

# 13th ELSA Moot Court Competition

# Final Report

Patron of EMC2 Roberto Azevêdo, Director-General of the WTO





Global sponsors



























**VAN BAEL & BELLIS** 

# Table of Contents

1	Fore	eword	3
2	Bac	kground, structure and timeline	5
	2.1	About ELSA	5
	2.2	The ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law	5
3	Asia	n-Pacific Regional Round – Manila, Philippines	7
	3.1	Introduction	7
	3.2	Panelists	7
	3.3	Participating Universities	7
	3.4	Preliminary Round Scores	8
	3.5	Semi-Final Scores	.11
	3.6	Grand Final Scores	.11
	3.7	Individual Oral Pleading Scores	.12
	3.8	Awards and Prizes	.18
	3.9	Concluding Remarks	.19
4	AII-A	merican Regional Round – Washington D.C., USA	20
	4.1	Introduction	.20
	4.2	Panelists	.20
	4.3	Participating Universities	.21
	4.4	Preliminary Round Scores	.22
	4.5	Semi-Final Scores	.24
	4.6	Grand Final Scores	.25
	4.7	Individual Oral Pleading Scores	.26
	4.8	Awards and Prizes	.34
	4.9	Concluding Remarks	.34
5	Euro	pean Regional Round – Bucharest, Romania	36
	5.1	Introduction	.36
	5.2	Panelists	.36
	5.3	Participating Universities	.36
	5.4	Preliminary Round Scores	.36
	5.5	Semi-Final Scores	.38
	5.6	Grand-Final Scores	.39
	5.7	Individual Oral Pleading Scores	.39

	5.8	Awards and Prizes	.44
	5.9	Concluding Remarks	.45
6	Euro	pean Regional Round – Halle, Germany	.46
	6.1	Introduction	.46
	6.2	Panelists	.46
	6.3	Participating Universities	.46
	6.4	Preliminary Round Scores	.47
	6.5	Semi-Final Scores	.48
	6.6	Grand Final Scores	.49
	6.7	Individual Oral Pleading Scores	.49
	6.8	Awards and Prizes	.55
	6.9	Concluding Remarks	.56
7	Afric	an Regional Round – Johannesburg, South Africa	.57
	7.1	Introduction	.57
	7.2	Panelists	.57
	7.3	Participating Universities	.57
	7.4	Preliminary Round Scores	.57
	7.5	Semi-Final Scores	.58
	7.6	Grand Final Scores	.59
	7.7	Individual Oral Pleading Scores	.59
	7.8	Awards and Prizes	.63
	7.9	Concluding Remarks	.64
8	The	Final Oral Round	.65
	8.1	Introduction	.65
	8.2	Panelists	.65
	8.3	Participating Universities	.66
	8.4	Preliminary Round Scores	.67
	8.5	Individual Oral Pleading Scores	.71
	8.6	Grand Final Scores	.78
	8.7	Awards and Prizes	.78
	8.8	Career Panel	.79
	8.9	Concluding Remarks	79

### 1 Foreword

### Dear Reader,

It feels surreal to conclude the 13<sup>th</sup> edition of the EMC2, being involved and coordinating the largest project of ELSA has indeed been challenging, but also very enriching. After almost 14 years the EMC2 has become a prestigious, well known competition which is growing year after year. As the competition is expanding, ELSA has to keep up with the developments in order to maintain the quality of the organisation of the event. It has been tough from time to time, but with the support from the ELSA Network and the WTO and all of the EMC2 sponsors we managed to uphold the quality and organize a successful 13<sup>th</sup> edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition.

On September 15<sup>th</sup> 2014 the registration for teams opened, teams from all over the world had the chance to sign up and experience an adventure they could not find elsewhere. Throughout the year two assistants have been having the task to communicate with the teams and deal with the administrational work of the competition. I would like to thank Ada Gawrysiak and Bogdan Ilea who have been extremely helpful and committed. Finally, 96 teams registered and were guaranteed to plead in one of the five Regional Rounds taking place in March 2015. This year rounds took place in Bucharest, Halle, Washington D.C., and Manila, for the second time in the EMC2 history, also in Johannesburg. In the various Regional Rounds the teams pleaded in front of WTO and international trade lawyers with different backgrounds acting as panelists. Thanks to the outstanding assistance from the academic board and WTO we were able to secure the academic quality, and I would like to express my sincere gratitude in this regard.

It is the responsibility of ELSA International to organize the Final Oral Round in Geneva, in the lead of the Vice President for Moot Court Competitions. Together with an International Organizing Committee, I coordinated and managed the preparation for the Final, preparing venues, receptions and many logistical matters in order to create an unforgettable experience. The top 20 teams from the various Regional Rounds gathered in Geneva with the purpose to plead in front of WTO and International trade lawyers. To network with experts and to get an insight and knowledge about career options, and of course to get to know each other. Thanks to the Graduate Institute being co-host of the Final Oral Round, a sponsor reception was held in their venues and the teams were able to plead in their new facilities. I am also very grateful for all the help and support we received from the staff at the WTO in regards of the Final Oral Round, in particular Professor Gabrielle Marceau and Ms. Marisa Goldstein.

For the 13<sup>th</sup> edition we managed to uphold the African Regional Round with the great support from the WTO and our sponsors. The first edition was one of the achievements from last year and I would like to thank Jan Yves Remy, Gracia Marin Duran, Oda Linneberg Uggen and Toby Oluwatobiloba who helped ELSA and the local organizers to approach possible sponsors and to secure sufficient financial sources for the second edition, together with the great help from Chibole Wakoli and Marisa Goldstein from the WTO. A dream for many years has been to create a fund which would make it possible for team with financial restrictions to apply for aid. The process of creating such a fund started this year and on behalf of ELSA International I would like to thank all individuals who in their private capacity contributed financially. The work will continue and the aim is to make it possible for participating teams to apply for financial assistance for the 14<sup>th</sup>

edition. I would like to deeply thank this years' case author Nicolas Lamp, Assistant Professor at Queens University for providing the teams with a challenging case and for cooperating with ELSA during the year. Moreover, I would like to thank all individuals who have been involved in revising the case, under the lead of Carlo Gamberale and Kaarlo Castreen and with the support from Gabrielle Marceau from the WTO, Jan Bohanes, Tomer Broude and Gracia Marin Duran from the academic board who all contributed to the discussions and final result of this years' case.

I would like to thank our faithful sponsors who have been on board for a long time, but also to our new sponsors for this year's edition. Thank you to the WTO for being committed and for keeping to provide ELSA with technical assistance. Thank you to our global sponsors, who provided financial and academic support and who made it possible for ELSA to maintain the quality of the competition in general and to uphold a successful Final Oral Round in Geneva; Van Bael & Bellis, King & Spalding, Sidley Austin, Steptoe & Johnson, World Trade Institute and IELPO. With the extra help from our sponsors for the African Regional Round, the 2<sup>nd</sup> round in the EMC2 history took place in Johannesburg for African law student, our sincere gratitude goes to; Akin Gump, Lalive, Society of International Economic Law, International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development and the Advisory Centre on WTO Law. Thanks also to Globe Law & Business for giving books to the participants, and Swiss Airlines for discounts. Thanks also to Arancha Gonzalez, Gabrielle Marceau, Nicolas Lockhart and Todd Friedbacher who gave financial support from their private capacity.

I would also like to thank ELSA's corporate partner CMS, and our Human Rights Partner, Council of Europe.

Cultural diversity, is part of the vision of ELSA. We provide todays' law students with practical legal experience and knowledge in the field of WTO. Nevertheless, we are also teaching students about different cultures and legal systems. I am very proud to work with an association who can facilitate students to actually make a difference in the trade law society, previous EMC2 participants look back to their participation as the best experience during their time as students – both academically and socially. Being a part of this competition has made me realize that the EMC2 has evolved to be much more than only a global, prestigious, and well known moot court competition. For 13 years students, trade law experts and ELSA officers from all over the word have gathered - a family has been created. Being a part of the family has been a challenge and an adventure I will never forget, and I deeply thank everyone who made the 13th edition possible.

Sincerely yours,

Tanja Sheikhi

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions

ELSA International 2014/2015

# 2 Background, structure and timeline

### 2.1 About ELSA

The European Law Students' Association (ELSA), founded on the 4th of May 1981 is an international, independent, non-political and non-profit-making organization run by and for law students and young lawyers. After almost 35 years of existence it is the world's largest independent law students' association with 40.000 members in 43 countries. To read more about ELSA's activities visit <a href="http://www.elsa.org">http://www.elsa.org</a>.

### 2.2 The ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law

### 2.2.1 General

The ELSA Moot Court on WTO law took place for the first time in 2003 in Geneva. After 13 years it has expanded to have 5 Regional Rounds all over the globe, including a Regional Round in Africa which was established for the first time, last year. ELSA chose WTO law due to the growth in global trade law and to educate law students and young lawyers in this particular field of law.

### 2.2.2 Structure

The ELSA Moot Court consists of 2 phases. Firstly, teams have to deliver Written Submissions on the issued Case for both the Complainant and the Respondent. Secondly, the teams have to participate in one of the allocated Regional Rounds in one of the ELSA member states, Asia-Pacific, North-, Latin-America, Africa or the International Written Round. The best teams of each Regional Round (the number depends on amount of participating teams) qualifies for the Final Oral Round in Geneva.

### 2.2.3 The 13th edition

The Moot case of the 13<sup>th</sup> edition was written by Assistant Professor Nicolas Lamp from Queen's University, Canada. He holds a PhD in Law from the London School of Economics and Political Science. Prior to joining Queen's, he was a Dispute Settlement Lawyer at the Appellate Body Secretariat of the World Trade Organization. On the 15<sup>th</sup> of September 2014 the Case was published and the 13<sup>th</sup> edition was launched. Teams were allowed to send in maximum 5 Clarification Questions to the Case Author until the 15<sup>th</sup> of November 2014. One team per university could register 2-4 team members until the 23<sup>rd</sup> of November 2014. In total 96 teams had registered until that date. Until the 18<sup>th</sup> of January 2015 teams had to send in the Written Submissions.

