

THE
JOHN H. JACKSON
MOOT COURT COMPETITION

elsa
The European Law Students' Association

FINAL REPORT

John H. Jackson Moot Court
Competition 2020/2021
19th Edition

Technical Supporter:



WORLD TRADE
ORGANIZATION



FOREWORD

Dear colleagues:

The COVID-19 pandemic, as a moment of shared reflection, has reminded us of the importance of upholding the core values of the World Trade Organization (WTO) in the quest to a healthier Humanity. We wholeheartedly believe the John H. Jackson Moot Court Competition on WTO Law has been crucial in the formation of generations of brilliant professionals eager to have a positive impact in the World.

After an unusual and challenging year settling into this “new normal,” we would like to extend our sincere congratulations to all the teams that participated in the 19th edition (especial kudos to the Finalists). We were impressed by your creativity, sharpness, and outstanding oral and written pleadings. Your efforts during this year are of great significance.

We were honoured to be selected by ELSA as Case Authors for the 19th Edition of the John H. Jackson Moot Court Competition, understanding the great responsibility entrusted to us and the decisive moment we are all living. The Case was inspired in both factual and legal pressing global issues.

First, we realized how several economies started to move forward with the adoption of measures aimed at tackling obesity, while encountering significant opposition from those behind the line of the “un-healthy.” Where should this line (if any) between the healthy and the un-healthy be drawn? Why should it be there and not elsewhere? How should it be drawn as for not undermining trade? What about the freedom of consumers when dealing with their own health? WTO plain packaging cases provided big answers for some of these big questions and served as source of inspiration too (in particular, for the claims on the Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade -TBT- and the Agreement on Trade-Related aspects of Intellectual Property Rights -TRIPS-).

Second, while drafting the Case, Beirut faced the tragic explosion of August 4, 2020. Still shaken by the unbearable, we immediately knew that we had to act. We then decided to incorporate the claim on the Trade Facilitation Agreement (TFA), intending to trigger world-wide debate -amongst the brilliant minds of both

THE
JOHN H. JACKSON
MOOT COURT COMPETITION

participants and panellists- on the importance of the obligations of importers and authorities vis-à-vis goods stored in ports. The latter, with the hope of provoking new ideas and solutions to build for a better future.

On a final note, we intended to include some novel legal issues in the Case, such as the importance of science for TBT matters; the concept of obesity as an epidemic; the interplay between local jurisdictions and the multilateral dispute settlement system; the functioning of enquiry points; and so forth.

A heartfelt thank you to ELSA and the John H. Jackson Moot Court Competition staff for running the whole Competition flawlessly; to the remarkable Academic Board for their invaluable help and guidance; and to all panellists and teams for your commitment and rigor.

Thank you all for allowing us to make part of the beautiful world of the John H. Jackson and for bringing this dream of Budica and Dale to life!

With admiration,

Sara, Gustavo, and Julián

Case Authors for the 19th Edition of the Jackson Moot

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>I. ABOUT THE COMPETITION</i>	<i>- 5 -</i>
<i>II. SPONSORS AND SUPPORTERS OF THE 19TH JHJMCC</i>	<i>- 6 -</i>
<i>TECHNICAL SUPPORTER</i>	<i>- 6 -</i>
<i>PLATINUM SPONSOR</i>	<i>- 7 -</i>
<i>GOLD SPONSORS</i>	<i>- 7 -</i>
<i>SILVER SPONSORS</i>	<i>- 9 -</i>
<i>MAIN ACADEMIC SUPPORTER</i>	<i>- 10 -</i>
<i>ACADEMIC SUPPORTERS</i>	<i>- 10 -</i>
<i>III. WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS</i>	<i>- 12 -</i>
<i>IV. REGIONAL ROUNDS</i>	<i>- 13 -</i>
<i>ALL-AMERICAN REGIONAL ROUND</i>	<i>- 14 -</i>
<i>FIRST EUROPEAN REGIONAL ROUND</i>	<i>- 16 -</i>
<i>SECOND EUROPEAN REGIONAL ROUND</i>	<i>- 18 -</i>
<i>AFRICAN REGIONAL ROUND</i>	<i>- 20 -</i>
<i>EAST ASIA & OCEANIA REGIONAL ROUND</i>	<i>- 22 -</i>
<i>WEST & SOUTH ASIA REGIONAL ROUND</i>	<i>- 25 -</i>
<i>V. FINAL ORAL ROUND</i>	<i>- 28 -</i>
1. PARTICIPATING UNIVERSITIES	<i>- 28 -</i>
2. PANELLISTS	<i>- 29 -</i>
3. SCORES	<i>- 30 -</i>
4. AWARDS	<i>- 30 -</i>
<i>VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS</i>	<i>- 32 -</i>

I. ABOUT THE COMPETITION

The John H. Jackson Moot Court Competition was founded in 2002 by European Law Students' Association with the help of World Trade Organization (WTO). Previously called the ELSA Moot Court Competition, EMC2, the Competition focuses on the simulated hearing of the WTO dispute settlement system. After 19 years, what started as a European students' initiative, had now become broadly international and a critical element in training future trade lawyers. The John H. Jackson Moot Court Competition developed from being a European Competition for ELSA Members to a global challenge that gathers hundreds of students from all around the world every year, with 6 Regional Rounds organised across the globe.

