aberdeen, School of Law, Aberdeen, UK	4	13	25	18,5	28,75	19,31
47	**21**	Birmingham Law School University of Birmingham, UK	2,5	23	20,75	15,75	21,5	19,00
48	**20**	University of Passau, Law Faculty, Passau, Germany	3	18,5	31	21	11,25	18,94
49	**50**	Kutafin Moscow State Law University, Russia	1	19	20	10,25	26,25	18,38
50	**5**	University of Malta, Faculty of Laws, Msida, Malta	10,5	23,5	21,5	28,25	19,75	18,00
51	**43**	Centro Universitario Villanueva, Facultad de Derecho, Madrid, Spain	0,5	12	27,25	18,25	15,25	17,94
52	**14**	Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Faculty of Law.Kyiv, Ukraine	0	26,5	18,75	9,75	16,25	17,81
53	**45**	University of Exeter, Law, Exeter, UK	7,5	22,5	21	19,25	22,5	17,56

54	**7**	University of Valencia. Faculty of Law, Valencia, Spain.	3,5	23	24	10	17,75	16,94
55	**28**	Institute of International Relations of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Ukraine	1,5	13	23,75	13,5	16	15,81
56	**71**	Maynooth University, Department Of Law, Ireland	6,5	11	21,75	15	26,75	15,38
57	**52**	Debrecen University, Faculty of Law, Debrecen, Hungary	4	22,5	11,25	14	16	13,94
58	**74**	Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium	9,5	23,5	21,5	10	19	13,75
59	**4**	Tallinn University of Technology, Faculty of Social Sciences, Tallinn Law School Tallinn, Estonia	2	20,5	17,5	8	9,25	12,81
60	**78**	University of Warsaw, Law and Administration Faculty, Warsaw, Poland	4	26,75	12,75	9	10	12,63

61	**44**	UNIFI, Law School, Firenze, Italy	5,5	11	17	11	20	12,00
62	**77**	Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Law, Budapest, Hungary	7	11	11	29	11	12,00
63	**57**	University of Leicester, Law Faculty, UK	1	6,5	17,5	7	18,5	11,88
64	**67**	Federico II, Napoli, Italy	3	10	15	13	14,25	11,56
65	**55**	Jagiellonian University, faculty of Law and Administration, Cracow, Poland	13	10,75	16,5	25	14,25	10,13
66	**68**	University of Kent, Law Faculty, Canterbury UK	4	12,75	16,25	7,75	5	8,44

- **Best Written Submissions: Applicant**
  University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

- **Best Written submission: Respondent**
  University of Graz, Austria

5. The Final Round

The best 16 teams chosen out of 79 registered teams had the unique opportunity to take part in the Final Oral Round which took place at the Council of Europe and at European Court of Human Rights from the 14th to the 19th of February 2016 in Strasbourg, France.

On the 15th and 16th of February the teams pleaded both as Applicant and as Respondent in front of a Jury composed by three members. On the 17th the Quarter-Finals took place.

In the morning of the 18th the four best teams pleaded in the Semi-Finals in front of a Jury of five members. The two chosen Finalists were University of Cambridge and Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, who competed in the Hearing Room of the European Court of Human Rights in front of the Jury, consisting of nine Judges.

During the Award Ceremony, the Winner, Runner-up, Best Orator and Best Written Submission were awarded by the prizes by the Deputy Secretary General of the Council of Europe, Gabriella Battaini-Dragoni, and Mark Villiger, former Judge of the European Court of Human Rights.

5.1 Team Ranking

a) The Preliminary Rounds

In each Oral Pleading three Judges assessed the teams basing on four individual criteria:

1. **COMMAND OF THE ISSUES:** Recognition, displaying, weighing and proper analysis of legal issues.
2. **ARGUMENTATION:** Logic, reasoning, persuasiveness of arguments; Ability to analogise with legal or general scenarios; Rebuttal/Sur-rebuttal is correctly utilised.
3. **LEGAL ANALYSIS:** Identification, knowledge, understanding, analysis of the applicable treaties/law and jurisprudence.
4. **STYLE:** Organisation and structure of arguments; Response to Panellist questions; Eloquence and clarity of presentation; Teamwork, time management.