In the end of February throughout March, the Regional Rounds took place in Manila, Philippines organized by ALSA Philippines. The American University College of Law in Washington D. C., USA organized the All American Regional Round. The first European Round was organized in Bucharest, Romania, by ELSA Bucharest and the second in Halle, Germany organized by ELSA Halle. The African Regional round was held in Johannesburg, South Africa, organized by the Mandela Institute and the Witwatersrand University.

The Final Oral Round took place from the 2<sup>nd</sup> until the 7<sup>th</sup> of June 2015 in Geneva, Switzerland, where 20 teams from all over the world were invited to plead in front of highly esteemed WTO academics. The Final Oral Round was co-hosted by the Graduate Institute, Geneva.

### 2.2.4 The Scoring System

The Oral Pleadings were assessed as follows: in the Preliminary Rounds 3 panellists were sitting in each pleading. The Semi-Finals were 3-5 panellists and the Grand Final was 7-9 panellists. The Panel had to issue overall points for each team and besides that for each orator individually.

The scores were calculated as follows: 70% Oral Pleading scores and 30 % Written Submission scores. The Semi-Finals and Grand Final only consisted of oral pleading scores. Each panellist could give points from a range 0-50.

# 3 Asian-Pacific Regional Round – Manila, Philippines

### 3.1 Introduction

The competition took place in Manila, Philippines from the 27<sup>th</sup> of February to the 3<sup>rd</sup> of March 2015. The Head of the Organizing Committee was Ms Jelorie Gallego & Melinda Lapus from the Asian Law Students' Association (ALSA) together with numerous helpers.

### 3.2 Panelists

- Anna Ramos Dispute Settlement Lawyer in the Appellate Body Secretariat at the WTO
- Altagracia Cuevas IELPO LL.M. Coordinator
- Allan Revote Legal assistant to Ambassador Manuel A.J Teehankee, former Philippine Representative to the WTO.
- Clariesse Jamie Mari A, Chan Associate, ChanRobles & Associates Law Firm, Manila
- Emmanuel Rey Pine Cruz Project Associate, TA Trade Advisory group
- Melisa Jane Bangloy Comafay Office of the President, Republic of Philippines
- Vida Soraya S. Verzosa Special Counsel for Interventions at the International Justice Mission, Manila Field Office.
- Ronald Chaua, Commissioner, Vice Dean of Far Eastern University
- Dr. Michelle Limenta, Centre of International Law, New Zeeland Centre of International Economic Law.
- Atty. Guiller Asido, Legal Adviser
- Atty. Arcadio DC. Benitez, Jr.

### 3.3 Participating Universities

- Team 076, Dr.Ram Manohar Lohia National Law, India
- Team 069, National Law Institute University, India
- Team 059, School of Law, Christ University, India
- Team 054, National Law University, Jodhpur, India
- Team 045, National Law School of India University
- Team 042, Institute of Law, Nirma University, Ahmedabad India
- Team 031, Hidayatullah National Law University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh India
- Team 008, Amity Law School, Delhi, India
- Team 004, Gujarat National Law University, India
- Team 003, West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, India

- Team 093, Peking University School of Transnational Law, China
- Team 080, Tsinghua University, China
- Team 027, University of International Business and Economics, China
- Team 071, Xiamen University, China
- Team 092, Ho Chi Minh University of Law, Vietnam
- Team 014, Diplomatic Academy of Vietnam- Faculty of International
- Team 060, Far Eastern University, Philippines
- Team 036, National Chiao-Tung University, Taiwan
- Team 011, National Taiwan University, Taiwan
- Team 002, Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia
- Team 073, National University of Malaysia

# 3.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary round are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores, minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 panellists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS Complainant	WS Responde nt	Overall WS scores	OP Complaina nt	OP Respon dent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
054	34.95	34.5	32.975	46	46	43.833	42.093
045	39	39.5	37.75	43.333	44.333	43.833	42.008
011	35.5	42.5	37.25	43	45	44	41.975

004	36.55	36.55	35.05	46	39.333	42.667	40.382
003	28.5	29.85	25.425	46.333	46.667	46.5	40.178
031	34.2	33.5	32.35	34.667	45.667	40.167	37.822
002	28.6	30	28.05	41	41.667	41.333	37.348
027	45	41.5	41.5	29	40	34.5	36.6
042	30	30	28.25	46.333	31.667	39	35.775
076	31	15.5	22.5	43	35.667	39.333	34.208
036	27.75	23	23.875	30.667	42.333	36.5	32.713
092	46.1	27	36.55	23	37.667	30.333	32.193

069	40.5	19	29.75	34	32.333	33.677	32.142
059	28	32.5	29.75	34	22.667	30.833	30.508
008	30	43	34	24.333	29.667	27	29.1
093	47	43.1	44.55	28.333	14.667	21.5	28.415
060	26.5	28.5	26	38	20.333	29.167	28.217
080	22.5	26	23.75	16.333	41.333	28.833	27.308
071	38	43	40.5	24	15.667	19.833	26.033
073	18	11.5	14.75	29.667	30.333	30	25.425
014	24	21	19.5	14.333	14.333	14.333	15.883

# 3.5 Semi-Final Scores

In each semi-final 3 panellists were present, and the results are the following:

# 3.5.1 Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Scores
045	National Law School of India University	Complainant	35.75
011	National Taiwan University	Respondent	35

### 3.5.2 Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Scores
054	National Law University, Jodhpur	Complainant	35.5
004	Gujarat National Law University	Respondent	32.75

# 3.6 Grand Final Scores

Team	University	Role	Scores
045	National Law School of India University	Complainant	41.889

054	National Law University, Jodhpur	Respondent	42.667

# 3.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

# Preliminary

Team	Name	Complainant Scores	Respondent Scores	Overall Scores
003	Ira Chadha-Sridhar	46	46.333	46.167
011	Chieh Chiu	45	46.667	45.833
054	Vatsal Vasudev	44.333	47	45.667
004	Gayathree Devi Kalliyat Thahathuveetil	48	42.677	45.333
003	Sandeep Ravikumar	44.667	46	45.333
054	Rhea Jha	46	43	44.5
045	Aman Shukla	44.333	43.333	43.833
004	Shivansh Jolly	45	42	43.5
011	Sean Pan	42	42.333	42.167

004	Operation I Add III	10.007	140,000	1445
004	Sarthak Malhotra	42.667	40.333	41.5
002	Selig Purnama	41.667	41.333	41.5
002	Josua Gantan	40.333	42.667	41.5
031	Tanaya Sethi	34.667	45.667	40.167
031	Rohan Nadimpally	32.333	46	39.167
042	Ekagra jain	45	32.333	38.667
036	Szu-Yu Chen	30.667	43.667	37.167
036	Hsin-Yen Chiang	32	40.667	36.333
069	Madhura Khandekar	35	35	35
076	Etisha Srivastav	35.667	33.333	34.5
027	Xu Tian Yi	32.333	36.667	34.5
059	Rahul Raman	40.333	26.333	33.333

092	Thai Hoang mai Nhu	28.333	38	33.167
076	Deepti Bajpai	37.333	28.333	32.833
069	Deeksha Pandey	33.667	31	32.333
059	Himanshu Bagaria	37.667	27	32.333
076	Raghvendra Pratap	32	30.333	31.167
060	Singh  Justin Cebrian	38.667	23	30.833
000	Justin Coman	30.007	23	30.033
800	Anima Shukla	27.667	32.667	30.167
092	Nguyen Bich Lien	23.667	36	29.833
092	Nguyen Hoang Vy	25	34.333	29.667
060	Alpha Bonifacio	38	16.667	27.333
008	Pooja Tewari	23.667	30.333	27
071	Gong Yao	27	25.333	26.167

000	1	100.000	14	1
093	Jia Xing Li	33.333	17.667	25.5
008	Veera Mathai	22.667	28.333	25.5
045	Pratiksha Basarkar	45.667	-	22.833
045	Harshavardhan Sunder	-	44.333	22.167
093	Yan Zhang	18.333	25.333	21.833
080	langzhon Lan	-	43.333	21.667
042	Vianayak Kapur	42.667	-	21.333
071	Yu Lingying	18	22	20
011	Pin-Chen Chen	-	39.333	19.667
027	Xiao Min	-	39	19.5
011	Chia-Jung Lee	37.667	-	18.833
080	Zhon Yifan	-	35.667	17.833

014	Nguyen Hoang Thuy Tien	20.667	14.333	17.5
060	Nicole Bagatan	34.667	-	17.333
073	Asheeqali bin Sethi Alivin	-	32.333	16.167
042	Yuvras Nain	-	30.667	15.333
027	Deng Yang	29.667	-	14.833
073	Dua Jun wen	29.667	-	14.833
071	Fu Jialin	28.667	-	14.333
073	Lee Suke Mune	-	27.333	13.667
080	Lin Xian xiang	26.667	-	13.333
073	Nursyamim Fateha BT Moho Amin	26.667	-	13.333
093	San ya YU	24.333	-	12.167
080	Jiang Yakun	23.667	-	11.833

071	Lai Dedong	-	19.333	9.667
093	Longping Xie	-	15.333	7.667
014	Trinh Viet Tong	-	15	7.5
014	Pham Minh Khue	14.333	-	7.167
060	Jester Olayta	-	14.333	7.167

### Semi-finals

Team	Name	Score
045	Pratiksha Basharkar	36.75
011	Chieh Chin	35.75
054	Vatsal Vasudev	35.25
054	Rhea Jha	35
004	Shivanash Jolly	34.25

004	Gayathree Devi	34.25
011	Sean Pan	34
045	Aman Shukla	33.25
004	Sarthak Malhotra	33.25
011	Pin-Chen Chen	31.75

### **Grand Final**

Team	Name	Score
045	Pratiksha Basarkar	43.222
054	Vatsal Vasdudev	42.778
054	Rhea Jha	41.667
045	Aman Shukla	39.445

# 3.8 Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 054, National Law University, Jodhpur
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Team 003, Ira Chadha-Sridhar

- Best Orator Semi-Finals: Team 045 Pratiksha Basarkar
- Best Orator Grand Final: Team 045 Pratiksha Basarkar
- Best Written Submissions Complainant: Team 093, Peking University School of Transnational
   Law
- Best Written Submissions Respondent: Team 093, Peking University School of Transnational Law
- Best Written Submissions Overall: Team 093, Peking University School of Transnational Law

### 3.8.1 WTI Prizes

One of the global sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winning team and the Best Orator of the Grand Final in each of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks/one week of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy or two/one weekly course of the Master of International Law and Economics (MILE).

