

# Table of Contents

- 1 Foreword ..... 3**
- 2 Background, structure and timeline ..... 5**
  - 2.1 About ELSA..... 5
  - 2.2 The ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law..... 5
- 3 Asian-Pacific Regional Round - Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia..... 7**
  - 3.1 Introduction..... 7
  - 3.2 Panelists..... 7
  - 3.3 Participating Universities ..... 7
  - 3.4 Preliminary Round Scores..... 8
  - 3.5 Quarter-Final Scores..... 11
  - 3.6 Semi-Final Scores..... 13
  - 3.7 Grand Final Scores ..... 13
  - 3.8 Individual Oral Pleading Scores..... 14
  - 3.9 Awards and Prizes ..... 20
  - 3.10 Concluding Remarks..... 24
- 4 All-American Regional Round – Washington D.C., USA..... 25**
  - 4.1 Introduction..... 25
  - 4.2 Panelists..... 25
  - 4.3 Participating Universities ..... 26
  - 4.4 Preliminary Round Scores..... 27
  - 4.5 Semi-Final Scores..... 28
  - 4.6 Grand Final Scores ..... 30
  - 4.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores..... 30
  - 4.8 Awards and Prizes ..... 37
  - 4.9 Concluding Remarks ..... 37
- 5 European Regional Round – Prague, Czech Republic ..... 39**
  - 5.1 Introduction..... 39
  - 5.2 Panelists..... 39
  - 5.3 Participating Universities ..... 39
  - 5.4 Preliminary Round Scores..... 40
  - 5.5 Semi-Final Scores..... 41
  - 5.6 Grand-Final Scores ..... 42

- 5.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores .....42
- 5.8 Awards and Prizes .....48
- 5.9 Concluding Remarks .....48
- 6 European Regional Round – Warsaw, Poland ..... 50**
  - 6.1 Introduction.....50
  - 6.2 Panelists.....50
  - 6.3 Participating Universities .....50
  - 6.4 Preliminary Round Scores.....51
  - 6.5 Semi-Final Scores.....52
  - 6.6 Grand Final Scores .....53
  - 6.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores.....53
  - 6.8 Awards and Prizes .....57
  - 6.9 Concluding Remarks .....58
- 7 African Regional Round – Johannesburg, South Africa ..... 59**
  - 7.1 Introduction.....59
  - 7.2 Panelists.....59
  - 7.3 Participating Universities .....59
  - 7.4 Preliminary Round Scores.....60
  - 7.5 Semi-Final Scores.....60
  - 7.6 Grand Final Scores .....61
  - 7.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores.....61
  - 7.8 Awards and Prizes .....65
  - 7.9 Concluding Remarks .....65
- 8 The Final Oral Round..... 67**
  - 8.1 Introduction.....67
  - 8.2 Panelists.....67
  - 8.3 Participating Universities .....68
  - 8.4 Preliminary Round Scores.....68
  - 8.5 Individual Oral Pleading Scores.....73
  - 8.6 Grand Final Scores .....81
  - 8.7 Awards and Prizes .....81
  - 8.8 Career Panel .....82
  - 8.9 Concluding Remarks .....82

## 1 Foreword

Dear Reader,

This is the Final Report of the 12<sup>th</sup> edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law, which finally concludes the overall competition 2013/2014.

Before entering into office as the Vice President for Moot Court Competitions in ELSA International, although having knowledge about organizing moot court competitions, I did not have much knowledge about the ELSA Moot in particular. I was therefore also not fully aware of the responsibility I took on when becoming the main responsible for this project. It has been quite demanding and at times stressful, but I have learned so much from the experience. I have come to truly cherish my work, meeting students from all over the world and seeing how they develop their skills within WTO law throughout the competition. The 12<sup>th</sup> edition was also special in the history of ELSA, as we had the first ever African Regional Round, thanks to extensive financial support from the WTO.

The competition was launched on the 15<sup>th</sup> of August 2013, with a case written by Professor Markus Krajewski. An astonishing amount of 129 teams signed up for the 12<sup>th</sup> edition, and even though not all teams followed through by submitting written submissions in January, we had more than 80 teams participating in various rounds all over the world. I would like to thank my two assistants; Tahmina Sahibli and Stephanie Kohl, for taking care of the massive amount of communication with the teams. I had the pleasure of traveling to each of the Regional Rounds; in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, in Washington DC, USA, in Prague, Czech Republic, in Warsaw, Poland and in Johannesburg, South Africa. I would like to thank the numerous panellists who helped scoring written submission and sat in pleading after pleading in the oral rounds. I would also like to thank the Academic Board of the 12<sup>th</sup> edition, for helping me find all these panellists, and with many other issues that arose during the year.

The Final Oral Round has, since last year's edition, been the responsibility of ELSA International and in particular the Vice President for Moot Court Competitions. I established an International Organizing Committee who helped with the preparations during winter and spring 2014, and who did an amazing job during the Final Oral Round, preparing venues, timekeeping and many other tasks. In the end we managed to prepare a successful Final Oral Round for 20 teams, giving the participants the opportunity to plead in front of esteemed experts in the field, learning about career options within the field of World Trade law, and getting to know each other. Thanks to some new sponsors for this year's edition, we were also able to lower the amount the participants had to pay in participation fees. I am also very grateful for all the help and support we received from the staff at the WTO, in particular Professor Gabrielle Marceau and Ms. Marisa Goldstein. Thanks to The Graduate Institute Geneva being co-hosts of the Final Oral Round, the teams were able to plead in the beautiful Villa Rigot.

I would like to thank the Case Author, Prof Markus Krajewski, for all his time writing the case, clarification answers and bench memorandum. The case was very interesting and tailored very well for this kind of competition. Ruosi Zhang and Cossy Mireille from the WTO contributed to the revising of the case under the lead of Michelle Healy. Jan Bohanes, Santiago Wills, Vitaliy

Pogoretsky and Daniela Gomez Altamirano from the Academic Board also helped out especially with matters related to the case.

I would like to thank our faithful long-term sponsors who also provide the teams with prizes and the competition with academic support every year; ALTIUS, World Trade Institute and IELPO. Thanks to new sponsors for this edition, we were able to lower the fees, raise the general quality of the competition and enable African Students to take part in the Final Oral Round in Geneva; Van Bael & Bellis, King & Spalding, Sidley Austin, Akin Gump and Society of International Economic Law. Thanks also to Globe Law & Business for giving books to the participants, and Swiss Airlines for discounts. Thanks also to Arancha Gonzalez, who gave financial support from her private capacity.

I would also like to thank ELSA's corporate partner, CMS and our Human Rights Partner, the Council of Europe.

After seeing how this moot court competition truly helps students all over the world gain legal skills and knowledge about World Trade Law, and thus builds capacity in an important field of law globally, I am proud to say that we are achieving what we are aiming for as an association. To teach students about other cultures and legal systems, assisting them in being internationally minded and professionally skilled, enabling them to act for the good of society in the future. This is what made me dedicate one year of my life to ELSA in the first place, and I am happy to say that it was all worth it in the end.

Sincerely yours,



**Oda Linneberg Uggen**  
Vice President for Moot Court Competitions  
ELSA International 2013/2014

## **2 Background, structure and timeline**

### **2.1 About ELSA**

The European Law Students' Association (ELSA), founded on the 4<sup>th</sup> of May 1981 is an international, independent, non-political and non-profit-making organization run by and for law students and young lawyers. After 32 years of existence it is the world's largest independent law students' association with 38.000 members in 42 countries.

### **2.2 The ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law**

#### **2.2.1 General**

The ELSA Moot Court on WTO law took place for the first time in 2003 in Geneva. After 12 years it has expanded to National Rounds and several Regional Rounds in North-, Latin-America, Asia-Pacific and Europe. ELSA chose WTO law due to the growth in global trade law and to educate law students and young lawyers in this particular field of law. Currently, ELSA is working on expanding the competition also to the Middle-East and Africa.

#### **2.2.2 Structure**

The ELSA Moot Court consists of 2 phases. Firstly, teams have to deliver Written Submissions on the issued Case for both the Complainant and the Respondent. Secondly, the teams have to participate in one of the allocated Regional Rounds (see rules) in one of the ELSA member states, Asia-Pacific, North-, Latin-America, Africa or the International Written Round. The best 3 teams of each Regional Round were qualified for the Final Oral Round. The teams have to plead on each side during the Preliminary Rounds. The best 4 teams of each Regional Round were qualified for the Semi-Finals. The best 2 teams pleaded against each other in the Grand Final. In the Final Oral Round the best 8 teams were qualified from the Preliminary Rounds to participate in the Quarter-Finals additionally.

#### **2.2.3 The 12<sup>th</sup> edition**

The Moot problem of the 12<sup>th</sup> edition was written by Professor Markus Krajewski from The World Trade Institute in Bern and the University of Erlangen-Nuremberg in Germany. On the 15<sup>th</sup> of September 2013 the Case was published and the new edition launched. Teams were allowed to send in maximum 5 Clarification Questions to the Case Author until the 30<sup>th</sup> of November 2013. One team per university could register 2-4 team members until the 10<sup>th</sup> of December 2013. In total 129 teams had registered until that date. Until the 10<sup>th</sup> of January teams had to send in the Written Submissions.

In March the Regional Rounds took place in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia organized by ALSA Malaysia, Washington D. C., USA organized by The American University Washington College of Law, in Prague, Czech Republic, organized by ELSA Prague and Warsaw, Poland organized by ELSA Warsaw and in Johannesburg, South Africa, organized by the Mandela Institute and the Witwatersrand University.