In the Preliminary Rounds teams were ranked according to the total score of their OP (90 %) and their WS (10 %)

Ranking	Team Number	University	Total Applicant	Total Respondent	Total OP Points	TOTAL WS AND OP
1	Team 058	University of Cambridge,	31,08333333	34,66666667	32,875	32,5

		Law Faculty, UK				
2	Team 060	Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Law, Czech Republic	31,58333333	32,41666667	32	31,975
3	Team 022	Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, Bulgaria	32,5	29	30,75	31,04375
4	Team 036	University in Nis, Law faculty, Serbia	29,41666667	31,83333333	30,625	30,63125
5	Team 047	City Law School, City University London, UK	21,58333333	38,16666667	29,875	30,08125
6	Team 010	University College Freiburg, Albert-Ludgwigs-Universitaet Freiburg, Germany	28,16666667	29,91666667	29,04166667	29,1185
7	Team 030	Babeş Bolyai University, Facuty of Law. Cluj-Napoca, Romania	25,5	32,16666667	28,83333333	28,875
8	Team 018	Universidad Pontificia Comillas, Faculty of Law, Madrid, Spain	34	18,41666667	26,20833333	26,45
9	Team 032	Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Faculty of Law, The Netherlands	18,25	33,16666667	25,70833333	26,28125
10	Team 040	University of Nottingham School of Law, UK	21,58333333	28,33333333	24,95833333	25,44375
11	Team 054	Trinity College, the University of Dublin, Ireland	26,66666667	23	24,83333333	25,2125
12	Team 024	Martin-Luther-University	21	22,58333333	21,79166667	22,5

		Halle-Wittenberg, Faculty of Law and Economics, Halle, Germany				
13	Team 059	Tilburg University - Tilburg Law School - The Netherlands	16,83333333	25,66666667	21,25	22,1125
14	Team 019	National Research University Higher School of Economics, Faculty of Law, Moscow,	28,66666667	12,83333333	20,75	21,575
15	Team 037	University of Graz, Austria	16,08333333	20,16666667	18,125	19,86875

b) Quarter – Finals

Quarter Final I

Team Number	University	Role	Total Points
Team 018	Universidad Pontificia Comillas, Faculty of Law, Madrid, Spain	Applicant	**24,91667**
Team 058	University of Cambridge, Law Faculty, UK	Respondent	**31,41667**

Quarter Final II

Team Number	University	Role	Total Points
Team 060	Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Law, Czech Republic	Applicant	**27,66667**
Team 030	Babeş Bolyai University, Facuty of Law. Cluj-Napoca, Romania	Respondent	**31,5833333**

Quarter Final III

Team Number	University	Role	Total Points
Team 022	Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, Bulgaria	Applicant	**33,16667**
Team 010	University College Freiburg, Albert-Ludgwigs-Universitaet Freiburg, Germany	Respondent	**23,41667**

Quarter Final IV

Team Number	University	Role	Total Points
Team 047	City Law School, City University London, UK	Applicant	**23,16667**
Team 036	University in Nis, Law faculty, Serbia	Respondent	**26,6666667**

b) Semi-Final

Semi-Final I

Team Number	University	Role	Total Points
Team 030	Babeş Bolyai University, Facuty of Law. Cluj-Napoca, Romania	Applicant	**32,6**
Team 058	University of Cambridge, Law Faculty, UK	Respondent	**34,4**

Semi-Final 2

Team Number	University	Role	Total Points
Team 036	University in Nis, Law faculty, Serbia	Applicant	**30,626**
Team 022	Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, Bulgaria	Respondent	**33,4375**

c) Grand Final

Team Number	University	Role	Total Points
Team 022	Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, Bulgaria	Applicant	
Team 058	University of Cambridge, Law Faculty, UK	Respondent	

- **Winner** Team 058, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom
- **Runner-up** Team 022, Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, Bulgaria

5.2 Speakers Ranking

A minimum of two team members and a maximum of three team members presented their Oral Pleading. Each orator had to speak for at least seven (7) minutes during the main pleading.

a) Individual Orator Scores - Preliminary Rounds

Individual results after Preliminary Rounds:

Team Number	Orator	Applicant	Respondent	Total Points
Team 030	Marina Fortuna	28,83333333	32,83333333	61,66666667
Team 018	Nicole Georgette Ros Marling	32,75	21,66666667	54,41666667
Team 018	Coral Castillo - Sederholm	34	19,58333333	53,58333333
Team 040	Rajvinder Singh Chahal	23,16666667	28,5	51,66666667
Team 040	Rutvi Shah	19,75	27	46,75
Team 019	Irina Osmankina	28,58333333	16,83333333	45,41666667
Team 059	Giulia Zigotti	16,16666667	26	42,16666667
Team 047	Jonathan Scott	-	39,16666667	39,16666667
Team 019	Tatiana Savvina	26,33333333	12	38,33333333
Team 019	Oksana Tiusina	27,83333333	10,16666667	38