### 3.8.2 IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2015 or 2016 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

### 3.9 Concluding Remarks

The Asia-Pacific Round is the largest of all five Regional Rounds of the EMC2, the organization of the competition and all the pre-work has therefore been challenging but also a pleasure and the experience was truly amazing. Ms. Jelorie Gallego and Ms. Melina Lapus led the round, together with their organizing committee they were able to gather 21 teams from different countries in the centre of Manila. The team welcomed all the teams and had a week full of pleading and social activities planed. One of the biggest challenges was to finalize the panellist for this round, but I would like to thank all panellist that was present and managed to help us to maintain the quality of the pleading throughout the round.

# 4 All-American Regional Round – Washington D.C., USA

### 4.1 Introduction

The All American Regional Round took place from the 4<sup>th</sup> to the 7<sup>th</sup> of March 2015 in Washington D.C., USA. The pleadings were held at the American University, Washington College of Law. Behind a smooth organization of the whole event was Padideh Ala'I, Professor of Law, and Jennifer Dabson, Director at the Office of Special Events and Continuing legal Education, and a group of student helpers led by Ms. Sarah Shulman.

### 4.2 Panelists

- Daniela Gomes Altamirano Investment Policy Consultant at the Trade & Competitiveness
   Global Practice of the World Bank Group, Washington D. C.
- Judah Ariel Associate at Siley Austin LLP, Washington D. C.
- Daniel Brinza Assistant US Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement, Office of the US Trade Representative.
- Alusio Lima-Campos Professor at the American University, College of law, Washington D.C.
- Daniel Crosby Partner in the International Trade Practice Group at King & Spalding, Geneva
- Melida Hodgson Partner at Foley Hoag LLP, Washington D.C.
- Gary Horlick Attorney at Law Offices of Gary N. Horlick, Adjunct Professor at Georgetown University Law Center, Washington D.C.
- Michael Jacobson Associate at Hogan Lovells, Washington D.C.
- Timothy Keeler Partner, Mayer Brown LLP, Adjunct Professor of Law, Georgetown, Washington D.C.
- Vasken Khabayan Counsellor (Trade Policy) at Embassy of Canada in Washington, DC
- Stephen Kho Partner at Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, Washington D.C.
- Simon Lester Trade Law and Policy Analyst, WorldTradeLaw.net and Cato Institute, Washington D.C.
- Patrick Macrory Partner at Appleton Luff, Washington D.C.
- Martin Molinuevo Consultant in the World Bank Group Trade and Competitiveness Global Practice
- Warren Maruyama Partner, Hogan Lovells, Washington D.C.
- Amy Porges International trade lawyer and policy advisor, Law Offices of Amelia Porges PLLC, Washington D.C.
- Ricardo Ramirez-Hernández Member of the Appelate Body, Chair of International Trade Law at the Mexican National University
- Andrew Shoyer Partner at Sidley Austin LLP, Washington D.C.
- Eric Solovy Partner at Sidley Austin LLP, Washington D.C.
- Santiago Wills Advisor, Office of the Colombian Deputy Minister of Trade
- Annelies Brock Winborne Deputy Assistant US Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement, Office of the US Trade Representative
- Matthew Yeo Partner at Steptoe Johnson LLP, Washington D.C.

- Colin Bird, Director Softwood Lumber Division, Foreign Affairs and International trade Canada (DFAITC)
- Gustavo Lunazzi, Minister Embassy of Argentina in Washington D.C.
- Nicolas Lamp Assistant Professor, Queen's University, Canada
- Jeffery Frank Special Counsel at Wiley Rein LLP, Washington D.C.
- Adriana de Queiroz UK Trade & Investment, UK Consulate in Rio de Janeiro
- Augusto Vechio –Senior Lawyer, Estudio Beccar Varela, Argentina
- Willis Martyn Assistant General Counsel, Office of the United Trade Representative
- Stephen Orava Partner, Chair of International Trade Practice Group
- Martin Goyette Counsellor, Rules Division, World Trade Organization
- Philippe De Baere Partner, Van Bael and Bellis, Brussels
- John Greenwald Partner, Cassidy Levy Kent, Washington D.C.
- Matthew Kronby, Partner, Bennet Jones, Washington D.C.
- Bruce Wilson, Director of International Affairs, Public Company Accounting Oversight Board
- Shara Aranoff, Counsel, Covington & Burling LLP, Washington D.C.
- Alexandra Hess, Counsel, Hughes Hubbard, Washington D.C.
- Luiz Felipe Schmidt Diplomat at Embassy of Brazil, Washington D.C.
- Professor David Hunter, Professor of Law, American University Washington Collage of La

# 4.3 Participating Universities

### North America

- Team 006 Faculty of Law, Queen's University, Canada
- Team 034 University of Ottawa, Faculty of Law, Canada
- Team 018 University of Missouri-Kansas City, USA
- Team 029 American University Washington College of Law, USA
- Team 058 University of Kansas School of Law, USA
- Team 061 University of Georgetown Law School, USA
- Team 065 Harvard Law School, USA

### Latin America

- Team 015 Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Colombia
- Team 023 Sergio Arboleda, Colombia
- Team 039 Universidad de los Andes, Brazil
- Team 044 Universidad Pontificia Bolivariana School of Law, Colombia
- Team 079 Universidad del Rosario: Facultad de Jurisprudencia, Colombia
- Team 095 Universidad de La Sabana Facultad de Derecho y Ciencias Políticas,
   Colombia
- Team 033 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico
- Team 038 Universidad Francisco Marroquín, Faculty of Law, Guatemala
- Team 043 FGV Direito SP, Brazil

- Team 052 Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil
- Team 051 Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil

# 4.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary rounds are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Written Submissions were assessed by Panelists, and the overall scores are the sums of these scores, minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 Panelists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS	WS	Overall	OP	OP	Overall	Total WS
	Complaina nt	Respondent	WS Scores	Complainant	Respondent	OP Scores	and OP
034	46	41.5	42.25	38.667	37	37.833	39.158
065	43.1	35.5	38.8	41.333	35	38.167	38.357
038	33.25	19	24.875	42.333	45.333	43.833	38.146
061	37.5	41	39.25	34.667	36.667	35.667	36.742
023	25	29	25.25	38	42.667	40.333	35.808
039	35.5	44.5	38	29	34	31.5	33.45
018	34.65	33	33.225	27.333	36.333	31.833	31.981
058	24.5	31.1	27.8	32.333	33.667	33	31.44
033	36	31.5	32	42	19.667	30.833	31.183

006	27.65	38	31.825	29.333	30	29.667	30.314
051	36.65	34	35.075	28	26.333	27.167	29.539
015	37.5	24.9	30.7	30.667	27	28.833	29.393
095	30	38	34	18	35.667	26.833	28.983
043	29.3	29	27.65	29.333	29.667	29.5	28.945
029	29.75	19.5	22.875	22.333	37.667	30	27.863
079	20	44.5	32	21.667	29	25.333	27.333
052	22.5	14.75	18.625	33	17	25	23.088
044	32	26.25	28.875	22.333	15	18.667	21.729
				1			

# 4.5 Semi-Final Scores

### 4.5.1 North America – Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
034	University of Ottawa	Complainant	37.8
061	University of Georgetown	Respondent	29.8

# 4.5.2 North America – Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
018	University of Missouri- Kansas City	Complainant	27.8
065	Harvard Law School	Respondent	30.8

# 4.5.3 Latin America – Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
039	Universidad de los Andes	Complainant	39
038	Universidad Franciso Marroquín	Respondent	40.8

# 4.5.4 Latin America – Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
023	Sergio Arboleda	Complainant	32.6
033	Universidad Naciona Autónoma de México	Respondent	27

# 4.6 Grand Final Scores

# 4.6.1 North America

Team	University	Role	Score
065	Harvard Law School	Complainant	43.889
034	University of Ottawa	Respondent	37.445

### 4.6.2 Latin America

Team	University	Role	Score
038	Universidad Franciso Marroquín	Complainant	30.778
023	Sergio Arboleda	Respondent	26

# 4.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

# 4.7.1 Preliminary

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
065	Aseina Au	45	39.333	42.167
034	Rini Rashid	38.33	40.667	39.5
034	Jacob Saltiel	37.67	38.333	38
034	Alexandra Smith	40	33	36.5
018	Sam Barfoot	33.33	39	36.167
058	Alyse Zadalis	30.67	41.667	36.167
065	Jillian Ventura	41.67	30.667	36.167
061	Cindy Baker	39	32.667	35.833
018	Guillermo Mejia	29	38	33.5
065	Mengyi Wang	35.33	27	31.167
006	Adam Sadivsky	27	33	30
061	Satako Sawada	26.67	33	29.833