The Final Oral Round took place from the 13<sup>th</sup> until the 18<sup>th</sup> of May in Geneva, Switzerland, where 20 teams from all over the world were invited to plead in front of the highly esteemed WTO academics. The Final Oral Round was co-hosted by the Graduate Institute, Geneva.

#### **2.2.4 The Scoring System**

The Oral Pleadings were assessed as follows: in the Preliminary Rounds 3 panellists were sitting in each pleading. The Semi-Finals were 5 panellists and the Grand Final was 7-9 panellists. The Panel had to issue overall points for each team and besides that for each orator individually.

The scores were calculated as follows: 70% Oral Pleading scores and 30 % Written Submission scores. The Semi-Finals and Grand Final only consisted of oral pleading scores. Each panellist could give points from a range 0-50.

## **3 Asian-Pacific Regional Round - Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia**

### **3.1 Introduction**

The competition took place in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia at the Judicial and Legal Training Institute Malaysia from the 28<sup>th</sup> of February to the 3<sup>rd</sup> of March 2014. The Head of the Organizing Committee was Ms Jamielyn Jimmy from the Asian Law Students' Association (ALSA) together with numerous helpers.

### **3.2 Panelists**

- Prof Dr Gonzalo Villalta Puig - Professor of Law, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, China
- Professor Zhao Yun - Professor & Director, Center for Chinese Law, Faculty of Law, The University of Hong Kong
- Professor James Nedumpara - Associate Professor, Jindal Global Law School, New Delhi, India
- Professor Tania Voon - Associate Dean (Research), Co-Director, International Trade Law, Global Economic Law Network, Melbourne Law School, The University of Melbourne, Australia
- Professor Andrew D Mitchell - Director, Global Economic Law Network, Melbourne Law School, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- Professor Julia Ya Qin - Professor of Law, Wayne State University Law School, Detroit
- Professor Abdul Gahfur Hamid - International Islamic University, Malaysia
- Ms Feng Xuewei - International Counsel, AllBright Law Offices, Beijing
- Mr Claude Chase - Dispute Settlement Lawyer - Appellate Body Secretariat at World Trade Organization
- Ms AegYoung Jung - Counsellor, Legal Affairs Division, World Trade Organization
- Simon Lacey - International Trade Counsel and Market Access Advisor at JWK Law Office, Director at UPH Analytics, Academic Director MTIC Program at University Pelita Harapan
- Professor Myint Zan - Professor at Faculty of Law, Multimedia University Malaysia
- Associate Professor Michael Ewing-Chow - Associate Professor, National University of Singapore, Head, Trade and Investment Law and Policy, Centre for International Law

### **3.3 Participating Universities**

- Team 007 – Diplomatic Academy of Vietnam
- Team 016 – National Law University, Jodhpur, India
- Team 017 – Peking University School Transnational Law, China
- Team 018 – The University of Hong Kong
- Team 023 – The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, India
- Team 025 – Handong International Law School, Republic of Korea
- Team 031 – Nalsar University of Law, Hyderabad, India
- Team 033 – Hidayatullah National University, India
- Team 041 – National Law University Odisha, India
- Team 042 – Xiamen University, China
- Team 044 – National University of Malaysia

- Team 049 – National Law School of India University, Bangalore, India
- Team 051 – Soochow University, Taiwan
- Team 056 – National Taiwan University
- Team 058 – Bond University, Australia
- Team 071 – University of International Business and Economics, China
- Team 072 – Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia
- Team 095 – Gujarat National Law University, India
- Team 096 – Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya National Law University
- Team 097 – Soongsil University, Republic of Korea
- Team 101 – Jindal Global University, India
- Team 102 – Tsinghua University, China
- Team 109 – University of Technology, Malaysia
- Team 116 – Renmin University of China
- Team 126 – Zhongnan University of Economics and Law
- Team 127 – International Islamic University, Malaysia

### 3.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary round are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores, minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 panellists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS Complainant	WS Respondent	Overall WS scores	OP Complainant	OP Respondent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
058	30	38	34	44.167	42.333	43.25	40.475
018	43	42	42	41.333	38	39.667	40.367
056	34	45	39	40.667	41.667	40.917	40.342



<b>096</b>	39	40	39.5	45.167	32.333	38.75	38.975
<b>072</b>	39	27	33	41.833	39.667	40.75	38.425
<b>023</b>	32	27	29	40.667	40.833	40.75	37.225
<b>101</b>	30	33	31	36.667	39.333	38	35.9
<b>071</b>	47	46	46	38	24.667	31.333	35.733
<b>126</b>	38.5	36.5	37.5	39	29	34	35.05
<b>102</b>	34	40.5	37	26.333	41	33.667	34.667
<b>042</b>	46	24	34.25	34.333	29	31.667	32.442
<b>116</b>	39.5	38	37.25	30.333	28.667	29.5	31.825

025	37	39	37.5	27	30	28.5	31.2
017	29	42	35.5	33	24.667	28.833	30.833
033	21	27	24	34.333	32.333	33.333	30.533
095	23	32	27.5	31.667	31.667	31.667	30.412
044	38.5	28	32.75	29.333	29	29.167	30.242
016	32	30	30.75	28.333	31	29.667	29.992
049	44	36	39.75	32.333	18	25.167	29.542
109	35	23	27	31.333	24.333	27.833	27.583
051	34	30.5	30.75	29	22.667	25.833	27.308

041	24	41	32.25	24	21.333	22.667	25.542
031	41	28	32.75	18	25.667	21.833	25.108
127	24	23.5	21.5	27.667	24.333	26	24.65
097	21.5	41	30.75	16.5	17.667	17.083	21.833
007	36	30	33	19.333	12.333	15.833	20.983

### 3.5 Quarter-Final Scores

In each Quarter-Final 3 panellists were present and the results are the following:

#### 3.5.1 Quarter-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Scores
058	University of Bond, Australia	Complainant	43
071	University of International Business and Economics	Respondent	35.333

### 3.5.2 Quarter-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Scores
101	Jindal Global University, India	Complainant	32.667
018	The University of Hong Kong	Respondent	40.667

### 3.5.3 Quarter-Final 3

Team	University	Role	Scores
023	The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, India	Complainant	36.333
056	National Taiwan University	Respondent	30.667

### 3.5.4 Quarter-Final 4

Team	University	Role	Scores
072	Universitas Pelita Harapan Indonesia	Complainant	42.667
096	Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya National Law University	Respondent	43

### 3.6 Semi-Final Scores

In each semi-final 5 panellists were present, and the results are the following:

#### 3.6.1 Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Scores
018	University of Hong Kong	Complainant	41.9
058	University of Bond, Australia	Respondent	41.7

#### 3.6.2 Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Scores
096	Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya National Law University	Complainant	41
023	The West Bengal National University of Juridical Science, India	Respondent	45.2

### 3.7 Grand Final Scores

Team	University	Role	Scores
023	The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, India	Complainant	41.944

018	University of Hong Kong	Respondent	41.389
-----	-------------------------	------------	--------

### 3.8 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

Preliminary

Team	Name	Complainant Scores	Respondent Scores	Overall Scores
018	Cheuk Kei Kevin Lau	44.333	41.333	42.833
056	Hung Shiang Wei	39.333	44.667	42
058	Rob Leonard	40.667	42.333	41.5
023	Akshaya Venkataraman	41.667	40.833	41.25
058	Alice Mcdonald	42	40.333	41.167
056	Lee Chieh	42.333	39	40.667
072	Putri Sahari	41.667	39	40.333
023	Surabhi Dhar	39.333	39.333	39.333
072	Janice Winata	39.333	39.333	39.333

096	<b>Divya Chaudhary</b>	44.667	31	37.833
018	<b>Hoi Ki Wong</b>	41.333	33.333	37.333
101	<b>Meher Dev</b>	33	41.667	37.333
101	<b>Radhika Desai</b>	35.333	41.667	36.167
095	<b>Prithviraj Chauhan</b>	36	35.667	35.833
058	<b>Russell Marshall</b>	32.333	38.667	35.5
096	<b>Aayush Sain</b>	40.667	28.333	34.5
126	<b>Qiuyi Chen</b>	39.333	29	34.167
025	<b>Beka Melkamu</b>	32.333	33.667	33
042	<b>Jian Wang</b>	33.667	31.333	32.5
102	<b>Yang Sijie</b>	25.667	39.333	32.5
016	<b>Akhil Raina</b>	28.333	34.667	31.5

033	<b>Namrata Bhagwatula</b>	28.667	32.333	30.5
095	<b>Rajat Arora</b>	30.333	30.667	30.5
044	<b>Fu Swee Theeng</b>	30.333	30.333	30.333
033	<b>Dhrupad Pant</b>	28.333	31.667	30
025	<b>Dong Hee Soh</b>	29.333	28.333	28.833
016	<b>Shriya Nayar</b>	26.667	30.333	28.5
127	<b>Mohd Zharif Abd Hamid</b>	26	27.667	26.833
071	<b>Tang Sisi</b>	29.667	22	25.833
049	<b>Misha Chandna</b>	32.667	17.333	25
051	<b>Li Jyun-Syun</b>	26.667	23.333	25
041	<b>Sahasransu Sourav</b>	19.667	29.333	24.5
031	<b>Yashashree Mahajan</b>	17.333	29	23.167



127	<b>Arieff Zackry Zohary</b>	23.333	22.333	22.833
127	<b>Qurrati Aini Mand Rabi</b>	20.333	22.667	21.5
031	<b>Trishala Kaviti</b>	16.667	26	21.333
018	<b>Ngo Him Joshua Chan</b>	-	40	20
126	<b>Liusheng Zhu</b>	39	-	19.5
097	<b>Ji Hun Jang</b>	17.333	21.333	19.333
071	<b>Li Meng Meng</b>	38	-	19
102	<b>Tao Yahru</b>	-	37.667	18.833
041	<b>Anup Kumar Pattnaik</b>	17.667	18.333	18
018	<b>Cheuk Yin Johnson Ng</b>	35.333	-	17.667
056	<b>Yang Ya Han</b>	35.333	-	17.667
049	<b>Shreya Prakash</b>	34	-	17