The John H. Jackson Moot Court Competition consists of three phases. First, the teams have to deliver Written Submissions on the issued Case for both parties of the dispute – Complainant and Respondent. Secondly the teams have to participate in one of the Regional Rounds and plead as a Complainant and Respondent in front of the Panel, composed of experts and professionals in the area. Lastly, the best teams from the Regional Rounds qualify for the Final Oral Round, usually held in Geneva, Switzerland.

In 2020/2021, ELSA organised the 19th edition of the JHJMCC, fully online due to the pandemic of Sars-Cov 2. The Competition was launched on 15 September 2020 with the publication of the Case written by Sara Lucía Dangón-Novoa, Gustavo Guarín-Duque, and Julián Becerra-Sánchez: *Budica - Measures relating to the importation and marketing of nutrition food bars.*

One team of 2 to 4 members per university or faculty could register. In total 90 teams registered until the deadline and were asked to pay the Registration fee and send their Written Submissions. From these 90 teams, a final number of 81 teams participated and sent their Written Submissions.

For this edition, all the rounds, from the first regional round to the final oral round, were held online on the Platform Hopin.

II. SPONSORS AND SUPPORTERS OF THE 19TH JHJMCC

TECHNICAL SUPPORTERS

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

WTO is the only international organization dealing with the global rules of trade between nations. The goal of the WTO is to improve the welfare of the people of the Member Countries by ensuring that trade flows as smoothly, predictably and freely as possible. The WTO currently consists of 164 Member Nations. The WTO, as an intergovernmental institution, is a place where Member governments seek to negotiate trade problems. The John



WORLD TRADE
ORGANIZATION



H. Jackson Moot Court Competition therefore supports the WTO's development and reaching out by developing the WTO Law focus amongst students, universities and academic institutions.

PLATINUM SPONSOR

VAN BAEL & BELLIS

Van Bael & Bellis is a leading independent law firm based in Brussels, with another office in Geneva exclusively dedicated to WTO matters. With more than 70 lawyers coming from over 20 different countries, the firm is well known for its client-centred approach, commitment to excellence and extensive expertise in EU trade and customs law, free trade agreements and WTO law as well as public international law. The firm's other main practice area is national and EU competition law where it has consistently ranked among the top EU firms.

VAN BAEL & BELLIS

GOLD SPONSORS

STEPTOE

In more than 100 years of practice, Steptoe has earned an international reputation for vigorous representation of clients before governmental agencies, successful advocacy in litigation and arbitration, and creative and practical advice in structuring business transactions. Steptoe has more than 500 lawyers and other professional staff across offices in Beijing, Brussels, Chicago, Hong Kong, London, Los Angeles, New York, San Francisco, and Washington.

Steptoe

**AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER &
FELD LLP**

Akin Gump is an international law firm, widely considered to be among the most profitable and prestigious firms in the United States and the world. Amongst all the fields of law that the firm is covering, they have considerable expertise in International Trade Law and WTO Law.

With more than 900 attorneys, the firm has offices in Dallas, Washington, D.C., San Antonio, Houston, Irvine, Fort Worth, New York, Moscow, Philadelphia, London, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Beijing, Hong Kong, Singapore, Abu Dhabi, Dubai, Frankfurt, Geneva and Hartford and is ranked as one of the top 10 highest-paying law firms in the United States.

Akin Gump

STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

BAKER MCKENZIE

Baker McKenzie has been voted the World's strongest law firm brand for 10 consecutive years and has 77 offices in 46 countries. Our fluency in working across borders, issues and practices allows us to simplify legal complexity, foresee risks others may overlook and identify commercial opportunities that many miss. This makes us advisers of choice to some of the world's leading multinational corporations. As the original global law firm, established in 1949, Baker McKenzie brings the right talent to every client issue, regardless of where the client is. We partner with our clients to deliver solutions in the world's largest economies as well as newly opening markets.

Baker McKenzie.