		<b>I</b>	1	T
043	Victoria Costa	29.67	28.333	29
043	Marina Takitani	26.67	31	28.833
051	Nicole Bromberg	28.67	26.333	27.5.
095	Charles Muller	16	37.667	26.833
052	Naiana Magrini Rodrigues Cunha	36.33	17	26.667
033	Veronica Retana	34.33	18.667	26.5
051	Jusaine Lis Miranda Nogueira	26.33	25.666	26
058	Matthew Cooper	20.67	30	25.333
052	Clara Souza Garcia Saar	31.67	17.333	24.5
033	Almudena Otero	45	-	22.5
038	Gabriel Chavez	-	44.667	22.333
038	Pedro Flores	-	44	22

023	Feredico Isaza P	-	43.333	21.667
038	Andres Paniagua	43	-	21.5
023	Primitivo Bolanos	39.67	-	19.833
029	Jorge Aguilar	-	39	19.5
061	Stijn Van Wallleshem	-	39	19.5
044	Marcela Cadavid Mariaca	21	18	19.5
038	Maria Mendez	39	-	19.5
029	Bahar Dave	-	37.333	18.667
018	Andrew Edwards	-	36.333	18.167
079	Clara Pauline Duciemtiere	-	35.333	17.667
023	Fabiam Castro	35	-	17.5

061	Adam Smith	33.67	-	16.833
015	Juanita Fonsela	-	32.667	16.333
044	Sebastian Hurtado Correa	21.33	11.333	16.333
095	Brenda Forera	-	32.667	16.333
058	Spencer Toubia	-	32	16
039	Margarita Vesga	-	32	16
039	Juan Camilo Alba	-	32	16
006	Xinting Feng	-	31.667	15.833
015	Michelle Visbal	31.67	-	15.833
				1
039	David Gomez	31.67	-	15.833
058	Janet Miles	31	-	15.5
000	Dilan Malata	00.67		44.000
006	Riley McIntyre	29.67	-	14.833

006	David Bushnev	29	_	14.5
000	David Busililev	29		14.5
039	Ana Maria Baron	27.67	-	13.833
000	And Mana Baron	21.01		10.000
015	Sebastian Soltare	25.33	-	12.667
010	ocbastian contare	25.55		12.007
015	Alejandro Isaza		25	12.5
010	/ lojanaro isaza		20	12.0
079	Camilo Vicaria		25	12.5
	Carrino Vicaria		20	12.0
079	Valentin Wagner G.	24.33	_	12.167
	raionan wagner ar	265		
029	Morgan Lee	22.67	-	11.333
020	Wolgan 200			22.000
033	Isaac Rivas	-	20.667	10.333
029	Yatri Amin	20	-	10
095	Guido Machado	20	-	10
095	Juan Santiago Cortes	19.33	-	9.667
	_			
018	Jared Thrasher	19	-	9.5
<u> </u>			1	1

079	Maria Lucia Lasas A.	18	-	9

# 4.7.2 Semi-Finals

# 4.7.2.1 North America

Team	Name	Score
034	Alexandra Smith	39.8
065	Astina Au	36.8
018	Sam Barfoot	33.4
034	Jacob Saltiel	33.4
034	Rini Rashid	31
061	Cindi Baker	28.8
065	Jillian Vebtura	28.4
018	Guillermo Mejia	27.8
061	Stijn Van Walleghem	27.4

065	Mengyi Wang	26.2
018	Jared Thrasher	25
061	Satko Sawada	24.2

# 4.7.2.2 Latin America

Team	Name	Score
038	Gabriel Chavez	42.2
039	Ana MariaBaron	41.4
038	Pedro Flores	39.4
039	David Gomez	34.6
023	Primitivo Bolanos	34.2
033	Isaac Michel Rivas Jasso	28.6
023	Fabiam Castro	28.4
033	Veronica Retana Lopez	25.8

### 4.7.3 Grand Final

# 4.7.3.1 North America

Team	Name	Score
065	Astina Au	46.111
065	Mengyi Wang	40.778
065	Jillian Ventura	40.222
034	Jacob Saltiel	37.556
034	Rini Rashid	37.111
034	Alexandra Smith	35.333

# 4.7.3.2 Latin America

Team	Name	Score
		00.770
038	Andres Paniagua	32.778
038	Maria Mendez	31.667
023	Federico Isaza Piedrahita	29.889

023	Jorge Forero	24.556

### 4.8 Awards and Prizes

### North America

- Winner North America: Team 065, Harvard Law School
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Team 065 Aseina Au
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Team 034, Alexandra Smith
- Best Orator Grand Final: Team 065, Aseina Au
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 034, Ottawa University
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 034, Ottawa University
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 034, Ottawa University

### Latin America

- Winner Latin America: Team 038 Universidad Franciso Marroquín
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Team 043, Victoria Costa
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Team 038, Gabriel Chavez
- Best Orator Grand Final: Team 038, Andres Paniagua
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 015, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 039, Universidad de los Andes & Team 079, Universidad del Rosario
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 039, Universidad de los Andes

### 4.8.1 WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winning team and the Best Orator of the Grand Final in each of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks/one week of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy or two/one weekly course of the Master of International Law and Economics (MILE).

### 4.8.2 IFI PO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2015 or 2016 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

# 4.9 Concluding Remarks

Remarks from Professor Padideh Ala'i

Washington College of Law (WCL), American University hosted the NARR this year. This was the fourth year we hosted the competition. We had 18 schools participating from North and South

America. We started working on the preparations for round as soon as we were appraised of the fact that we would be hosting—which took place sometime in early Fall 2014. We made sure a Chinese Wall was present at all times between those who were helping me organize the competition and the WCL team that was participating and those who were coaching the team. The Dean of the law school allocated 20 hours of help per week at \$13 per hour from September-March to be dedicated to the WTO moot court. I hired two students for that purpose. One for 15 hours was Sarah Shulman who had helped us organize the moot court competition in 2013-2014 academic year and was very helpful. I hired an additional person for 5 hours a week. We reserved hotel, rooms and catering as well as set up bus transport from the hotel to the law school. I had one student dedicated to responding and writing to team members who were participating and helping them obtain their visas (where necessary) by sending letters of invitation and responding to questions. Sarah worked with me in inviting panelists and finding and training time keepers and all other logistical issues and help train the second team member. We invited over 60 panelists and we got affirmative responses from over half of them.

It was a whirlwind of the competition this year (more than usual) due to the cancellation of the first day of the competition. But the commitment of the panelists, students, and staff of WCL made the process smooth and successful. It was truly a community building exercise and the teams all participated with enthusiasm and decorum.

# 5 European Regional Round - Bucharest, Romania

#### 5.1 Introduction

The first out of two European Regional Rounds was organized by ELSA Bucharest, in the capital of Romania from the 11<sup>th</sup> to the 15<sup>th</sup> of March 2015. The pleadings took place in the University of Bucharest. The Head of the Organizing Committee was Iulia Dobre together with numerous helpers.

#### 5.2 Panelists

- Dean Merriman Dispute Settlement Lawyer, World Trade Organization
- Dr. Gracia Marin Duran University of Edinburgh
- Dr. Lorand Bartels Cambridge University
- Altagracia Cuevas IELPO LL.M. Coordinator
- Dr. Jan Bohanes Counsel at the Advisory Centre on WTO Law
- Maria Alcover Counsel at the Advisory Centre on WTO Law
- Amelia Keene Associate Legal Officer, International Court of Justice
- Charles Julien Counsel in the Geneva office of King & Spalding
- Jenya Grigorova PHD Research Fellow at Sorbonne
- Catalin Radbata Senior Associate at Nestor Nestor Diculescu Kingston Petersen

### 5.3 Participating Universities

- Team 021 Universität Bern, Rechtswissenschaftliche Fakultät, Switzerland
- Team 062 University of Geneva, Faculty of Law, Switzerland
- Team 078 University of Passau, Germany
- Team 009 Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Germany
- Team 005 University of Southern Denmark
- Team 012 Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
- Team 082 Aix-Marseille University, Aix-en-Provence Faculty of Law, France
- Team 028 Université Catholique de Lyon, Law School, France
- Team 040 Tilburg University Tilburg Law School, The Netherlands
- Team 046 Maastricht University, Faculty of, The Netherlands
- Team 041 Saint Petersburg State University, Faculty of Law, Russia
- Team 066 Higher School of Economics Faculty of Law, Russia
- Team 007 National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy, Law Faculty, Ukraine
- Team 049 University of Helsinki, Faculty of Law, Finland
- Team 057 Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

## 5.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary rounds are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Written Submissions were assessed by Panelists, and the

overall scores are the sums of these scores, minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 Panelists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS Complai nant	WS Respondent	Overall WS Scores	OP Complainant	OP Respond ent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
046	41.5	39	38.75	35.333	38	36.667	37.292
009	42.5	34	37	37	32.667	34.833	35.483
012	43.4	32	35.2	36.667	31.667	34.167	34.477
062	44.5	38.5	39.5	37.333	26	31.667	34.017
078	45.6	41	43.3	29.333	24.667	27	31.89
007	43	37.5	37.25	18.667	26.333	22.5	26.925
066	43	27.75	34.875	21	22.667	21.833	25.746
040	38.5	27.5	30.5	26	18.667	22.333	24.783
005	31.95	28.95	28.95	16.333	28.667	22.5	24.435
021	44	36	38	20.667	14.667	17.667	23.767

049	36.5	23	29.25	24	14.667	19.333	22.308
041	31	28	28.5	17.333	20.667	19	21.85
028	26.5	18.5	21.75	20.167	16.333	18.25	19.3
057	25.9	8	15.7	18.667	18.667	18.667	17.777
082	21	33.25	26.625	14.333	8.333	11.333	15.921

# 5.5 Semi-Final Scores

# 5.5.1 Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
046	Maastricht University	Complainant	39
062	University of Geneva	Respondent	30.75

## 5.5.2 Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
009	Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg	Complainant	37.75
012	Katholieke Universiteit Leuven	Respondent	33.75