109	<b>Elena Cho</b>	33.667	-	16.833
017	<b>Wang Yulong</b>	33.333	-	16.667
017	<b>Yu Linping</b>	32.667	-	16.333
056	<b>Fan Jung Jung</b>	-	31.333	15.667
097	<b>Seung-il Yang</b>	15	15	14.5
042	<b>Ying Lyu</b>	-	28.667	14.333
051	<b>Chen Yi-Hsuan</b>	28.667	-	14.333
109	<b>Imkan Sheik</b>	28	-	14
051	<b>Yang Kuei-Chih</b>	-	27.333	13.667
044	<b>Sin Man Yee</b>	-	27	13.5
109	<b>Nicholas Navaron</b>	-	27	13.5
116	<b>Dai Yi Feng</b>	-	27	13.5

109	<b>Efa Sakinah</b>	-	26.667	13.333
116	<b>Qu Jing</b>	-	26.333	13.166
007	<b>Bui Yen Thu</b>	11.333	14.667	13
044	<b>Liaw Tze Feng</b>	25.667	-	12.833
102	<b>Wang Jing</b>	24	-	12
017	<b>Zhao Yuhui</b>	-	23.667	11.833
071	<b>Zen Ye</b>	-	23.333	11.667
025	<b>Dabin Jung</b>	23	-	11.5
126	<b>Xian Ji</b>	-	22.667	11.333
044	<b>Chan Ching Eong</b>	22.333	-	11.667
007	<b>Hoang Thu Trang</b>	20.667	-	10.333
017	<b>Shao Shuping</b>	-	18.667	9.333

007	Nguyen Hoang Minh	18	-	9
051	Hao Ming-Cheng	-	18	8
007	Nguyen Thi Thu Hang	-	11.667	5.833

#### Quarterfinals

Team	Name	Score
096	Divya Chaudhary	48.333
058	Robert Leonard	44.667
072	Putri Sahari	44
072	Janice Winata	43.333
058	Alice McDonald	42
018	Cheuk Kei Kevin Lau	40.667
058	Russel Marshall	40.333

096	Aayush Jain	39.667
023	Surabhi Dhar	39
018	Ngo Him Joshua Chan	39
023	Akshaya Venkataraman	38.333
071	Tang Sisi	36.333
018	Hoi Ki Wong	35.667
071	Zen Ye	34.333
056	Hung Shiang Wei	33.667
101	Radhika Desai	32.667
056	Lee Chieh	32
101	Meher Dev	29.667
056	Fan Jung Jung	27.333

Semi-finals

Team	Name	Score
023	Surabhi Dhar	45.6
023	Akshaya Venkataraman	43.4
018	Chenk Kei Kevin Lan	41.1
096	Divya Chaudhary	41
058	Robert Leonard	38.9
018	Hoi Ki Wong	38.8
058	Russel Marshall	38.4
096	Aayush Jain	38.2
058	Alice Mcdonald	38
018	Chenk Yin Johnson NG	36.6

## Grand Final

Team	Name	Score
023	Akshaya Venkataraman	42
018	Chenk Kei Kevin Lau	41
018	Hoi Ki Wong	40.944
023	Surabhi Dhar	40.888

## 3.9 Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 023, The West Bengal National University of Juridical Science, India
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Team 018, Cheuk Kei Kevin Lau
- Best Orator Quarter Finals: Divya Chaudhary, Team 096
- Best Orator Semi-Finals: Surabhi Dhar, Team 023
- Best Orator Grand Final: Akshaya Venkataraman, Team 023
  - Best Written Submissions Complainant: Team 071 University of International Business and Economics, China
  - Best Written Submissions Respondent: Team 071 University of International Business and Economics, China
  - Best Written Submissions Overall: Team 071 University of International Business and Economics, China

### 3.9.1 WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winner and the best Orator of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2013 or 2014 or two MILE à la carte courses 2013/2014 or a partial tuition fee waiver for the MILE programme.

### **3.9.2 IELPO Prizes**

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2013 or 2014 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

## **3.10 Concluding Remarks**

This Asia-Pacific Round could turn out to be a big challenge for the local organizers, as we were all overwhelmed by the astonishing amount of 27 teams signing up for this round compared to only 16 teams the year before. However, ALSA Malaysia took on the challenge with a smile and they organized a great Regional Round. It was a great experience to work together with ALSA Malaysia, led by Ms. Jamielyn Jimmy, head of OC and Mr. Akmal Marizalee, President of ALSA Malaysia. The whole Organizing Committee were kind and welcoming. I would like to thank National University of Malaysia and Judicial and Legal Training Institute Malaysia for sponsoring the venues. And a special thanks to Dr. Matthew Wittbrodt, Dr. Sufian Jusoh who spent a lot of their time and effort to make this Regional Round come true, and Mdm Lee Lay Choo for supporting the event.

### **Oda Linneberg Uggen**

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions  
ELSA International 2013/2014



## 4 All-American Regional Round – Washington D.C., USA

### 4.1 Introduction

The All American Regional Round took place from the 5<sup>th</sup> to the 8<sup>th</sup> of March in Washington D.C., USA. The pleadings were held at the American University, Washington College of Law. Behind a smooth organization of the whole event was Padideh Ala'i, Professor of Law, Jennifer Dabson, Director at the Office of Special Events and Continuing legal Education, and a group of student helpers led by Ms. Sarah Shulman.

### 4.2 Panelists

- Daniela Gomes Altamirano – Investment Policy Consultant at the Trade & Competitiveness Global Practice of the World Bank Group, Washington D. C.
- Judah Ariel – Associate at Siley Austin LLP, Washington D. C.
- Beth Balzan – Congressional Staffer in the House Ways and Means Committee
- Pablo Bentes - Director of International Trade & Investment, Steptoe & Johnson, LLP, Washington D.C.
- Jesus Bores – International Attorney, Consultant and professor, Washington D.C.
- Daniel Brinza – Intellectual Property lawyer and trade-mark agent, Toronto
- Alusio Lima-Campos – Professor at the American University, College of law, Chairman of the ABCI Institute, senior advisor, Embassy of Brazil in Washington, D.C.
- Daniel Crosby - Partner in the International Trade Practice Group at King & Spalding, Geneva
- Mateo Ferrero - Dispute Settlement Lawyer, the Appellate Body Secretariat, World Trade Organization
- Jeffery Frank - Special Counsel at Wiley Rein, LLP, Washington D.C.
- Juan Antonio Gaviria - Professor and researcher at Universidad Pontificia Bolivariana, Colombia
- Amy Stanley Hariani - Director and Legal Policy Counsel, US Chamber of Commerce, US-India Business Council
- Jennifer Hillman – Professor of law at Georgetown University, Partner at Cassidy Levy Kent, Washington D.C.
- Melida Hodgson – Partner at Foley Hoag LLP, Washington D.C.
- Gary Horlick – Partner at Law Offices of Gary N. Horlick, Adjunct Professor at Georgetown University Law Center, Washington D.C.
- Michael Jacobson – Associate at Hogan Lovells
- Timothy Keeler – Attorney, Mayer Brown LLP, Adjunct Professor of Law, Georgetown, Washington D.C.
- Vasken Khabayan – Counsellor (Trade Policy) at Embassy of Canada in Washington, DC
- Stephen Kho – Partner at Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, Washington D.C.
- Jesse Kreier – Counsellor, World Trade Organization, Geneva
- Simon Lester – Trade Law and Policy Analyst, WorldTradeLaw.net and Cato Institute, Washington D.C.
- Meredith Lewis – Associate Professor at University of Buffalo Law School

- Patrick Macrory – Director, International Trade Law Center at International Law Institute, Partner at Appleton Luff, Washington D.C.
- Martin Molinuevo - consultant in the World Bank Group Trade and Competitiveness Global Practice
- Warren Maruyama – Partner, Hogan Lovells, Washington D.C.
- Greta Peisch – Assistant General Counsel at US Trade Representative, Washington D.C.
- Amy Porges – International trade lawyer and policy advisor, Law Offices of Amelia Porges PLLC, Washington D.C.
- Ricardo Ramirez-Hernández – Member of the Appellate Body, Chair of International Trade Law at the Mexican National University
- Andrew Shoyer – Chair, International Trade/Arbitration at Sidley Austin LLP, Adjunct Professor at Georgetown University, Washington D.C.
- Eric Solovy – Partner at Sidley Austin LLP, Washington D.C.
- Debra Steger – Professor at University of Ottawa
- Mario Valencia – Attorney, Galicia Abogados
- Santiago Wills – Associate - Head of the International Trade Practice, Lewin & Wills Attorneys at Law, Professor at Universidad de los Andes, Colombia
- Annelies Brock Winborne – Assistant General Counsel at United States Trade Representative, Washington D.C.
- Matthew Yeo – Partner at Steptoe Johnson LLP, Washington D.C.