SILVER SPONSORS

SIDLEY

Sidley Austin LLP is a premier law firm with a practice highly attuned to the ever-changing international landscape. The firm has built a reputation for being an adviser for global business, with more than 2,000 lawyers in 20 offices worldwide. Sidley is committed to providing quality legal services wherever they are needed, including litigation, transactional and regulatory matters spanning virtually every area of law. The firm's lawyers have diverse legal backgrounds and are dedicated to teamwork, collaboration and superior client service. From our offices throughout North America, Europe and Asia, Sidley Austin LLP assists companies, governments and trade associations worldwide on transactional, regulatory, dispute settlement and policy matters. Because the United States and the European Union are the world's most important actors in international trade, we have teams in Washington, D.C. and Brussels. Since the World Trade Organization (WTO) is the focal point for trade rules, we have an office at the WTO headquarters in Geneva dedicated to ensuring that our clients are represented in international negotiations and WTO disputes. Our Washington, Brussels and Geneva offices work closely with colleagues in our Beijing, Shanghai, Hong Kong, and Singapore offices to provide comprehensive and practical guidance to clients on international trade matters.

SIDLEY

WHITE & CASE

Supporting our clients around the globe White & Case is a truly global law firm, uniquely positioned to help our clients achieve their ambitions in today's G20 world. As a pioneering international law firm, our cross-border experience and diverse team of local, US and English-qualified lawyers consistently deliver results for our clients. In both established and emerging markets, our lawyers are integral, long-standing members of the community, giving our clients insights into the local business environment alongside our experience in multiple jurisdictions. We work with some of the world's most respected and well-established banks and businesses, as well as start-up visionaries, governments and state-owned entities.

WHITE & CASE

MAIN ACADEMIC SUPPORTERS

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY LAW CENTER

Georgetown University Law Center is a global leader in legal education and the preeminent U.S. law school based in the nation's capital. A world-class faculty of celebrated theorists and leading legal practitioners offers students an unmatched breadth and depth of academic opportunities. Second to none in experiential education, the Law Center's numerous clinics are deeply woven into the Washington, D.C., landscape. More than 20 centers and institutes forge cutting-edge research and policy resources across fields including health, the environment, human rights, technology, national security and international economics. Georgetown Law equips students to succeed in a rapidly evolving legal environment and to make a profound difference in the world, guided by the school's motto, "Law is but the means, justice is the end."



GEORGETOWN LAW

ACADEMIC SUPPORTERS

WORLD TRADE INSTITUTE

The World Trade Institute (WTI) is a leading academic institution dedicated to teaching and research focused on international trade and investment regulation and economic globalisation and sustainability. Its aim is to shape public policy so that international economic governance yields tangible benefits for society. As a centre of excellence at the University of Bern with an international, interdisciplinary focus, the WTI explores the interconnections between the fields of law, economics and political science. Since 1999, its Master of International Economic Law (MILE) programme has been one of the world's leading programmes of advanced studies in the field of international trade regulation. In 2017, the WTI successfully launched a combined LL.M. and DAS programme, the TRAIL+. It targets

students and professionals with a legal background who are interested in specialising in international economic law with cross-disciplinary study of the global economy and trade and investment agreements. The WTI's Winter and Summer Academies, as well as CAS and DAS programmes offer working professionals the opportunity to broaden their knowledge of issues related to international law and economics, without the long-term time commitment of traditional study programmes.



EUROPEAN PUBLIC LAW ORGANIZATION

The European Public Law Organization (EPLO) is an international organization dedicated to the creation and dissemination of knowledge in the area of Public Law lato sensu and Governance and the promotion of European values, for a better generation of lawyers and democratic institutions worldwide. To this date, it has developed, organized, promoted and supported close to 200 educational, researches, training, institution building and other activities and has provided assistance to democratic institutions in more than 70 countries. In order to accomplish its purposes, the EPLO promotes cooperation with other institutions, organizations and bodies, in particular organizations in the United Nations system. The EPLO runs the European Law and Governance School (ELGS) which provides undergraduate and graduate degrees.



III. WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS

Written Submissions are the first stage of the Competition. The teams need to draft two Written submissions each, one on behalf of Complainant and one on behalf of Respondent. The quality of submissions is assessed by two independent trade law experts.

Each submission was blinded upon receipt and before the Regional Rounds sent to two independent trade law experts for scoring to ensure a fair procedure. The Written Submissions were scored on following criteria:

- **ANALYSIS OF LEGAL ISSUES**
 - i. On the application of the SPS Agreement (3 points);
 - ii. On the claims under the SPS Agreement (15 points);
 - iii. On the claims under GATT 1994 (12 points).
- **ARGUMENTATION AND WRITING STYLE**
 - i. Structure, organisation, and weighting of arguments (5 points);
 - ii. Creativity of argumentation (5 points);
 - iii. Clarity and tone of written expression (5 points);
 - iv. Correct use of legal terminology, grammar, spelling, and citation (5 points).

Each team could receive a maximum of 50 points for each Written Submission. After receiving the scores of both Written Submissions, these were averaged and used in the Preliminary Rounds of each Regional Round.