# 5.6 Grand-Final Scores

Team	University	Role	Score
046	Maastricht University	Complainant	42.125
009	Martin-Luther- Universität Halle- Wittenberg	Respondent	34.5

# 5.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

# 5.7.1 Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
046	Koen Orbons	41.333	42	41.667
012	Ellen Herinckx	37.33	32.667	35
046	Tatiana Yauguas Acosta	30.667	35.667	33.167
012	Marie-Astrid Dossche	35	31	33
062	Nikolas Hertel	38.667	26.333	32.5
046	Alexandra Bihain	30	35	32.5

012	Charlotta Wuyts	37.333	26.333	31.833
062	Maren Helm	35.667	25	30.333
078	Fabian Kors	27.667	23.333	27
078	Greta Kleinehanding	27.667	23.667	25.667
007	Liliia Bertnatska	24	26	25
066	Yury Rovnou	26.333	23.667	25
007	Marta Zakharko	20	29.333	24.333
005	Rasmus Richard Duggen	19.333	29.333	23.167
050	Nikolay Nikolou	31.333	17.333	22.167
005	Katarina Damsted	17.667	28.667	21.5
	Rasmussen			
040	Michelle Goossens	25	19.333	20.833
040	Maaike Treuren	25.667	17.333	20.5

007	Oksana Kochkodan	19	22.667	20.333
	Cagla Salmensuu	23.667	18	19.333
049				
057	Nataliya Senduk	20	21	19
066	Evgeny Nechaev	19.333	21.333	18.833
009	Iris Barthel	38.667	-	18.667
057	Eleni Stylianou	18	20	18.333
041	Evgenita Pikalova	15	22.667	17.333
005	Diana Knudsen	15	22.333	17.167
021	Csilla Horber	19.333	17.333	16.5
009	Lisa Marie Dammann	36.667	-	16.5
021	Jonas Bauman	23	11.667	16.333
049	Aidan O'Connell	22.333	12	16.167
041	Tonkov Dimitriy	14.667	18.333	15.667

049	Celine Lambare	18.333	14.667	15.333
021	Brigitta Imeci	18.333	14.333	13.667
009	Maike Schaffer	-	32.333	13
009	Thomas Dahm	-	31.333	12.833
057	Anastasia Katsiavalou	17.333	13.333	12
078	Lukoo Dwining vala	27.333		11
078	Lukas Prusinovsky	21.333	-	
028	Vivian Nwobi	25.667	-	10.167
066	Nadezhda Smirnova	-	24	9.834
082	Marc-Antoine Cesoi	13.333	8.667	8.5
078	Katharina Larverseder	-	21.667	7.667
041	Vadim Vunukaynen	-	20.333	7.5
028	Kudza Nigel Maramba	-	19.667	7.33

066	Tatiana Savvina	17	-	
028	Georg Kaur	-	15.333	
028	Rana Kassas	15	-	
041	Anna Protasova	14.667	-	

# 5.7.2 Semi-Finals

Team	Name	Score
046	Tatiana Yaugauas Acosta	40.75
009	Iris Barthel	37.5
012	Alexandra Bihain	37
046	Ellen Hernickx	37
046	Koen Orbons	36.5
012	Marie- Astrid Dessche	34.5

009	Lisa Maike Dammann	33.75
012	Charlotte Wuyts	32.25
062	Nickolas Hertel	31.75
062	Maren Helm	30.75

#### 5.7.3 Grand Final

Team	Name	Score
046	Tatiana Yauguas Acosta	40.75
046	Alexandra Bihain	37.75
046	Koen Orbons	37.625
009	Maike Schäfer	37.375
009	Thomas Dahm	28.125

### 5.8 Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 046, Maastricht University
- Runner-up: Team 009, Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg

- Best Orator Preliminary: Team 046, Koen O'bous
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Team 046, Tatiana Yauguas Acosta
- Best Orator Grand Final: Team 046, Tatiana Yauguas Acosta
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 078, University of Passau
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 078, University of Passau
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 078, University of Passau

#### 5.8.1 WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winning team and the Best Orator of the Grand Final in each of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks/one week of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy or two/one weekly course of the Master of International Law and Economics (MILE).

#### 5.8.2 IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2015 or 2016 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

### 5.9 Concluding Remarks

Remark from Iulia Dobre, Head of the organizing Committee in Bucharest:

Organizing a Regional Round of the EMC2 in Bucharest has been an amazing experience for me and my team. During seven months we have become more than an organizing committee and I am sure all those involved have learned valuable things about events of this amplitude, about quality, creativity, collaboration, spontaneity and even about themselves and their colleagues. We have got to meet amazing people and the kind words received from both the participants and the panelists were the best reward we could have hoped for. As head of the organizing committee, I have to say it was a challenging experience and it all ended with a smile! We are proud of what we have accomplished together and I hope ELSA Bucharest will have the opportunity to organize another similar event soon.

#### Tanja Sheikhi

Vice President for Moot Court Competition ELSA International 2014/2015

# 6 European Regional Round – Halle, Germany

#### 6.1 Introduction

The second ELSA Regional Round in Europe was organized by ELSA Halle, a city close to Berlin, from the 23<sup>rd</sup> to the 27<sup>th</sup> of March 2015. The pleadings were held in Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg. The Organising Committee was led by the president of ELSA Halle, Dominik Hill and consisted of numerous helpers.

#### 6.2 Panelists

- Charlotte Sieber-Gasser Researcher at the World Trade Institute
- Altagracia Cuevas IELPO LL.M. Coordinator
- Jordan Shepherd Associate in the Geneva office of King & Spalding
- Christian Lau Associate in the Geneva office of Sidley Austin LLP
- Müslüm Yilmaz Counsellor at the Legal Affairs Division, WTO
- Dr. Lukasz Gruszczynski Institute of Law studies, Polish Academy of Sciences
- Henok Asmelash Research Fellow at Max Planck Institute Luxembourg
- Barbara Cooreman PhD Fellow at Europa Institute, Faculty of Law, University Leiden
- Wei Zhuang PhD Candidate, University of Geneva
- Stephanie Cunningham Associate in the Geneva Office of Sidley Austin LLP

### 6.3 Participating Universities

- Team 020 University of Zurich, Switzerland
- Team 056 Friedrich Schiller Universität, Jena, Germany
- Team 050 National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece
- Team 083 Westfaelische Wilhelms Universitaet Münster, Germany
- Team 026 London School of Economics and Political Science, UK
- Team 013 Graduate Institute Geneva, Switzerland
- Team 073 Taras Schevenko National University of Kyiv, Ukraine
- Team 032 Jagiellonian University in Kraków, Poland
- Team 035 Leiden University, The Netherlands
- Team 067 Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia
- Team 048 University of Barcelona, Spain
- Team 085 Democritus University of Thrace, Greece
- Team 037 Belarusian State University
- Team 075 University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Team 055 Masaryk University, Czeck republic

# 6.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary rounds are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Overall Written Submission score is based on the sum of the scores minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 % Panelists each and thus, 3 % scores were given, divided by 3 %.

Team	WS Complai nant	WS Respond ent	Overall WS Scores	Complainant	OP Respond ent	Scores	Total WS and OP
083	40	40	39.75	25.667	21.667	23.667	32.225
075	47.5	36.5	41	30.667	25.667	28.167	32.017
020	43.6	37.5	40.3	29.333	25.333	27.333	31.223
013	31	38.4	32.95	24.667	36	30.333	31.118
056	21.25	37.7	28.725	37	26	31.5	30.668
048	37.8	38.6	38.2	23.667	22.333	23	27.56
050	30	44.3	36.4	23	23.667	23.333	27.253
035	24	21	21.5	29.333	26.333	27.833	25.933
032	34	39.3	34.4	17.333	23.333	20.333	24.553

026	24.25	37.5	29.875	23	18.667	20.833	23.546
047	26	30	26	27.667	16	21.833	23.083
067	39	22	29	13	16.333	14.667	18.967
037	21	20.25	18.375	15.333	19.333	17.333	17.646
085	36.3	20.25	18.375	12.667	12.333	12.5	16.408
055	19.2	19.5	17.85	15.667	11.333	13.5	14.805

## 6.5 Semi-Final Scores

## 6.5.1 Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
020	University of Zurich	Complainant	29.5
083	Westfaelische Wilhelms Universitaet Münster	Respondent	33.25

### 6.5.2 Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
013	The Graduate Institute	Complainant	29

075	University of Amsterdam	Respondent	29.75

# 6.6 Grand Final Scores

Team	University	Role	Score
083	Westfaelische Wilhelms Universitaet Münster	Complainant	32.875
075	University of Amsterdam	Respondent	36.125

# 6.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

# 6.7.1 Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
013	Vincent Beyer	31	35.667	33.333
083	Thomas Lebe	29.667	33	31.333
056	Lena Bremekamp	34.333	27.667	31
020	Nina Burghartz	32.667	27.333	30
056	Rita Zuppke	34.333	25	29.667
056	Susanne Teich	34.333	23.667	29

083	Cennet Binzer	27.667	30	28.883
075	Fay Valinaki	30.667	24.333	27.5
013	Moriotto Angoli	21.333	33.333	27.333
013	Marietta Angeli	21.333	33.333	21.555
013	Kotaroa Okada	21	33.333	27.167
020	Luca Nef	23.667	29.333	26.5
035	Shen Zhen	27.667	25.333	26.5
083	Andreas Werry	23.667	29	26.333
075	Philip Hanbach	26.333	25.667	26.5
050	Maria Teresia Roussou	24	24.333	24.167
050	Dimitra Petroulou	25.333	22.333	23.833
075	Alexander Schurink	26	20.667	20.333
048	Julia Hoffmann	22.333	23	22.667