### 4.3 Participating Universities

#### *North America*

- Team 030 – Queens University, Canada
- Team 048 – Brooklyn Law School, USA
- Team 053 – The American University, Washington College of Law
- Team 075 – University of Ottawa, Canada
- Team 082 – Harvard Law School, USA
- Team 085 – The Ohio State University Moritz College of Law, USA
- Team 125 – Georgetown University, USA

#### *Latin America*

- Team 002 – Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Colombia
- Team 076 – Universidad Fransisco Marroquin, Guatemala
- Team 077 – Universidad del Rosario, Colombia
- Team 078 – Universidad de los Andes, Colombia
- Team 092 – Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico
- Team 108 – Direito G V, Brazil
- Team 120 – Universidad de la Sabana, Colombia
- Team 122 – University of Sao Paulo, Brazil

## 4.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary rounds are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Written Submissions were assessed by Panelists, and the overall scores are the sums of these scores, minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 Panelists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS Complainant	WS Respondent	Overall WS Scores	OP Complainant	OP Respondent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
082	40.5	44	41.5	44.333	41	42.667	42.317
053	43.5	34	37.75	43	40	41.5	40.375
078	39	33	36	42	41	41.5	39.85
076	32	33	32.5	38	40.333	39.167	37.167
030	47	45	45.75	29.333	35.667	32.5	36.475
125	41	32	35.25	34.333	38.333	36.333	36.008
075	30	36	32.75	41.333	33.333	37.333	35.958
085	40	33	36.5	35.333	31.333	33.333	34.283
122	43	28	35.25	34.667	28.333	31.5	32.625

108	27	25	25.5	26.333	39.333	32.083	30.633
120	25	34	29.25	27.667	28.5	28.083	28.433
048	23	33.5	28.25	40	16	28	28.075
077	33	34	33.25	20	31.667	25.833	28.058
092	23	27	24.75	26	28.333	27.167	26.442
002	36	25	30.5	32	17.333	24.667	26.417

## 4.5 Semi-Final Scores

### 4.5.1 North America – Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
030	Queens University, Canada	Complainant	34
082	Harvard Law School, USA	Respondent	46.6

#### 4.5.2 North America – Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
053	American University, Washington College of Law, USA	Complainant	43.2
125	Georgetown University, USA	Respondent	45.2

#### 4.5.3 Latin America – Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
078	Universidad de los Andes, Colombia	Complainant	28
122	University of Sao Paulo, Brazil	Respondent	31

#### 4.5.4 Latin America – Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
076	Universidad Fransisco Marroquin, Guatemala	Complainant	29.2
108	Direito G V, Brazil	Respondent	35.4

## 4.6 Grand Final Scores

### 4.6.1 North America

Team	University	Role	Score
082	Harvard Law School, USA	Complainant	43.778
125	Georgetown University, USA	Respondent	41.333

### 4.6.2 Latin America

Team	University	Role	Score
122	University of Sao Paulo, Brazil	Complainant	29.222
108	Direito G V, Brazil	Respondent	33.111

## 4.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

### 4.7.1 Preliminary

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
082	Daniel Holman	47	43	45
053	Matthew D'Orsi	46.667	38.667	92,5
082	Jason Nichol	44.333	39.333	41.833

125	Kieran McCarthy	36.333	46	41.667
125	Stephanie Hartmann	35.333	43.333	39.333
053	Semira Nikou	38	36.667	37.333
085	Paul Rogers	40.333	32.333	36.333
122	Julia Arias Lara Leite	38.667	32.333	35.5
125	Eileen Crowley	33.333	36	34.667
030	Ashley Kandestin	32.667	34	33.333
108	Julia Rodriguez Casella	28.333	34.333	31.333
122	Marco Aurelio Fernandes Garcia	30	32.667	31.333
085	Ruxton McClure	23.333	37	30.167
048	Kenya Dillon	40.667	18.333	29.5
122	Lea Jenner de Faria	30.333	22.333	26.333
002	Catalina Manga Congote	32.667	17	24.833
076	Javier René Ordóñez Cuetto	-	46	23
076	Ana Elisa Mendes- Vides Schlesinger	44.333	-	22.167

108	Rafael Chaves	-	43.333	21.667
075	Kathleen Childs	43	-	21.5
078	Juan Sebastian Vallejo Amaya	42.667	-	21.333
078	Daniel Alejandro Rangel Juardo	-	42.333	21.167
082	Veronica Sauer	-	40.333	20.167
053	Min He Margaret Kim	-	38.667	19.333
078	Nicolas Alonso Escobar	-	38	19
030	Stephen LeMesurier	-	37.333	18.667
078	Manuela Sossa Sanchez	37.333	-	18.667
082	Kamola Kobildjanova	37	-	18.5
075	Adam Jordon Tyler Bond	37	-	18.5
048	Hal Berman	36.667	-	18.333
077	Avila Medina	-	35.667	17.833
076	Pablo Gerardo Apel	34.333	-	17.167
075	Olga Gerlich	-	34	17



075	Amy Kishek	-	32.667	16.333
002	Federico Fernandez	32	-	16
076	Alejandro Wohlers Taracena	-	32	16
092	Antonio Nava Gomez	-	32	16
120	Sebastián González Cuéllar	-	31.333	15.667
053	Lillyana Daza-Jaller	31	-	15.5
120	Stefanny Justinico Moreno	-	29	14.5
030	James Porter	28.667	-	14.333
077	Maria Alejandra Gomez	-	28.333	14.167
120	Carlos Rodriguez	26.333	-	13.167
030	Maryam Shahidi	26	-	13
092	Maricarmen Castillo Romero	25.333	-	12.667
092	Daniela Elisa Cortes Arroyo	-	25	12.5
120	Daniela Duarte E.	24.333	-	12.167
092	Eduardo Martinez Martinez	24	-	12

108	Carol Sayeg	24	-	12
077	Daniela Almario A.	23.667	-	11.833
002	David Miguel Caldas	-	16	8
077	Catalina Barreiro	15.333	-	7.667
048	Maggie Poon	-	14.333	7.167
048	Lauren Efman	-	13.333	6.667

## 4.7.2 Semi-Finals

### 4.7.2.1 North America

Team	Name	Score
082	Jason Nichol	47.4
053	Matthew D'orsi	46
125	Stephanie Hartmann	43.8
082	Veronica Sauer	43.6
053	Semira Nikow	41.8

053	Min Hee Margaret Kim	41
125	Eileen Crowley	41
030	Ashley Kandestin	35.6
030	Margan Shahid	34.2

#### 4.7.2.2 Latin America

Team	Name	Score
108	Julia Rodrigues Casella	39.4
078	Manuela Sossa Sánchez	36.6
122	Marco Aurélio Fernandes Garcia	34.4
076	Pablo Gerardo Apel	29.8
122	Júlia Arias Lara Leite	28
108	Rafael Chaves	27.2
078	Juan Sebastian Vallejo Amaya	25.2

076	Ana Mendez-Videz Schlesinger	24.8
-----	------------------------------	------

### 4.7.3 Grand Final

#### 4.7.3.1 North America

Team	Name	Score
125	Kieran McCarthy	45.444
082	Daniel Holman	42.888
082	Veronica Sauer	41.667
082	Jason Nichol	40.667
125	Stephanie Hartman	38.888
125	Eileen Crowley	34.556

#### 4.7.3.2 Latin America

Team	Name	Score
108	Julia Rodriguez Casella	36.556
122	Júlia Arias Lara Leite	33.222
122	Marco Aurélio Fernandes Garcia	29.333
108	Rafael Chaves	29

122	Lea Jenner de Faria	24.667
-----	---------------------	--------

## 4.8 Awards and Prizes

### *North America*

- Winner North America: Team 082, Harvard Law School
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Daniel Holman, Team 082
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Jason Nichol, Team 082,
- Best Orator Grand Final: Kieran McCarthy, Team 125
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 030, Queens University, Canada
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 030, Queens University, Canada
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 030, Queens University, Canada

### *Latin America*

- Winner Latin America: Team 108, Direito G V, Brazil
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Julia Arias Lara Leite, Team 122
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Julia Rodriguez Casella, Team 108
- Best Orator Grand Final: Julia Rodriguez Casella, Team 108
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 122, University of Sao Paulo
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 077, Universidad del Rosario, Colombia
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 078, Universidad del los Andes, Colombia

#### 4.8.1 WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winner and the best Orator of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2014 or 2015 or a two MILE à la carte courses 2014/2015 or a partial tuition fee waiver for the MILE programme.

#### 4.8.2 IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2014 or 2015 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

## 4.9 Concluding Remarks

I would first of all like to thank the American University College of Law and their staff, in particular Mrs. Padideh Ala'i and Mrs. Jennifer Dabson for hosting this year's All American Round. American University Washington College of Law have organized Regional Rounds of the ELSA Moot Court Competition before, which was evident, as the organization was flawless. I would also like to thank all the students who served as timekeepers during these days, led by Ms. Sarah Shulman

who helped a lot with the organizing in general. Bringing teams together from North and Latin America as well as numerous panellists from near and far, this was a great Regional Round to take part in.

**Oda Linneberg Uggen**

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions  
ELSA International 2013/2014

## 5 European Regional Round – Prague, Czech Republic

### 5.1 Introduction

The first out of two ELSA Regional Rounds was organized by ELSA Prague, in the capital of Czech Republic from the 10<sup>th</sup> to the 14<sup>th</sup> of March 2014. The pleadings took place in the Charles University in Prague. The Head of the Organizing Committee was Martin Urban together with numerous helpers.