Team 058	Ciju Puthuppally	-	36,83333333	36,83333333
Team 047	Imogen Sadler	-	34,91666667	34,91666667
Team 060	Daniel Askari	-	33,91666667	33,91666667
Team 036	Tamara Stanojević	-	33,75	33,75
Team 032	Werner Matthijs Hofs	-	33,66666667	33,66666667
Team 022	Tsvetelina van Benthem	33,58333333	-	33,58333333
Team 018	Carmen Pastor Delgado	32,83333333	-	32,83333333
Team 032	Kimberley Maria Margaretha Roeten	-	32,41666667	32,41666667
Team 060	Alžběta Kubitová	32,41666667	-	32,41666667
Team 060	Zuzana Holakovská	32,33333333	-	32,33333333
Team 060	Pavlína Krausová	-	32,16666667	32,16666667
Team 030	Sabrina Maria Matei	-	31,83333333	31,83333333
Team 058	Sam Humphrey	30,91666667	-	30,91666667
Team 010	Matthias Haslberger	-	30,58333333	30,58333333
Team 058	Hazel Jackson	30,33333333	-	30,33333333
Team 036	Jelena Mladenović	29,66666667	-	29,66666667
Team 036	Aleksandra Stojanović	29,08333333	-	29,08333333
Team 058	Sinéad Gleeson	-	29,08333333	29,08333333
Team 022	Konstantin Mladenov	-	29	29
Team 022	Ivana Sotirova	28,5	-	28,5
Team 010	Marilena Stegbauer	-	28,41666667	28,41666667
Team 036	Ivana Petrović	-	27,58333333	27,58333333
Team 010	Isabelle Kopineck	27,5	-	27,5
Team 022	Hristo Peshev	26,83333333	-	26,83333333
Team 010	Harriet von Spiegel	26,58333333	-	26,58333333
Team 054	Dáire McCormack-George	26,41666667	-	26,41666667
Team 054	Louise O'Callaghan	25,83333333	-	25,83333333
Team 024	Thalia Rica Peter	-	23,33333333	23,33333333
Team 054	Hilary Hogan	-	23,33333333	23,33333333
Team 047	Angharad Monk	-	22,66666667	22,66666667
Team 054	Jeff Ward	-	22,66666667	22,66666667
Team 059	Jeremias Krämer	-	22,5	22,5
Team 024	Michelle Bohley	21,25	-	21,25
Team 032	Claire Adionyi Ochieng	21,08333333	-	21,08333333

Team 024	Valentin Michael Hacken	-	20,83333333	20,83333333
Team 047	Najma Ali	20,5	-	20,5
Team 024	Leonard Gabriel Schmidt	20,25	-	20,25
Team 037	Daniela Bamer	-	20,25	20,25
Team 030	Alexandros Cătălin Bakos	20,08333333	-	20,08333333
Team 037	Thomas Neuhold	-	18,41666667	18,41666667
Team 037	Lily Zechner	17,25	-	17,25
Team 018	Monica de Hevia	-	17,08333333	17,08333333
Team 032	Iris Kwakkel	14,16666667	-	14,16666667
Team 037	Bernhard Wetschko	14,16666667	-	14,16666667
Team 059	Marco Johannes Leitner	10,75	-	10,75
Team 059	Ivan Dimitrijević	-	-	-

Best Orator of Preliminary Rounds: Marina Fortuna

b) Individual Orator Scores – Quarter Finals

Team Number	Orator	Points
Team 022	Tsvetelina van Benthem	34,33333333
Team 030	Sabrina Maria Matei	33,41666667
Team 058	Ciju Puthuppally	32,25
Team 058	Sam Humphrey	29,91666667
Team 060	Alžběta Kubitová	29,25
Team 030	Marina Fortuna	29
Team 022	Hristo Peshev	28,66666667
Team 036	Tamara Stanojević	26,5
Team 036	Ivana Petrović	25,75
Team 018	Nicole Georgette Ros Marling	25
Team 060	Zuzana Holakovská	24,83333333
Team 018	Coral Castillo - Sederholm	24

Team 018	Carmen Pastor Delgado	23,83333333
Team 047	Angharad Monk	23,08333333
Team 047	Najma Ali	22,83333333
Team 010	Marilena Stegbauer	22,75
Team 010	Matthias Haslberger	21,91666667