020	Patricia Soltani	23.333	21	22.167
020	Patricia Soltani	23.333	21	22.167
048	Gemma Rodergas Rey	22	22.333	22.167
047	Andrii Makheiev	28	15.333	22.167
067	Daria Petrova	19	23.667	21.333
047	Igor Kalaida	27	15	21
032	Bartlomiej Kukuczka	22	19.667	21.333
035	Noverizhy Tri Putra Pasa	22.667	17.333	20
032	Maria Skwara	19.333	20.333	19.833
032	Maciej Ostrowski	18	20	19
037	Iryna Chelyshava	18.333	18.333	18.333
055	Martina Fojtova	19.333	14.667	17

047	Yuliia Stelmakh	19.667	13.667	16.667
037	Maksim Hedrouich	17.333	16	16.667
037		18	15	16.5
	Veranika Dzemesh			
	Volumna Bzomoon			
035	Jin Woo Kim	29.667	-	14.883
067	Ivan Danilov	13	16.333	14.667
035	Anindiana Pusptarini	-	26.333	14.833
	·			
055	Jakub Klobaska	14.667	10.333	14.667
026	Xi Wu	24.333	-	12.167
026	Nicholas Joseph	24	-	12
055	Jan Kubrick	12	11.333	11.667
000	Jan Nabrick	12	11.555	11.001

026	Xiaofan Liu	-	22.333	11.167
026	Ben R Grant	-	17.333	8.667
085	Maria Bali	14	-	7
085	Eirini Spyridaki	12.666	-	6.333
067	Anastacia Kayukova	12.333	-	6.167
085	Athina Mauridou	-	11.667	5.833
085	Olga Koympoyri	-	10.667	5.333

## 6.7.2 Semi-Finals

Team	Name	Score
083	Cennet Binzer	34.5
083	Thomas Lebe	33.25
075	Fay Valinaki	30

075	Philip Hainbach	29.75
075	Alexander Schurink	29.25
020	Alienor Nina Burghartz	29
013	Marietta Angeli	28.75
083	Andreas Werry	28.5
013	Vincent Beyer	28.25
013	Kotaro Okado	27.75
020	Patricia Soltani	27.5
020	Luca Nef	27.25

### 6.7.3 Grand Final

Team	Name	Score
075	Philip Hainbach	37.625

083	Thomas Lebe	36.125
083	Andreas Werry	33.875
075	Alexander Schurwik	32.25
075	Fay Valinaki	30.5

#### 6.8 Awards and Prizes

#### 1. Awards

- Winner: Team 083, Westfaelische Wilhelms Universitaet Münster
- Runner-up: Team 075, University of Amsterdam
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Team 013, Vincent Beyer
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Team 083, Cennet Binzer
- Best Orator Grand Final: Team 075, Philip Hainbach
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 075, University of Amsterdam
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 050, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 075, University of Amsterdam

#### 6.8.1 WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winning team and the Best Orator of the Grand Final in each of the Regional Rounds: free participation (no course fees) in two weeks/one week of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy or two/one weekly course of the Master of International Law and Economics (MILE).

#### 6.8.2 IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2015 or 2016 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

### 6.9 Concluding Remarks

Remark from Dominik Hill, President of ELSA Halle:

"The Regional Round was a great success for ELSA Halle, the university and the participants as well. We only got amazing feedback from all the teams, panellist and the staff members of the faculty. The organizers from Halle also enjoyed to work with the competition during the entire process, we did our best to take care about the timekeepers and the people that volunteered to help us during the week with the social program and various activities. It was indeed a challenge to coordinate an international event on such high level, and it made us realize the importance of the competition and the impact it has for the participating students."

# 7 African Regional Round – Johannesburg, South Africa

#### 7.1 Introduction

Last year the first African Regional Round in the EMC2 history was organized. I was honoured to be a part of the establishment of the second African Regional, organized by staff and students at the Witwatersrand University, Mandela Institute in Johannesburg, South Africa from the 29<sup>th</sup> of March to the 1<sup>st</sup> of April 2015. The pleadings were held in the Mandela Institute's facilities. I would like to thank especially Julie Dunsford, Tumai Murombo, Engela Schlemmer, and Malebakeng Forere whom I worked closely with from the very beginning.

#### 7.2 Panelists

- David Unterhalter Former Appellate Body Member
- Prof Riekie Wandrag University of Cape, South Africa
- Prof Clement Ng'ong'ola University of Botswana
- Chibole Wakoli Dispute Settlement Lawyer in the Appellate Body Secretariat at the WTO
- Altagracia Cuevas IELPO LL.M. Coordinator
- Christopher Clinton Legal Affairs Officer, Rules Division, World Trade Organization
- Cherise Valles Deputy Director of the Advisory Centre on WTO Law
- Vitaly Pogoretskyy Counsel at the Advisory Centre on WTO Law
- Hilton Zunckel Director, Hilton-Lambert Practitioners of Trade Law
- Lerato Ntlopo National Trade Advisor at Commonwealth Secretariat
- Edward Winant Trade and Investment Officer, Embassy of the United States of America

### 7.3 Participating Universities

- Team 001 University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa
- Team 017 University of Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania
- Team 063 Rhodes University, South Africa
- Team 064 Riara University, Kenya
- Team 074 Uganda Christian University, Uganda
- Team 077 University of Pretoria Law Faculty, South Africa
- Team 081 National University of Lesotho, Lesotho
- Team 024 Catholic University of Eastern Africa Kenya

### 7.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary rounds are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Overall Written Submission score is based on the sum of the scores minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 Panelists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS Complai	WS Respondent	Overall WS Scores	OP Complainant	OP Respondent	Overall OP Scores	Total WS and OP
	nant						
063	27.05	32	29.025	29.667	26	27.833	28.191
077	11	25.5	17.75	32.667	30.333	31.5	27.375
081	34	19	26.25	28.667	24.333	24.5	26.425
074	28.5	27.5	26.5	22	26.333	24.167	24.867
001	31	24.75	26.5	23.667	21.667	22.667	22.504
017	17.5	20.43	17.215	19.667	18.667	19.167	18.581
064	5.5	8.5	6.5	17.333	18.667	18	14.55
024	18	15	15.5	14.667	9.333	12	13.05

# 7.5 Semi-Final Scores

## 7.5.1 Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
081	National University of Lesotho	Complainant	31.75

063	Rhodes University	Respondent	36

### 7.5.2 Semi-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
074	Uganda Christian University	Complainant	23.75
077	University of Pretoria Law Faculty	Respondent	33

# 7.6 Grand Final Scores

Team	University	Role	Score
063	Rhodes University	Complainant	36.778
077	University of Pretoria Law Faculty	Respondent	40.778

# 7.7 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

## 7.7.1 Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
077	Gift Kgomosotho	34.333	29.667	32
063	Benjamin Rule	31.333	31.667	31.5

077	Tinotenda Kakora	30	30	30
011	Timoterida Nakora		30	
081	Boitumelo Motlamelle	29.667	26.333	28
074	Emmanuel Tumuhaise	24.333	28.333	26.333
063	Diana Machinadze	23.667	26	24.833
081	Dina Tlali	25.333	22.333	23.833
001	Craig Thomas	22.333	23	22.667
074	Sheilla Joan Asiimwe	19.667	24.667	22.167
063	Melissa Scorer	21.333	22.333	21.833
074	Davis A Bagonza	16	24.667	20.333
017	Roselian Jackson	20.667	19.667	20.167
064	Maseka Alwesi	16.333	22	19.167
064	Miriam Maina	19	16.667	17.833
017	Baraka Thomas	19	13	16

	1	T	Т	Т
064	Valerie Mugaza	12.667	17.667	15.167
024	Shadrack Wambui Kinyanjui	18	9	13.5
081	Lintle Tuke	24.667	-	12.333
001	Rutendo Tavengerwei	-	22.333	11.167
024	Janji Rongoma	14.333	7.667	11
081	Tseko Banyane	0	22	11
001	Jeffrery Long	21.667	-	10.8333
001	Marios Poyiadjis	21	-	10.5
024	Assunta Ndeimi	10	9.667	9.833
017	Evans Tumwesige	18	-	9
017	Steven Mayombo	-	17.667	8.333
L		1	1	1

## 7.7.2 Semi-Finals

Team	Name	Score
081	Baitumelo Motlamelle	40
077	Tinotenda Kakora	37.5
063	Benjamin Rule	33.25
063	Diana Machingaidze	33.25
063	Melissa Scorer	31.5
077	Gift Kyomosotho	30
081	Dina Tlali	26.5
081	Tute Linte	25
074	Emmanuel Tumuhaise	22.75
074	Sheilla Joan Asiimwe	21.75

081	Davis A Bagonza	18

#### 7.7.3 Grand Final

Team	Name	Score
063	Benjamin Rule	41.111
077	Gift Kgomosotho	38.667
	GIIL Ngomosotilo	36.001
077	Tinotenda Kakora	38.667
063	Diana Machingaidze	29.333
063	Melissa Scorer	28.667

#### 7.8 Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 077, University of Pretoria Faculty of Law
- Runner-up: Team 063, Rhodes University
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Team 077, Gift Kgomosotho
- Best Orator Semi-finals: Team 081, Baitumelo Motlamelle
- Best Orator Grand Final: Team 063, Benjamin Rule
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 081, National University of Lesotho, Lesotho
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 063. Rhodes University
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 063, Rhodes University

#### 7.8.1 WTI Prizes

One of the main sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winning team and the Best Orator of the Grand Final in each of the Regional Rounds: free

participation (no course fees) in two weeks/one week of the World Trade Institute Summer Academy or two/one weekly course of the Master of International Law and Economics (MILE).