### 5.2 Panelists

- Federico Ortino - Reader in International Economic Law at King's College London
- Weiwei Zhang – Research Assistant & PhD candidate in International Law, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies
- Jan Bohanes – Counsel, Advisory Centre on WTO law, Geneva
- Jenya Grigorova - PhD Research Fellow at Sorbonne
- Nikolay Mizulin – Partner at Mayer Brown
- Iryna Polovets - External Consultant at International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development
- Bart Van Vooren - Senior Associate at Altius
- Loizou Michael George – Administrator at European Court of Justice
- Reto Malacrida – Counsellor at World Trade Organization
- Maria Anna Corvaglia - Postdoctoral Research Fellow at World Trade Institute
- Tommaso Soave - Dispute Settlement Lawyer at World Trade Organization

### 5.3 Participating Universities

- Team 032 – St. Petersburg State University, Russia
- Team 034 – University of Bern, Switzerland
- Team 040 – National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece
- Team 045 – Westfaelische Wilhelms Universitaet Münster, Germany
- Team 047 – University of Helsinki, Finland
- Team 068 – Graduate Institute Geneva, Switzerland
- Team 073 – Taras Schevenko National University of Kyiv, Ukraine
- Team 074 – LSE, UK
- Team 081 - Jagiellonian University, Poland
- Team 083 – University of Cambridge, UK
- Team 084 – University of Passau, Germany
- Team 100 – University of Aberdeen, UK
- Team 104 – UCD, Ireland
- Team 106 – University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Team 107 – Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia
- Team 111 – University of Edinburgh, UK
- Team 128 – Maastricht University, The Netherlands

## 5.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary rounds are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Written Submissions were assessed by Panelists, and the overall scores are the sums of these scores, minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 Panelists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS Complainant	WS Respondent	Overall WS Scores	OP Complainant	OP Respondent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
040	41	38	39.5	46.333	46.667	46.5	44.4
068	40	46	43	36.333	37.667	37	38.8
106	32.5	26.5	28.75	39.667	42	40.833	37.208
045	41	37	39	33.333	38.667	36	36.9
128	42	47	44.25	33.333	29	31.167	35.092
073	26	36	30.75	33.333	39.667	36.5	34.775
083	28	23	25	44	29.667	36.833	33.283
074	36	33	34.5	32.667	29.667	31.167	32.167
047	37	35	36	33	26.333	29.667	31.567



111	35	33	33	25	33.333	29.167	30.317
034	34	17	22.75	29.333	31.333	30.333	28.058
081	34	25	28.75	26	29.333	27.667	27.992
107	29	32.5	30	35.667	13	24.333	26.033
084	24	27	25.5	24.667	25.667	25.167	25.267
032	28	25	26.25	24.333	22.667	23.5	24.325
104	20	37	28	15.667	19	17.333	20.533
100	17	12.5	13.5	12.333	20.333	16.333	15.483

## 5.5 Semi-Final Scores

### 5.5.1 Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
040	National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece	Complainant	43
106	University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands	Respondent	44

### 5.5.2 Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
068	The Graduate Institute, Geneva, Switzerland	Complainant	32
045	Westfaelische Wilhelms Universitaet Münster, Germany	Respondent	35

### 5.6 Grand-Final Scores

Team	University	Role	Score
106	University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands	Complainant	34.286
045	Westfaelische Wilhelms Universitaet Münster, Germany	Respondent	35.786

### 5.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

#### 5.7.1 Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
040	Panagiotis Kyriakou	46.667	46.333	46.5

040	Panagiotis Tehodoropoulos	45.333	46.667	46
040	Anna Ventouratou	44	45	44.5
068	Wonji Cho	42	41	41.5
106	Katherine Connolly	40.667	41.333	41
083	Wai Lam Wong	36.667	44.333	40.5
106	Pauline Wilson	37.667	41	39.333
073	Vladyslav Kurylko	34	42	38
068	Julia Mullenhoff	37.333	35.333	36.333
073	Oleksandr Melnyk	32.667	39.667	36.167
128	Ines Khiari Millan	37	33.333	35.167
034	Maija Kokkonen	33	36.333	34.667
045	Benita Leder	33.667	34.667	34.167
045	Pauline Marx	26.333	41.667	34
083	Alisa Rumberkerd	25.667	41.667	33.667
081	Niklas Droste	25.667	33	29.333

034	Andrea Schlapfer	30	28	29
084	Fiona Whikeside	25	33	29
107	Kryukova Elena	36	22	29
047	Riikka Kuoppamaki	31.667	26	28.833
128	Sophia Paulini	28.667	28.667	28.667
032	Daria Chechushkina	27.667	27.667	27.667
034	Katrin Ruegsegger	28	27	27.5
081	Pawel Skura	28.333	23.667	26
047	Sofia Otala	31	20.333	25.667
047	Essi Koskinen	27	23.667	25.333
107	Savina Elisaveta	33	17.667	25.333
084	Martin Hecknijscher	25	21.667	23.333
084	Sandra Menke	82,91666667	0	41,45833333
032	Ksenia Petrouets	21.667	20	20.833
111	Shauna O'Brien	-	39	19.5

104	Sinead Williams	15.333	22.667	19
045	Jacob Ampofo	37	-	18.5
045	Nina Hellich	-	37	18.5
073	Ivana-Maria Melnyk	-	34	17
074	Irene Claeys	-	33.667	16.833
100	Dominika Kudrin	-	33.333	16.667
111	Joshua Jawney	-	33.333	16.667
068	Seul Lee	-	33	16.5
032	Aleksei Drobyshev	-	32.667	16.333
104	Hilary Heelan	14.667	16.667	15.667
068	Vladlena Rudusane	31	-	15.5
073	Viktoriya Krasnoshchok	30	-	15
074	Pavan Krishnamurthy	29.667	-	14.833
084	Jike Wurdermann	27.667	-	13.833
111	Anne-Marie Donenberg	27.667	-	13.833

074	Petrina Georgaraki	-	27	13.5
100	Regina Gabbasova	15	12	13.5
100	Piotr Klaskala	-	25.333	12.667
081	Bartosz Bigaj	25	-	12.5
111	Naveed Nanjee	25	-	12.5
081	Sebastian Kleszyk	-	24	12
032	Ivan Khaddurov	23.667	-	11.833
074	Thomas Rozis	23.333	-	11.667
084	Caroline Flockle	-	22.333	11.167
100	Dominika Kudrin	-	19.667	9.833
100	Demetris Hadjiosif	17.333	-	8.667
104	Bryan O'Farrel	17	-	8.5

### 5.7.2 Semi-Finals

Team	Name	Score
106	Katherine Connolly	41.75

106	Pauline Wilson	38.25
040	Anna Ventouratou	38
040	Panagiotis Kyriakou	37.5
040	Panagiotis Theodoropoulos	37.25
045	Nina Hellich	36.25
045	Pauline Marx	35.75
068	Won Ji Cho	33
068	Vladlena Rudusane	32
068	Julia Mullenhoff	32

### 5.7.3 Grand Final

Team	Name	Score
045	Pauline Marx	39

106	Pauline Wilson	37.286
106	Katherine Connolly	35.857
045	Nina Hellich	33.714

## 5.8 Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 045, Westfaelische Wilhelms Universitaet Münster, Germany
- Runner-up: Team 106, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Team 040, Panagiotis Kyriakou
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Team 106, Katherine Connolly
- Best Orator Grand Final: Team 045, Poulaine Marx
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 128, Maastricht University, The Netherlands
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 128, Maastricht University, The Netherlands
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 128, Maastricht University, The Netherlands

### 5.8.1 WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winner and the best Orator of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2014 or 2015 or two MILE à la carte courses 2014/2015 or a partial tuition fee waiver for the MILE programme.

### 5.8.2 IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2014 or 2015 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

## 5.9 Concluding Remarks

ELSA Prague is an experienced ELSA group when it comes to organizing bigger events, and they handled an intricate and complicated event like this ELSA Moot Court Competition Regional Round very well. Led by their Head of OC, who is very capable and a pleasure to have been working with, Martin Urban, many active members of ELSA Prague were helping with practical arrangements and timekeeping. The whole OC was always smiling and helpful, and they also put a lot of effort into the social programme in order to make the participants' visit to Prague a



pleasant one in every respect. I am very glad ELSA Prague was one of the organizers of the ELSA Regional Rounds this year.

**Oda Linneberg Uggen**

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions  
ELSA International 2013/2014

## 6 European Regional Round – Warsaw, Poland

### 6.1 Introduction

The second ELSA Regional Round in Europe was organized by ELSA Warsaw in the capital of Poland, from the 26<sup>th</sup> to the 30<sup>th</sup> of March 2014. The pleadings were held in the Chamber of Commerce, and at the University of Warsaw. The Organising Committee was led by the president of ELSA Warsaw, Mr. Marek, and consisted of numerous helpers.