Best Orator of the Quarter Finals: Tsvetelina van Benthem

c) Individual Orator Scores – Semifinals

Team Number	Orator	Points
Team 058	Sam Humphrey	36,05
Team 058	Ciju Puthuppally	34,05
Team 036	Jelena Mladenović	31,2
Team 036	Aleksandra Stojanović	29,05
Team 030	Marina Fortuna	32,5
Team 030	Alexandros Cătălin Bakos	31,85
Team 022	Ivana Sotirova	34
Team 022	Konstantin Mladenov	33,3

Best Orator of the Semi-finals: Sam Humphrey

d) Individual Orator Scores – Grand Final

Team	Orator	Points
Team 022	Tsvetelina van Benthem	35,58333333
Team 058	Sam Humphrey	35,08333333

Team 058	Ciju Puthuppally	34,25
Team 022	Hristo Peshev	29,41666667

Best Orator of the Final: Tsvetelina van Benthem

e) Individual Orator – Overall Scores

Team	Orator	Points
Team 058	Ciju Puthuppally	137,3833333
Team 058	Sam Humphrey	131,9666667
Team 022	Tsvetelina van Benthem	103,5
Team 022	Hristo Peshev	84,91666667
Team 022	Ivana Sotirova	62,5
Team 022	Konstantin Mladenov	62,3
Team 030	Marina Fortuna	61,66666667
Team 018	Nicole Georgette Ros Marling	54,41666667
Team 018	Coral Castillo – Sederholm	53,58333333
Team 040	Rajvinder Singh Chahal	51,66666667
Team 040	Rutvi Shah	46,75
Team 019	Irina Osmankina	45,41666667
Team 059	Giulia Zigotti	42,16666667
Team 047	Jonathan Scott	39,16666667
Team 019	Tatiana Savvina	38,33333333
Team 019	Oksana Tiusina	38
Team 047	Imogen Sadler	34,91666667
Team 060	Daniel Askari	33,91666667
Team 036	Tamara Stanojević	33,75
Team 032	Werner Matthijs Hofs	33,66666667
Team 018	Carmen Pastor Delgado	32,83333333
Team 032	Kimberley Maria Margaretha Roeten	32,41666667
Team 060	Alžběta Kubitová	32,41666667

Team 060	Zuzana Holakovská	32,33333333
Team 060	Pavlína Krausová	32,16666667
Team 030	Sabrina Maria Matei	31,83333333
Team 010	Matthias Haslberger	30,58333333
Team 058	Hazel Jackson	30,33333333
Team 036	Jelena Mladenović	29,66666667
Team 036	Aleksandra Stojanović	29,08333333
Team 058	Sinéad Gleeson	29,08333333
Team 010	Marilena Stegbauer	28,41666667
Team 036	Ivana Petrović	27,58333333
Team 010	Isabelle Kopineck	27,5
Team 010	Harriet von Spiegel	26,58333333
Team 054	Dáire McCormack-George	26,41666667
Team 054	Louise O'Callaghan	25,83333333
Team 024	Thalia Rica Peter	23,33333333
Team 054	Hilary Hogan	23,33333333
Team 047	Angharad Monk	22,66666667
Team 054	Jeff Ward	22,66666667
Team 059	Jeremias Krämer	22,5
Team 024	Michelle Bohley	21,25
Team 032	Claire Adionyi Ochieng	21,08333333
Team 024	Valentin Michael Hacken	20,83333333
Team 047	Najma Ali	20,5
Team 024	Leonard Gabriel Schmidt	20,25
Team 037	Daniela Bamer	20,25
Team 030	Alexandros Cătălin Bakos	20,08333333
Team 037	Thomas Neuhold	18,41666667
Team 037	Lily Zechner	17,25
Team 018	Monica de Hevia	17,08333333
Team 032	Iris Kwakkel	14,16666667
Team 037	Bernhard Wetschko	14,16666667
Team 059	Marco Johannes Leitner	10,75
Team 059	Ivan Dimitrijević	0

- **Best Overall Orator:** Ciju Puthuppally, University of Cambridge

6. The Jury

The Jury of the Final Oral Round consisted of experts in the field of Human Rights from various areas. I would like to thank the Jury for their passion, enthusiasm, time and mostly for sharing their experience and knowledge.