#### 7.8.1 IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 40 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2015 or 2016 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

### 7.9 Concluding Remarks

Remarks from Julie Dunsford, Head of the organizing committee:

"The Mandela Institute at Wits School of Law was delighted to host the second African Regional Round of the ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law. It was a hard fought competition between eight enthusiastic teams and was deservedly won by the University of Pretoria, with Rhodes University and the National University of Lesotho the runners-up. The success of the competition was made possible through the excellent working relationship between ELSA International and the WTO, and through the generous support of international, continental and local organisations that very generously fully sponsored their expert representatives to be panellists at this year's competition. Local sponsors also contributed generously to cover many of the operational costs.

At Wits University the competition has both sparked and reinforced the development of international economic law at undergraduate level. A course will be re-introduced into the LLB curriculum as of 2016. In addition, the competition has added to the culture of mooting at Wits School of Law and has inspired a number of our own students to actively participate in moots.

Hosting two very successful events has enabled the MI to consolidate our relationship with the WTO. It has also brought us into contact with a large number of international institutions and individuals, all of whom have an interest in IEL. The networking opportunities can be utilised for developing our expertise in IEL at Wits. It has contributed enormously towards the development of the School's and the Institute's profile in IEL, and Wits' reputation as a top university in Africa."

#### 8 The Final Oral Round

#### 8.1 Introduction

The adventure started in Manila, Philippines and the final stage of the competition took place in Geneva, Switzerland. The Final Oral Round of the 13th edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO law took place from the 2<sup>nd</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup> of June 2015. 20 teams from 5 Regional Rounds gathered to plead in front of WTO experts and face the challenge they had been waiting for since March 2015. The Preliminary Pleadings and Quarter Finals were held in the Graduate Institutes' Maison de la paix, an impressive venue for such an immense competition. The Semi-Finals, as well as the Grand Final took place in excellent venues at the WTO headquarters. Other events, such as the Opening Ceremony, Career panel, various receptions and Award Ceremony were also held there. The 13th Final Oral Round was officially opened with an inspiring speech, by previous Appellate Body Member Jennifer Hillman. Following the Opening Ceremony, a welcoming reception was kindly sponsored by Sidley Austin in the WTO, a Networking Reception was held after the Career Panel, sponsored by Steptoe & Johnson and Van Bael & Bellis hosted a lunch for all participants on the day of the Grand Final in the WTO Atrium. Thanks to invaluable help from our supporters at the WTO, the Graduate Institute, our global sponsors based in Geneva, my own incredible team from ELSA, and numerous personalities within the field of trade law who served as panellists, we managed to put together a well-organized, eventful and rewarding Final Oral Round.

#### 8.2 Panelists

- Nicolas Lamp (case author) Assistant Professor, Queens University, Canada
- A.V Ganesan Former Appellate Body Member (2000-2008)
- Werner Zdouc Director of the WTO Appellate Body Secretariat
- Professor Gabrielle Marceau Counselor, Legal Affairs Division of the WTO
- Professor Meredith Kolsky Lewis SUNY Buffalo Law School, New York
- Daniel Crosby Partner in the Geneva office of King & Spalding
- Carlo Gamberale Counsellor in the Appellate Body Secretariat of the WTO
- Ambassador Jonathan Fried Ambassador and permanent representative of Canada to the WTO
- Niall Meagher Executive Director of the ACWL
- Chibole Wakoli Dispute Settlement Lawyer, Appellate Body Secretariat
- Dr. Lorand Bartels PhD and Senior Lecturer at Cambridge University
- Theresa Carpenter Executive Director of the Graduate Institute's Centre for Trade and Economic Integration
- Jesse Kreier Counsellor, World Trade Organization
- Philippe De Baere Partner in the Brussels office of Van Bael and Bellis
- Todd Friedbacher Partner in the Geneva office of Sidley Austin LLP
- Vasiliki Avgoustidi Counsellor at the Legal Affairs Division, World Trade Organisation
- Pinar Artiran Assistant professor at Stanbul Bilgi Universoty, WTO Chair
- Martin Goyette Counsellor, Rules Division, World Trade Organization

- Dr. Gracia Marin Duran –University of Edinburgh
- Daniel Baker Dispute Settlement Lawyer, Legal Affairs Division, WTO
- Alejandro Jara Counsel in the Geneva office of King & Spalding
- Charlotte Sieber-Gasser Researcher at the World Trade Institute
- Matthew Yeo Partner in the Washington D.D. office of Steptoe Johnson LLP
- Pablo Bentes Director of International Trade & Investment in the Washington D.D. office of Steptoe Johnson LLP
- Altagracia Cuevas IELPO LL.M. Coordinator
- Alexandra Whitaker Attache and Assistant Legal Advisor US Mission to the WTO
- Quentin Baird Attache, US Mission to the WTO
- Hugo Romero Consellour, Mexican Mission to the WTO
- Amy Lentz Associate in the Washington DC office of Steptoe & Johnson
- Christian Lau Associate in the Geneva office of Sidley Austin LLP
- Iain Sandford Partner in the Geneva office of Sidley Austin LLP
- Jennifer Hawkins LL.M., Associate, WTI Advisors
- Clotilde du Parc Associate in the Geneva office of Van Bael & Bellis
- Charles Julien Counsel in the Geneva office of King & Spalding
- Hannes Schloemann LL.M., Director, WTI Advisors
- Christopher Cilton Counsellor, Rules Division, World Trade Organization

### 8.3 Participating Universities

- Team 045, National Law School of India, Bangalore
- Team 003, The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, India
- Team 012, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
- Team 054, National Law University, Jodhpur India
- Team 063, Rhodes University, South Africa
- Team 004, Gujarat National Law University, India
- Team 083, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany
- Team 062, University of Geneva, Switzerland
- Team 011, National Taiwan University, Taiwan
- Team 023, Sergio Arboleda, Colombia
- Team 038, Universidad Francisco Marroquin, Guatemala
- Team 065, Harvard Law School, USA
- Team 034, University of Ottawa, Canada
- Team 009, Martin-Luther Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Germany
- Team 081, National University of Lesotho, Lesotho
- Team 075, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Team 046, Maaastricht University, The Netherlands
- Team 013, Graduate Institute of International and Developments Studies, Switzerland
- Team 020, University of Zurich, Switzerland
- Team 077, University of Pretoria, South Africa

### 8.4 Preliminary Round Scores

The scores of the preliminary rounds are calculated as follows: 30 % Written Submission Scores and 70 % Oral Pleading Scores. The Overall Written Submission score is based on the sum of the scores minus the penalty points according to the rules. The Written Submissions of the teams participating in the Final Oral Round were scored again by some of the panelists from this particular round. The Oral Pleadings were assessed by 3 Panelists each and thus, 3 scores were given, divided by 3.

Team	WS Complain ant			Complainant	OP Respondent		Total WS and OP
054	38.8	43	39.15	35.667	32.333	34	35.545
065	36	45.1	40.05	32	31	31.5	34.065
003	30.5	36.2	29.6	37	33.333	35.167	33.497
013	41.9	33	35.7	33.667	27.667	30.667	32.177
045	43.25	26.3	33.275	29	34	31.5	32.033
046	44	33.4	37.2	32	27	29.5	31.81
009	36.7	37	35.6	27	31.667	29.333	31.213
012	46	36	38.5	28	28	28	31.15
062	38	45.8	39.9	27.667	26.667	27.167	30.987

011	45.1	35.5	38.55	26	24	25	29.065
020	41.05	34.9	37.725	28	22.333	25.167	28.934
034	41	44.9	41.45	18	26.667	22.333	28.068
083	42.8	30	36.15	22	23.667	22.333	26.828
075	39.5	39	38.25	24.667	19	21.833	26.758
004	26.5	31	27.25	22.333	29	25.667	26.142
063	34	26	29.5	30.333	18.667	24.5	26
038	33	30	30.25	29.667	16	22.833	25.154
077	18.5	8.19	12.845	25.333	32.667	29	24.154
023	31.5	25.3	26.65	22.667	22.	22.333	23.628
081	27.8	19	22.9	16.333	11.667	14	16.67

## 8.4.1 Quarter-Final Scores

#### 8.4.1.1 Quarter-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
054	National Law University, Jodhpur	Complainant	39.667
012	KU Leuven, Belgium	Respondent	34

#### 8.4.1.2 Quarter-Final 2

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
065	Harvard Law School	Complainant	23.667
009	Martin-Luther Universität Halle- Wittenberg	Respondent	22

#### 8.4.1.3 Quarter-Final 3

Team	University	Role	Overall Score	
003	The West Beng National Universi of Juridic Sciences	ty	39.667	
046	Maaastricht University	Respondent	37.667	

#### 8.4.1.4 Quarter-Final 4

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
013	Graduate Institute of International and Developments		30.667

	Studies		
045	National Law School of India, Bangalore	Respondent	37.333

### 8.4.2 Semi-Final Scores

### 8.4.2.1 Semi-Final 1

Team	University	Role	Overall Score
045	National Law School of	Complainant	31.4
043	India, Bangalore	Complamant	31.4
003	The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences	·	34

### 8.4.2.2 Semi-Final 2

Team	University		Role	Overall Score
054	National University, J	Law odhpur	Complainant	40.8
065	Harvard School	Law	Respondent	25.4

## 8.4.3 Grand Final Scores

Team	University	Role	Score
054	National Law University, Jodhpur	Complainant	44.888

003	The West Bengal	Respondent	45.888
	National University of		
	Juridical Sciences		

# 8.5 Individual Oral Pleading Scores

The Individual score of each participant calculates as follows: scores of the complainant and respondent divided by 2. The maximum points to reach were 50. Each speaker had to plead on both sides and at least 7 minutes to be eligible for the best orator award.