### 6.2 Panelists

- Prof. Rolf Weber - World Trade Institute
- Dr Lorand Bartels - Cambridge University
- Dr Roberto Rios Herrán - Partner at Appleton Luff
- Dr Jan Yves Remy - LL.M., Associate at Sidley Austin LLP
- Dr Piotr Szwedo - Jagiellonian University
- Dr Marek Jeżewski - Partner at Kochański Zięba Rapala & Partners
- Maria Alcover - LL.M., Counsel at the Advisory Center on WTO Law
- Daniel Zatorski - LL.M., Attorney at the Polish State Treasury Solicitors' Office
- Xiaolu Zhu - Legal Officer at the Appellate Body Secretariat
- Marisa Beth Goldstein - Legal Officer at the WTO Legal Affairs Division
- Charlotte Sieber-Gasser - Researcher at the World Trade Institute

### 6.3 Participating Universities

- Team 001 - MGIMO University, Russia
- Team 027 - Southern University of Denmark, Denmark
- Team 029 - Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Germany
- Team 035 - KU Leuven, Belgium
- Team 055 - University of Geneva, Switzerland
- Team 059 - Leiden University, The Netherlands
- Team 062 - Belarusian State University, Belarus
- Team 063 - Institute of International Relations Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv
- Team 070 - University of Lausanne, Switzerland
- Team 091 - Jean Moulin University Lyon, France
- Team 093 - University of Barcelona, Spain
- Team 103 - National University of "Kyiv-Mohyla Academy
- Team 129 - University of Thrace, Greece

## 6.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary rounds are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Overall Written Submission score is based on the sum of the scores minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 Panelists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS Complainant	WS Respondent	Overall WS Scores	OP Complainant	OP Respondent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
035	43	38	40	43.333	39	41.167	40.817
055	31	41.5	36.25	43	36	39.5	38.525
093	38	30.5	33	33	42	37.5	36.45
059	46	33.5	39.75	42	27.667	34.833	36.308
029	38	41	39.5	39.333	25.667	32.5	34.6
103	33	31.5	32.25	34.333	30	32.167	32.192
062	26	39	32.5	33.667	23.333	28.5	29.7
027	41	38	39.5	26.667	23.333	25	29.35
070	40	40	39.25	24	20	22	27.175

129	37.5	43	39	32.667	8.667	20.667	26.167
001	27	28	27.25	22.333	20.333	21.333	23.108
063	24	26	24.75	21	10.333	15.667	18.392
091	18	25	20.5	9	18.333	13.667	15.717

## 6.5 Semi-Final Scores

### 6.5.1 Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
035	KU Leuven, Belgium	Complainant	42.75
093	University of Barcelona, Spain	Respondent	32.5

### 6.5.2 Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
059	Leiden University, The Netherlands	Complainant	24.5
055	University of Geneva, Switzerland	Respondent	34.5

## 6.6 Grand Final Scores

Team	University	Role	Score
055	University of Geneva, Switzerland	Complainant	25.429
035	KU Leuven, Belgium	Respondent	35.286

## 6.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

### 6.7.1 Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
035	Ines Willemys	42	42.333	42.167
059	Willem-Jan Ingels	45	38	41.5
093	Valentin Weigel	35	44	39.5
035	Sidonie Descheemaeker	37.667	39.333	38.5
103	Olha Hrynkiv	36	40	38
027	Hans Holme	34	30	32
062	Hanna Volehak	38	24.333	31.167

059	Vladimir Firsov	38	21	29.5
027	Signe Helbo	34.667	23	28.833
103	Bogdan Duchak	27.667	25.333	26.5
070	Amelia Martinez	29.333	22	25.667
001	Guzel Khakimova	26	24.333	25.167
062	Darye Dzeniriuk	28	21.333	24.667
062	Madzezdha Famianok	23	24.667	23.833
070	Silvia Puche Martinez	25	22	23.5
055	Sonja Kahl	45	-	22.5
055	Alexandra Telychko	-	43.333	21.667
091	Tamari Khorhobashuili	17.667	25	21.333
029	Dominik Hill	42	-	21
063	Volodymyr Ponomarev	26.667	14.333	20.5
027	Trine Raunkjær	22.667	15.667	19.167
093	Lluis Hernandes	-	37	18.5

029	Christiane Seiff	36.667	-	18.333
055	Silvio Da Silva	34.667	-	17.333
129	Dimitra-Electra Louizidi	34.667	-	17.333
001	Marina Sim	22	12	17
063	Bogdan Kogar	14.667	19.333	17
001	Darya Astakhova	21.667	12	16.833
129	Emmaneuil Bongiakiotis	32.333	-	16.167
055	Marianna Nerushay	-	31.667	15.833
091	Christian Boruzi	13.333	17	15.167
093	Claudia Castillo Comabella	29.333	-	14.667
029	Michalina Dzuiba-Claus	-	25	12.5
029	Marcel Valentin	-	24.333	12.167
059	Fengan Richard Jiang	-	19.667	9.833
063	Ksenia Korniienko	16	-	8
129	Eleni Bampaletaki	-	13.667	6.833

129	Konstantinos Karagiannis	-	9.333	4.667
091	Charles Dagan	7.667	-	3.834

### 6.7.2 Semi-Finals

Team	Name	Score
035	Sidonie Descheemaeker	44.75
055	Sonia Kahl	43.25
035	Ines Willemyns	41.75
035	Laura Verhoeven	41.25
093	Valentin Weigel	34
055	Alexandra Telychko	31.75
059	Willem-Jan Ingles	30.5
093	Lluís Hernández Plana	28



055	Marianna Nerushay	25
059	Vladimir Virsov	22
059	Olga Baranova	21.75

### 6.7.3 Grand Final

Team	Name	Score
035	Sidonie Descheemaeker	38.571
035	Ines Willemys	33
055	Silvio Da Silva	25.714
055	Sonia Kahl	24.429

## 6.8 Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 035, Leuven University, Belgium
- Runner-up: Team 055, University of Geneva, Switzerland
- Third Place: Team 093, University of Barcelona, Spain
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Ines Willemys, Team 035
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Sidonie Descheemaeker, Team 035
- Best Orator Grand Final: Sidonie Descheemaeker, Team 035
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 059, Leiden University, The Netherlands
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 129, University of Thrace, Greece
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 035, Leuven University, Belgium

### **6.8.1 WTI Prizes**

One of the main sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winner and the best Orator of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2014 or 2015 or two MILE à la carte courses 2014/2015 or a partial tuition fee waiver for the MILE programme.

### **6.8.2 IELPO Prizes**

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2014 or 2015 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

## **6.9 Concluding Remarks**

Remark from Marek Szolc, President of ELSA Warsaw:

“Being a host of the EMC<sup>2</sup> European Regional Round was a unique and rewarding experience for ELSA Warsaw, my Local Group, and me as the head of the organizational committee. Challenges we that we faced, friendships we made and lessons we learned all make the almost one-year long effort of a huge group of people totally worth it. I had the pleasure to work with an amazing team of dedicated and enthusiastic law students - members of ELSA, who are still very happy that they could contribute to the wonderful idea of the moot and developing legal education. We are sure about one thing - if we were to organize the Regional Round again, we would do so without hesitation, as we enjoyed it like no other project before.”

ELSA Warsaw hosted a flawless Regional Round of the ELSA Moot Court Competition, and it was evident that the OC and especially the President, Marek Szolc had put a lot of thought and effort into the preparations. Especially great was it that each team was given their own OC member as their guide for the event. I would like to thank ELSA Warsaw for the good cooperation and the great experience of participating in their Regional Round.

### **Oda Linneberg Uggen**

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions  
ELSA Internaitonal 2013/2014

## **7 African Regional Round – Johannesburg, South Africa**

### **7.1 Introduction**

The African Regional Round in was a very special experience for me, because it was the very first African Regional Round in the history of the ELSA Moot Court Competition. The first ever African round was organized by staff and students at the Witwatersrand University, Mandela Institute in Johannesburg, South Africa from the 31<sup>st</sup> of March to the 2<sup>nd</sup> of April 2014. The pleadings were held in the Mandela Institute's facilities. I would like to thank especially Julie Dunsford, Tumai Murombo, Franziska Sucker and Engela Schlemmer, whom I worked closely with from the very beginning.

### **7.2 Panelists**

- Prof Riekie Wandrag – University of Cape, Faculty of Law, South Africa
- Prof John Baloro – University of Namibia
- Ms Malebakeng Forere – University of KwaZulu-Natal, Lesotho
- Prof Engela Schlemmer – University of Witwatersrand, South Africa
- Ms Chibole Wakoli – Dispute Settlement Lawyer at World Trade Organization
- Mr Carlo Gamberale – Counsellor, the Appellate Body Secretariat, World Trade Organization
- Ms. Franziska Sucker, University of Witwatersrand
- Mr. Christian Vidal-Leon – PricewaterhouseCoopers
- Jan Heukelman - Trade Consultant, J Heukelman International

### **7.3 Participating Universities**

- Team 043, Rhodes University, South Africa
- Team 069, Haramaya University, Ethiopia
- Team 089, Faculty of Legal, Political and Social Sciences of Tunis, Tunisia
- Team 099, Kabarak University, Kenya
- Team 105, National University of Lesotho, Lesotho
- Team 113, Université Internationale des Sciences Sociales Hampaté Ba, d'Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire
- Team 118, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia

## 7.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary rounds are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Overall Written Submission score is based on the sum of the scores minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 Panelists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS Complainant	WS Respondent	Overall WS Scores	OP Complainant	OP Respondent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
069	45	39	41	27	34.667	30.833	33.883
043	26	31	28.5	35.667	26.333	31	30.25
105	16	28	21.5	29.667	28.333	29	26.75
118	18.5	12.5	14.5	27.667	28	27.833	23.833
113	35	23	28.5	13.333	13.667	13.5	18
089	2	5	2	21.667	24.333	23	16.7
099	25	10.5	15.5	13	12	12.5	13.4

## 7.5 Semi-Final Scores

### 7.5.1 Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score

118	Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia	Complainant	32.875
069	Haramaya University, Ethiopia	Respondent	34

### 7.5.2 Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
105	National University of Lesotho	Complainant	33.75
043	Rhodes University, South Africa	Respondent	36.5

## 7.6 Grand Final Scores

Team	University	Role	Score
069	Haramaya University, Ethiopia	Complainant	34.571
043	Rhodes University, South Africa	Respondent	36.857

## 7.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

### 7.7.1 Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score

043	Jamie Foreman	37	25.333	31.167
105	Kabelo Ntitsane	29	28.667	28.833
089	Salma Hammemi	29.667	24.883	27.25
105	Ntlatseng Naile	26	22.333	24.167
069	Yidnekachew Biru Tekle	15.667	30	22.833
089	Houssen El Behi	22	23.667	22.833
089	Souhir Chaari	19.333	24.333	21.833
069	Barnabas Temesgen Berhanu	-	37.667	18.333
069	Getahun Abate Tuji	-	35.333	17.667
043	Bokang Kokolia Taoana	35	-	17.5
118	Liilna Teji	29	-	14.5
113	Martinez Gballou	15	13.833	14.417
099	Ogeto Nelson	15.833	12.333	14.083
118	Radiet Stiphanos Teka	-	27.833	13.917
043	Oscar McGown-Withers	-	26.667	13.333

