

GUIDELINES FOR WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS

These guidelines shall assist the teams while preparing their Written Submissions. They form an integral part of the Rules and thus must be followed.

1 Written Submissions

- 1.1 Please read Section 4 of the Rules for the general instructions.
- 1.2 The title of the word documents shall include: team number, party represented (applicant or respondent) and name of the case.
- 1.3 The scoring of the written submissions can be up to 40 points in total. The Bench has to consider the following points: i) identification of legal issues and relevant legal framework, ii) knowledge of ECHR and relevant case law, iii) quality of legal analysis and persuasiveness of arguments and iv) style.

2 Footnotes and referencing

- 2.1 Pleading is allowed in the footnotes, but not advised. Footnotes shall primarily include references to books, articles et cetera, and shall not include explanations to the main text (sentences). Improper use of footnotes, including excessive or inappropriate pleading in the footnotes, will be penalised.

- 2.2 The style of referencing shall be unified and give clear indication of the used source.

- 2.3 Endnotes are not allowed.

- 2.4 Correct footnote:

Janis, Kay and Bradley (2008), p. 19.

- 2.5 Correct referencing:

Books:

Janis, Mark W./ Kay, Richard S./ Bradley, Anthony W., European Human Rights Law: Text and Materials, 3rd edition, Oxford University Press, 2008.

Articles:

Battjes, Hemme, The European Court of Human Rights and immigration: limits and possibilities, European journal of migration and law; vol. 11, nr. 3, 2009.

Case law:

Axel Springer AG v Germany, app. no. 39954/08

Best of luck to all participating teams!