### 8.5.1 Preliminary Rounds

Team	Name	Complainant Score	Respondent Score	Overall Score
054	Vatsal Vasudev	39.333	33	36.167
003	Ira Chadha-Sridhar	38.333	33	35.667
003	Sandeep Ravikumar	36.333	32.667	34.5
045	Harshavardhan Sunder	30.667	36.667	33.667
065	Sze Ting Astina Au	33.667	33	33.333
013	Vincent Martin Beyer	33.667	30	31.833
054	Rhea Jha	31.667	31.667	31.667
046	Tatiana Yanguas Acosta	33	28.667	30.833
077	Tinotenda Kakora	25.667	35.667	30.667

062	Maren Helm	34	26.667	30.33
012	Ellen Herinckx	32.333	27.667	30
009	Maike Schaefer	25	34.667	29.833
046	Koen Orbons	30.333	29.333	29.833
009	Iris Barthel	26	33.333	29.667
065	Jillian Ventura	28	30.333	29.167
046	Alexandra Bihain	29	29	29
045	Pratiksha Basarkar	27.333	30	28.667
065	Mengyi Wang	29	27.667	28.333
020	Luca Nef	26.667	29.333	28
012	Charlootte Wuytts	29.333	26.333	27.833
012	Marie-Astrid Dossche	26.333	28.667	27.5
077	Gift Khomosotho	25.667	29.333	27.5
013	Marietta Angeli	26.333	28.333	27.333
004	Gayathree Devi Kalliyat	24.333	29.333	26.833
	ramyac			

Chieh Chiu			
Objek Obje			
Chien Chiu	28.333	25.333	26.833
Shivansh Jolly	22.667	29	25.833
Nikolas Hertel	25.667	25	25.333
Sean Pan	26.333	24.333	25.333
Benjamin Rule	30.333	19.667	25
Aliénor Nina Burghartz	28.333	20.667	24.5
Fay Valinaki	25	22.667	23.833
Sarthak Malhotra	19.667	27	23.333
Rini Rashid	20.667	25.667	23.167
Cennet Binzer	21.667	24.667	23.167
Thomas Lebe	22.667	23.	22.833
Jacob Saltiel	17	28.333	22.667
Diana Machingaidze	26	18.667	22.333
Melissa Scorer	27.667	15.667	21.667
	Nikolas Hertel  Sean Pan  Benjamin Rule  Aliénor Nina Burghartz  Fay Valinaki  Sarthak Malhotra  Rini Rashid  Cennet Binzer  Thomas Lebe  Jacob Saltiel  Diana Machingaidze	Nikolas Hertel 25.667  Sean Pan 26.333  Benjamin Rule 30.333  Aliénor Nina 28.333  Burghartz  Fay Valinaki 25  Sarthak Malhotra 19.667  Rini Rashid 20.667  Cennet Binzer 21.667  Thomas Lebe 22.667  Jacob Saltiel 17  Diana Machingaidze 26	Nikolas Hertel         25.667         25           Sean Pan         26.333         24.333           Benjamin Rule         30.333         19.667           Aliénor Burghartz         Nina 28.333         20.667           Fay Valinaki         25         22.667           Sarthak Malhotra         19.667         27           Rini Rashid         20.667         25.667           Cennet Binzer         21.667         24.667           Thomas Lebe         22.667         23.           Jacob Saltiel         17         28.333           Diana Machingaidze         26         18.667

		· -=		
034	Alexandra Smith	17	26.333	21.667
075	Phili Hainbach	23.333	19.667	21.5
020	Patricia Soltani	23	19.667	21.333
023	Jorge Enrique Forero Neme	23.667	18.667	21.167
075	Alexander Schurink	25	17.333	21.167
083	Andreas Werry	12.667	23.667	18.167
081	Boitumelo Motlamelle	17	14.667	15.833
009	Lisa Marie Dammann	30.333	-	15.167
013	Kotaro Okada	29.667	-	14.833
038	Maria Jose Mendez	29.333	-	14.667
038	Andrés Paniagua	29.333	-	14.667
081	Dina Tlali	15.667	13	14.333
013	Okan Uzun	-	27.333	13.667
009	Thomas Dahm	-	25	12.5
011	Chia-Jung Lee	24.667	-	12.333

023	Federico Isaza Piedrahita	-	22.667	11.333
011	Pin Chen Chen	-	20.333	10.167
023	Primitivo Bolanos Reinoso	20.333	-	10.167
038	Gabriel Chavez	-	15	7.5
038	Pedro Flores	-	14.333	7.167
081	Lintle Tuke	13.33	-	6.667
081	Tseko Banyane	-	10.667	5.333

## 8.5.2 Quarter-Final Scores

Team	Name	Score
054	Vatsal Vasudev	42.667
003	Ira Chadha-Sridhar	42
045	Harshavardhan Sunder	38
012	Marie-Astrid Dossche	37.667

046	Koen Orbons	36.333
003	Sandeep Ravikumar	35.667
046	Alexandra Bihain	35.667
012	Charlootte Wuytts	35.333
046	Tatiana Yanguas Acosta	34.667
045	Pratiksha Basarkar	33
012	Ellen Herinckx	32
054	Rhea Jha	31
013	Kotaro Okada	30.667
013	Vincent Martin Beyer	30.667
065	Sze Ting Astina Au	25.667
009	Maike Schaefer	23.667

013	Marietta Angeli	23.667
065	Jillian Ventura	23.333
009	Iris Barthel	23
065	Mengyi Wang	22
009	Thomas Dahm	19

### 8.5.3 Semi-Final Scores

Team	Name	Score
003	Ira Chadha-Sridhar	36
045	Pratiksha Basarkar	33.8
003	Sandeep Ravikumar	33
054	Vatsal Vasudev	32.4
054	Rhea Jha	32.4
065	Jillian Ventura	29.8

045	Harshavardhan Sunder	29.6
065	Sze Ting Astina Au	24.6
065	Mengyi Wang	22.6

#### 8.6 Grand Final Scores

Team	Name	Score
054	Vatsal Vasudev	44.444
003	Ira Chadha Sridhar	44.444
054	Rhea Jha	39
003	Sandeep Ravikumar	38.111

#### 8.7 Awards and Prizes

- Winner: Team 003, The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, India
- Runner-up: Team 054, National Law University, Jodhpur India
- Best Orator Preliminary Rounds: Team 054, Vatsal Vasudev
- Best Orator Quarter Finals: Team 054, Vatsal Vasudev
- Best Orator Semi-Finals: Team 003, Ira Chadha Sridhar
- Best Orator Grand Final: Team 003 Ira Chadha Sridhar & Team 054 & Vatsal Vasudev
- Best Written Submission Complainant: Team 012, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
- Best Written Submission Respondent: Team 062, University of Geneva, Switzerland
- Best Written Submission Overall: Team 034, University of Ottawa, Canad

#### 8.7.1 WTI Prize

One of the main sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, the World Trade Institute (WTI) provided the following prizes for the Winning team and the Best Orator of the Grand Final in the Final Oral Round of the EMC2: free participation (no course fees) to one week/full WTI Summer Academy on International Trade Regulation.

#### 8.7.1 IELPO Prizes

One of the sponsors of EMC<sup>2</sup>, IELPO (University of Barcelona) provided 80 hours of IELPO Specialized courses per team member for each best written submission to be used 2015 or 2016 or a partial tuition fee waiver of the IELPO programme.

#### 8.7.2 WTO Prizes

The WTO provided material prizes to all participants who received an award.

#### 8.8 Career Panel

On the 5<sup>th</sup> of June the participants were able to attend a panel discussion at the WTO headquarters on careers in international trade law. The WTO invited several individuals to share how their work relates to international trade law and how to pursue a legal career in international trade law. The panel was followed by a networking reception at the WTO Gallery which was generously sponsored by Steptoe & Johnson.

The following individuals provided a very diverse and interesting informative session to the participating students, which was moderated by Ms Marisa Goldstein a Counsellor in the WTO Legal Affairs Division:

- Amy Lentz, Associate in the Washington D.C. office of Steptoe & Johnson
- **Dr Mohammad Saeed**, Senior Adviser on Trade Facilitation with International Trade Centre (ITC)
- Dr Pinar Artiran, Assistant Professor and WTO Chair at Istanbul Bilgi University
- Alice Tipping, Senior Programme Officer and leads the ICTSD's Environment and Natural Resources programme
- Marisa Goldstein, Counsellor in the Legal Affairs Division of the World Trade Organization

### 8.9 Concluding Remarks

Once again the EMC2 brought teams from all over the world to Geneva, together with my amazing team I had the honour to lead the organisation of the 13th edition of the Final Oral Round. The journey started in September and together with the incredible support from the WTO, all sponsors and the ELSA Network – we managed to once again conclude one successful edition of the EMC2! First of all I would like to especially thank our helpers at the WTO, and in particular Professor Gabrielle Marceau, Marisa Goldstein and Chibole Wakoli, with whom I have been working very closely with during my whole term. They keep to show their infinite commitment to this competition, the EMC2 would not be the same without you – Thank you! Furthermore, the

event itself also took place thanks to the great cooperation with my International Organising Committee, and in particular my two assistants Ada Gawrysiak and Bogdan Ilea. We were able to bring 20 teams from all over the world to Geneva for a week filled with events, learning and networking thanks to our global sponsors. I would like to thank the Graduate Institute for hosting us in their venues throughout the week and to our sponsors for keeping faith in the competition. Last but not least, thanks to all teams, coaches and especially the experts serving as panellists during the week and for all the time they put into the competition.

After 13 years of existence, the EMC2 keeps making an actual contribution to legal education of todays' law students. One of the highest values of ELSA is cultural diversity. Not only do the activities of ELSA contribute to legal education, participating in the EMC2 makes an actual contribution to students and an impact worldwide. Students from different legal systems and social backgrounds get the chance to meet and share experiences. Thanks to the EMC2 I have had the pleasure to work with people from all over the globe. I have had the honour to work with one of the most prestigious competitions in the world, and I will always appreciate the opportunity to work with this wonderful project together with committed and determined individuals.

Tanja Sheikhi

Vice President for Moot Court Competitions ELSA International 2014/2015

anja Shuffin