069	Delil Workicho Hussen	26.667	-	13.333
099	Esther Asena	13.5	12.333	12.917
118	Gelila Haile Diguma	-	25.833	12.917
105	Letsatsi Masoeu	-	24.333	12.167
118	Abdunasir Bereket Adem	21	-	10.5
043	Deanne McKersie	-	18.333	9.167
099	Ruth Wamutu Maina	-	15.333	7.667
113	Ruth Ouraga	12.667	-	6.333

### 7.7.2 Semi-Finals

Team	Name	Score
105	Kabelo Ntitsane	36.25
069	Barnabas Berhanu	35.5
118	Redeat Teka	34.75

118	Liilna Teji	34.25
043	Oscar McGown-Withers	33.5
069	Yidnekachew Tekle	31.25
105	Lintle Mokhobatau	24.75
105	Naile Ntlatseng	24.75
043	Deanne McKersie	24.25
118	Abdunasir Adem	23.5

### 7.7.3 Grand Final

Team	Name	Score
043	Oscar McGown-Withers	38.571
043	Jamie Foreman	32.714
069	Yidnekachew Tekle	30



043	Deanne McKersie	29.857
069	Delil Hussen	29

## 7.8 Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 043, Rhodes University, South Africa
- Runner-up: Team 069, Haramaya University, Ethiopia
- Third Place: Team 105, National University of Lesotho
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Jamie Foreman, Team 043
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Kabelo Ntitsane, Team 105
- Best Orator Grand Final: Oscar McGown-Withers, Team 043
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 069, Haramaya University, Ethiopia
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 069, Haramaya University, Ethiopia
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 069, Haramaya University, Ethiopia

### 7.8.1 WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winner and the best Orator of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2014 or 2015 or two MILE à la carte courses 2014/2015 or a partial tuition fee waiver for the MILE programme.

### 7.8.2 IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2014 or 2015 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme. SPECIAL IELPO PRIZE

## 7.9 Concluding Remarks

Despite it being the very first time an African Regional Round of the ELSA Moot Court Competition, it worked as perfectly as the other established Regional Rounds in terms of logistics. All participants were well taken care of throughout the whole event, amongst other things with a lovely Award Dinner at the campus of Witwatersrand University. Special with this round was the fact that the participants were able to attend, thanks to financial support from the WTO and a lot of logistical work and fundraising from the local organizers. It was a true pleasure to see the African Regional Round taking shape, and to meet all the lovely people involved. I truly hope the African Round can become something permanent for the ELSA Moot Court Competition.

**Oda Linneberg Uggen**  
Vice President for Moot Court Competitions  
ELSA International 2013/2014

## 8 The Final Oral Round

### 8.1 Introduction

The Final Oral Round of the 12<sup>th</sup> edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law took place in Geneva, Switzerland from the 13<sup>th</sup> to 18<sup>th</sup> of May 2014. The Preliminary Pleadings and Quarter Finals were held in the Graduate Institutes' Villa Rigot, a splendid venue for such a competition. The Semi-Finals, as well as the Grand Final took place in excellent venues at the WTO headquarters. Other events, such as the Opening Ceremony, Career Fair and Award Ceremony were also held there. The Opening Ceremony Reception was held by Sidley Austin in the WTO Atrium, and the Award Dinner took place at the restaurant Vieux Bois. Thanks to invaluable help from our supporters at the WTO, the Graduate Institute, our sponsors based in Geneva, my own team of helpers from ELSA, and numerous personalities within the field of trade law who served as panellists, we managed to put together a well-organized, eventful and rewarding Final Oral Round for all participants.

### 8.2 Panelists

- Markus Krajewski (case author) – Professor, World Trade Institute in Bern and the University of Erlangen-Nuremberg
- Thomas Cottier – Professor and founder of the World Trade Institute, Bern, Switzerland
- Valerie Hughes – Director of the Legal Affairs Division of the WTO
- Werner Zdouc – Director of the WTO Appellate Body Secretariat
- Peter Van den Bossche – Member of the Appellate Body, World Trade Organization
- Ruosi Zhang – Counsellor WTO
- Joost Pauwelyn – Professor, The Graduate Institute, Geneva
- Ambassador Eloi Laourou - Plenipotentiary Minister of Foreign Affairs
- Jesse Kreier – Counsellor WTO
- Arancha Gonzales – Executive Director of the International Trade Centre
- Carlo Gamberale - Dispute Settlement Lawyer, the Appellate Body Secretariat
- Santiago Wills – Associate Lewin & Wills law firm Bogota, Colombia
- Chibole Wakoli – Legal officer, WTO
- Vitaliy Pogoretskyy - Counsellor, Advisory Centre on WTO law
- Jan Bohanes – Counsellor, Advisory Centre on WTO law
- Daniela Gomez Altamirano - Investment Policy Consultant at the Trade & Competitiveness Global Practice of the World Bank Group, Washington D. C.
- Lorand Bartels – PhD and Senior Lecturer at Cambridge University
- Maria Alcover - Counsel at the Advisory Center on WTO Law
- Michelle Healy – Counsellor WTO
- Theresa Carpenter - Executive Director of the Graduate Institute's Centre for Trade and Economic Integration
- Jasper Wauters - Lawyer at King and Spalding
- Nicolas Lockhart – Partner at Sidley Austin LLP

- Philipp De Baere – Partner at Van Bael and Bellis
- Todd Friedbacher - Partner at Sidley Austin LLP
- Ravi Soopramanien – Legal Officer, World Trade Organization
- Alejandro Sanchez – Counsellor, Advisory Centre on WTO law
- Peter Milthorp – Counsellor, World Trade Organization
- Jorge Huerta Goldman – Law Practitioner and Researcher at TILPA
- Moody Oluwatobiloba
- Miguel Burnier de la Silveira – Dispute Settlement Lawyer at World Trade Organisation
- Jennifer Hamaoui – Legal Affairs Officer – World Trade Organization
- Bart Van Vooren – Senior Associate at ALTIUS
- Vasiliki Avgoustidi - Dispute Settlement Lawyer at World Trade Organisation
- Pinar Artiran – World Trade Organization Chair
- Laura Gomez Bustos - Dispute settlement lawyer at World Trade Organization
- Martin Goyette - Counsellor, Rules Division, World Trade Organization

### 8.3 Participating Universities

- Team 018, The University of Hong Kong
- Team 023, The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, India
- Team 035, KU Leuven, Belgium
- Team 040, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece
- Team 043, Rhodes University, South Africa
- Team 053, The American University, Washington College of Law
- Team 045, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany
- Team 055, University of Geneva, Switzerland
- Team 058, Bond University, Australia
- Team 069, Haramaya University, Ethiopia
- Team 076, Universidad Francisco Marroquin, Guatemala
- Team 082, Harvard Law School, USA
- Team 093, University of Barcelona, Spain
- Team 096, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohiya National Law University
- Team 105, National University of Lesotho, Lesotho
- Team 106, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Team 108, Direito G V, Brazil
- Team 112, University of Tehran, Iran
- Team 122, University of Sao Paulo, Brazil
- Team 125, Georgetown University, USA

### 8.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary rounds are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Overall Written Submission score is based on the sum of the scores minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Written Submissions of the teams

participating in the Final Oral Round were scored again by some of the panelists from this particular round. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 Panelists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS Complainant	WS Respondent	Overall WS Scores	OP Complainant	OP Respondent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
082	46	45	44.75	47.333	43.333	45.333	45.158
035	36.5	45	40.25	46.333	31.333	38.833	39.258
018	40	36	37.5	39.333	33.667	36.5	36.8
053	40	34	36	38.333	35.333	36.833	36.583
125	32	28	28.75	38.667	40.667	39.667	36.392
040	39	35	37	27.333	42.333	34.833	35.483
106	28	25	25.75	44.667	34.333	39.5	35.375
055	38	46	42	31.667	33.333	32.5	35.35
108	29.5	37	32.75	39	33.667	36.333	35.258
023	35	43	38.5	27.667	40	33.833	35.233

058	28	32	30	41.667	32	36.833	34.783
045	35	39	37	39.667	27.667	33.667	34.667
122	36	39.5	37.5	32.667	26	29.333	31.783
043	35	25	30	37.667	26	31.833	31.283
093	36.5	36	36	37.333	19.333	28.333	30.633
076	27	26	26.5	34.333	29.667	32	30.35
096	20	26	23	34.333	21.667	28	26.5
105	16	21	18	27	29.333	28.167	25.117
112	20	38	27.5	21.333	20	20.667	22.717
069	20	24	21	23.667	20	21.833	21.583

### 8.4.1 Quarter-Final Scores

#### 8.4.1.1 Quarter-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
082	Harvard School of Law, USA	Complainant	44
055	University of Geneva, Switzerland	Respondent	21.333

#### 8.4.1.2 Quarter-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
106	University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands	Complainant	47
035	University of Leuven, Belgium	Respondent	39

#### 8.4.1.3 Quarter-Final 3

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
040	National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece	Complainant	43
018	University of Hong Kong	Respondent	38.667

#### 8.4.1.4 Quarter-Final 4

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
053	The American University, USA	Complainant	31.667
125	Georgetown University, USA	Respondent	42

#### 8.4.2 Semi-Final Scores

##### 8.4.2.1 Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
082	Harvard School of Law, USA	Complainant	45.8
125	Georgetown University, USA	Respondent	43.8

##### 8.4.2.2 Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
040	National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece	Complainant	39.8
106	University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands	Respondent	36.4

#### 8.4.3 Grand Final Scores



Team	University	Role	Score
082	Harvard School of Law, USA	Complainant	43.667
040	National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece	Respondent	45.667

## 8.5 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

The Individual score of each participant calculates as follows: scores of the complainant and respondent divided by 2. The maximum points to reach were 50. Each speaker had to plead on both sides and at least 7 minutes to be eligible for the best orator award.