- Vincent A. De Gaetano - Judge at the European Court of Human Rights
- Iulia Motoc - Judge at the European Court of Human Rights
- Mark Villiger - Former Judge at the European Court of Human Rights
- Ana-Maria Telbis - President, European Human Rights Association
- Agata Bzdyń - legal assistant at the European Court of Human Rights
- Slavica Chubrikj - Senior Legal Assistant, OSCE Mission to Skopje
- Laura Dauban - Permanent Representation of the United Kingdom to the Council of Europe
- Andrew Drzemczewski - Head of the Legal Affairs and Human Rights Department of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe
- Paul Harvey - lawyer at the European Court of Human Rights
- Yngve Olsen Hvoslef - Permanent Representation of Norway to the Council of Europe
- Kresimir Kamber – lawyer at the European Court of Human Rights
- Malgorzata Kwiedacz - lawyer at the European Court of Human Rights
- Michelle Lafferty - lawyer at the Registry of the European Court of Human Rights
- Diana Lupu- lawyer at the European Court of Human Rights
- Ana Medarska Lazova - lawyer at the European Court of Human Rights
- Marina Makarova - former lawyer at the European Court of Human Rights
- Viktoriya Maradudina - lawyer at the Registry of the European Court of Human Rights
- Pamela McCormick- lawyer at the Registry of the European Court of Human Rights
- Lucja Miara- Department for Execution of Judgment of the European Court of Human Rights
- Milica Novakovic - Assistant Lawyer at European Court of Human Rights
- Alina Novikova - lawyer at the European Court of Human Rights Registry
- Martin Reichard - Permanent Representation of Austria to the Council of Europe
- Andreea Maria Rosu - Human Rights Lawyer, Bucharest Bar Association
- Joan Forner Rovira - Permanent Representation of the Principality of Andorra to the Council of Europe
- Guenter Schirmer - Deputy to the Head of Secretariat of the Committee on Legal Affairs and Human Rights Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe"
- Anastasia Shadarova - Human Rights Policy and Cooperation Department, Council of Europe

- Tatyana Sveshnikova - lawyer at the Registry of the European Court of Human Rights
- Agnieszka Szklanna - Secretary to the Committee on Legal Affairs and Human Rights, Council of Europe
- Melike Yılmaz - Permanent Representation of Turkey to the Council of Europe

We also would like to thank the Members of the Jury who could not attend the Final Oral Round but who was serving as Judges for the Written Submissions scoring:

- Ambassador Janusz Stańczyk – Permanent Representation of Poland to the Council of Europe
- Ana Maria Bena- European Human Rights Association
- Bianca Boji-Tahvanainen - lawyer at the European Court of Human Rights
- Stéphanie Bourgeois- European Human Rights Association
- Irina Chepaykina- European Human Rights Association
- Tomas Grunwald – Permanent Representation of Slovak Republic to the Council of Europe
- Zuzana Kovalova- European Human Rights Association
- Jan Kratochvil -European Human Rights Association
- Geanina Munteanu - European Human Rights Association
- Simon Palmer - European Human Rights Association
- Darko Pavlovski - National legal officer in OSCE Mission to Skopje
- Helga Popescu- European Human Rights Association
- Vicki Prais - European Human Rights Association
- Rimante Tamulyte - European Human Rights Association
- Enida Turkusic - lawyer at the European Court of Human Rights

7. The Organising Committee

Without the hard work of the Organising Committee before and at the competition itself, the Final Oral Round would not have taken place. Thank you for all the hard work you put in organizing and running the 4th edition of the EHRMCC. I would like to express my extreme gratitude towards the following Members of ELSA and my Board Members from the International Board of ELSA:

- Anna Haipola - President of ELSA International
- Marek Škultéty - Treasurer of ELSA International
- Dagne Sabockyte - Vice President for Marketing of ELSA International
- Antonia Markoviti - Vice President for Academic Activities of ELSA International
- Gaia Iappelli - Assistant for EHRMCC of ELSA International

- Beverly Tonna - Assistant for EHRMCC of ELSA International
- William Nash - Assistant for EHRMCC of ELSA International
- Adjara Traore - ELSA Strasbourg
- Joris Fontaine - ELSA Strasbourg
- Slaven Hadzic - ELSA Strasbourg
- Wafa Kernou - ELSA Strasbourg
- Sandra Lopez - ELSA Strasbourg
- Alice Shaw - ELSA Strasbourg
- Elise Steiner - ELSA Strasbourg
- Clemence Waller - ELSA Strasbourg
- Roxane Wolff - ELSA Strasbourg