### 8.5.1 Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
125	Kieran McCarthy	44.333	42.667	43.5
082	Daniel Holman	47.667	38.667	43.167
082	Jason Nichol	42.333	43.667	43
053	Matthew D'Orsi	42.667	39.667	41.167
058	Robert Jeffrey Leonard	41.333	40.333	40.833
106	Katherine Connolly	46	35	40.5

106	Pauline Wilson	45.667	34.333	40
058	Russel David Marshall	41.667	37.667	39.667
035	Ines Willemyns	46	32.667	39.333
053	Semira Nikou	42	36.333	39.167
035	Sidonie Descheemaeker	43.667	34.333	39
058	Alice Mathilda McDonald	42.333	34.333	38.333
125	Stephanie Hartmann	36.667	36.333	36.5
055	Sonja Kahl	36.667	35.667	36.167
040	Panagiotis Kyriakou	28.667	42.667	35.667
045	Benita Leder	42	29	35.5
023	Akshaya Venkataraman	30.667	40	35.333
040	Panagiotis Tehodoropoulos	28.333	41.667	35
055	Alexandra Telychko	33	36.333	34.667
023	Surabhi Dhar	30.667	38	34.333
045	Pauline Marx	39.333	28	33.667

108	Rafael Chaves Fonseca	37	30.333	33.667
043	Oscar McGown- Withers	31	35.667	33.333
125	Eileen Crowley	32	33.667	32.833
018	Cheuk Yin Johnson Ng	28.333	36.333	32.333
040	Anna Ventouratou	20.667	44	32.333
018	Hoi Ki Wong	34	29.667	31.833
076	Javier Rene Ordonez Cuetto	34	28	31
093	Valentin Weigel	38.667	22	30.333
122	Julia Arias Leite	30.667	29	29.833
096	Divya Chaudhary	31.667	26.667	29.167
043	Jamie Foreman	28.667	29	28.833
096	Aayush Jain	35.667	20.333	28
105	Kabelo Ntitsane	24.667	31	27.833
122	Marco Aurelio Fernandes Garcia	27	27.333	27.167
105	Ntlatseng Naile	24.667	28	26.333

069	Barnabas Temesgen Berhanu	25.667	22	23.833
112	Amir Janfada	23.667	21.333	22.5
035	Laura Verhoeven	42.333	-	21.167
043	Bokang Taoana	41.667	-	20.833
082	Veronica Sauer	-	41.333	20.667
069	Yidnekachew Biru Tekle	20.6667	20.333	20.5
108	Carol Sayeg	41	-	20.5
018	Cheuk Kei Kevin Lau	39.333	-	19.667
045	Jacob Ampofo	39.333	-	19.667
018	Ngo Him Joshua Chan	-	38.333	19.167
055	Marianna Narushay	-	37.667	18.833
082	Kamola Kobildjanova	37.667	-	18.833
108	Julia Rodrigues Casella	-	35.667	17.833
093	Claudia Castillo Comabella	35.667	-	17.833
053	Min Hee Margaret Kim	-	33	16.5

076	Pablo Gerardo Apel	32.667	-	16.333
053	Lillyana Daza Jaller	32.333	-	16.167
055	Silvio Carlos da Silva	30.667	-	15.333
105	Lintle Mokhobatau	29.333	-	15.667
045	Nina Hellich	-	29	14.5
076	Alejandro Wolhers Taracena	-	29	14.5
105	Letsatsi Masoeu	-	25	12.5
069	Getahun Abate Tuji	-	20.667	10.333
093	Lluis Hernandez Plana	-	18.667	9.333
043	Deanne McKersie	-	18.333	9.167
112	Qazaleh Ilkhanizadeh	-	18.333	9.167
069	Delil Workicho Hussen	18	-	9

### 8.5.2 Quarter-Final Scores

Team	Name	Score
106	Katherine Connolly	47.667
106	Pauline Wilson	45.333
082	Daniel Holman	44.667
040	Panagiotis Theodoropoulos	44.333
082	Jason Nichol	44
018	Ngo Him Joshua Chan	43.333
040	Panagiotis Kyriakou	43
125	Eileen Crowley	42.667
053	Matthew D'Orsi	41
082	Kamola Kobildjanova	40
040	Anna Ventouratou	40

035	Ines Willemyns	39.667
018	Hoi Ki Wong	39.667035
035	Laura Verhoeven	39
125	Stephanie Hartmann	37.333
125	Kieran McCarthy	37.333
018	Cheuk Kei Kevin Lau	35.333
053	Margaret Kim	27.333
055	Alexandra Telychko	26.333
055	Marianna Nerushay	25
053	Semira Nikou	24.667
055	Sonia Kahl	18

### 8.5.3 Semi-Final Scores

<b>Team</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Score</b>
125	Kieran McCarthy	47.4
082	Daniel Holman	45.6
082	Jason Nichol	44
040	Panagiotis Kyriakou	43.6
106	Katherine Connolly	39
125	Stephanie Hartmann	38.4
040	Panagiotis Theodoropoulos	38.2
125	Eileen Crowley	37.6
040	Anna Ventouratou	36.2
082	Kamola Kobildjanova	35.6
106	Pauline Wilson	33.8



## 8.6 Grand Final Scores

Team	Name	Score
082	Daniel Holman	48
040	Panagiotis Theodoropoulos	44.778
082	Jason Nichol	43.333
040	Panagiotis Kyriakou	42.222
040	Anna Ventouratou	41
082	Kamola Kobildjanova	36.778

## 8.7 Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 040, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece
- Runner-up: Team 082, Harvard Law School, USA
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Kieran McCarthy, Team 125
- Best Orator Quarter Finals: Katherine Connolly, Team 106
- Best Orator Semi-Finals: Kieran McCarthy, Team 125
- Best Orator Grand Final – Werner Zdouc Award: Daniel Holman, Team 082
- Best Complainant Written Submission – Gabrielle Marceau Award: Team 082, Harvard Law School, USA
- Best Respondent Written Submission – Valerie Hughes Award: Team 055, University of Geneva, Switzerland
- Best Overall Written Submissions: Team 082, Harvard Law School, USA

### 8.7.1 WTI Prize

One of the main sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winner of the Final Oral Round: free participation (no course fees) for up to 5 weeks of the

World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2013 or 2014. Alternatively, one of the five weeks could be redeemed for a *MILE à la carte* course in the 2013/2014 academic year.

### 8.7.2 IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 80 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2014 or 2015 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

### 8.7.3 WTO Prizes

The WTO provided material prizes to all participants who received an award.

## 8.8 Career Panel

On the 16<sup>th</sup> of May the participants were able to attend a Career Panel, organized for the second year in a row, at the WTO headquarters. The WTO invited several individuals to share information about the international trading system and the roles of lawyers within it, their experiences working in international trade law and how to pursue a legal career in international trade law. The panel was followed by a career fair in the WTO Atrium, with a reception generously provided by Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP.

The following individuals provided a very diverse and interesting informative session to the participating students, which was chaired by Ms Marisa Goldstein from the WTO:

- **Alan Yanovich**, Senior Counsel in the Geneva office of the law firm of Akin Gump Strauss Hauer and Feld LLP
- **Caroline Ming**, International Legal Counsel and Program Manager for the SGS worldwide group of inspection, testing, verification and certification companies
- **Dr Mohammad Saeed**, Senior Adviser on Trade Facilitation with International Trade Centre (ITC)
- **Dr Lorand Bartels**, University Senior Lecturer in Law in the Faculty of Law and a Fellow of Trinity Hall at the University of Cambridge
- **Alice Tipping**, Senior Programme Officer and leads the ICTSD's Environment and Natural Resources programme
- **Marisa Goldstein**, Counsellor in the Legal Affairs Division of the World Trade Organization

## 8.9 Concluding Remarks

As of the 11<sup>th</sup> edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition, the Final Oral Round has been brought back to Geneva, with ELSA International as organizers. As Vice President for Moot Court Competitions it has therefore been one of my big tasks during my term. I never dreamt of how this

whole, worldwide competition could come to an end with such a successful Final Oral Round, and I would never have managed on my own. I would first of all like to thank especially our helpers at the WTO, and in particular Professor Gabrielle Marceau, Marisa Goldstein and Chibole Wakoli, with whom I have been working closely with my whole term, for all the hours and resources they have put into the ELSA Moot. Furthermore, the event itself also took place thanks to the great cooperation with my International Organising Committee, and in particular Alisa Burkhard, responsible for partners and panellists, and my two assistants Tahmina Sahibli and Stephanie Kohl, responsible for communicating with the participants. We were able to bring 20 teams from all over the world to Geneva for a week filled with events, learning and networking, for a very small participation fee, which is also thanks to our sponsors. I would like to thank the Graduate Institute and our sponsors for the big support they have provided. Last but not least, thanks to all teams, coaches and especially the experts serving as panellists during the week for all the time they put into the competition. I personally enjoyed getting to know all these people involved, and I will always appreciate the opportunity to work with this wonderful project that makes an actual contribution to students and an impact worldwide.

**Oda Linneberg Uggen**

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions  
ELSA International 2013/2014