IV. REGIONAL ROUNDS

The Regional Rounds are the second stage of the Competition. This edition there were six Regional Rounds organised virtually. The in-person Regional Rounds consisted of two Preliminary days and the Semi-Finals and Grand Final on the last day. The teams were scored on the following criteria, the panellists having an important freedom when it comes to their appreciation of the scores.

- **LEGAL ANALYSIS**
 - i. Poor (1-6 points)
 - ii. Fair (7-12 points)
 - iii. Good (13-19 points)
 - iv. Very good (20-24 points)
 - v. Excellent (25-30 points)
- **Argumentation & Style**
 - i. Poor (1-4 points)
 - ii. Fair (5-8 points)
 - iii. Good (9-12 points)
 - iv. Very good (13-16 points)
 - v. Excellent (17-20 points)

Each team could receive a maximum of 50 points from each panellist.

The regional rounds happened online from February to May 2021:

- All American Regional Round - 24th to 28th of February
- First European Regional Round - 3rd to the 7th of March
- Second European Regional Round - 10th to 14th of March
- African Regional Round - 23rd to the 27th of March
- East Asia & Oceania Regional Round - 30th of March to 4th of April
- West and South Asia Regional Round - 27th of April to 2nd of May

ALL AMERICAN REGIONAL ROUND

The round was organised by ELSA, with the support of the Universidad de Guadalajara. Special thanks goes to:

- Prof. Edna Ramirez Robles;
- Izmit Martinez;
- Amparo Nehls;
- Antonio Gutierrez;
- Luis Corona;
- Alessia Zornetta;
- Anastasiya Boychenko;
- Maja Rajic.

1. PARTICIPATING UNIVERSITIES

- *Team 004* – Fundação Getúlio Vargas Law School of São Paulo, Brazil;
- *Team 014* – Pontifícia Universidade Católica, Brazil;
- *Team 015* – Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Faculty of Law (UNAM), Mexico;
- *Team 020* – Pontificia Universidad Javeriana de Bogotá, Colombia;
- *Team 033* – Georgetown University Law Center, USA;
- *Team 052* – University of Ottawa Faculty of Law, Canada;
- *Team 054* – Los Andes University - Law School, Colombia;
- *Team 062* – Universidad Externado de Colombia - Law school, economic law department, Colombia;
- *Team 064* – American University Washington College of Law, USA;
- *Team 086* – La Salle University, Law Faculty, Mexico.

2. PANELLISTS

This Regional Round was judged by Mr. Jorge Castro, Ms. Valerie Hughes, Ms. Jenya Grigorova, Mr. Alejandro Jaramillo, Mr. Roberto Zapata, Mr. Adrian Vazquez Benitez, Mr. David Gantz, Mr. Simón Hernandez, Mr. Horacio López-Portillo, Mr. Orlando Perez, Mr. Yannick Trudel, Ms. Jan Yves Remy, Ms. Maria Pereyra, Ms. Claudia Orozco, Ms. Iryna Polovets, Mr. Dmytro Galagan, Ms. Ariane Yvon, Mr.

Carlos Vejar, Mr Andrés Constantin, Ms. Natasha King, Mr. Salvador Behar, Ms. Eva Cabaleiro, Mr. Mateo Diego, Mr. Stephen Kho, Mr. Fernando Bertrán, Mr. Nicolas Lamp, Mr. Ricardo Ramirez, Ms. Debra Steger, Ms. Claudia Castillo Comabella, Ms. Marisa Goldstein.

3. SCORES

The Score Tables from the All American Regional Round can be found on [this link](https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.a_All_America_Regional_Round_Scores.pdf), https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.a_All_America_Regional_Round_Scores.pdf, you can find the calculation table for the teams scores and for the individual scores - classed by round and speakers' order of appearance.

4. AWARDS

- Winner: **Team 020** – Pontificia Universidad Javeriana de Bogotá, Colombia;
- Best Orator in Preliminary Rounds: **Pablo Meija**, *Team 054* – Los Andes University - Law School, Colombia;
- Best Orator in Semi-Finals: **Ana Margarita Aponte**, *Team 020* – Pontificia Universidad Javeriana de Bogotá, Colombia;
- Best Orator in Grand Final: **James Austin Lawe**, *Team 033* – Georgetown University Law Center, USA;
- Best Written Submission for Complainant: **Team 052** – University of Ottawa Faculty of Law, Canada, and **Team 054** – Los Andes University - Law School, Colombia;
- Best Written Submission for Respondent: **Team 052** – University of Ottawa Faculty of Law, Canada;
- Best Written Submission Overall: **Team 052** – University of Ottawa Faculty of Law, Canada.

FIRST EUROPEAN REGIONAL ROUND

The round was organised online by ELSA International. Special thanks goes to:

- Mathieu Verhelst;
- Melissa Marrano;
- Cindy Palha;
- Maciej Łodziński;
- Katharina Faber;
- Weronika Banska.

1. PARTICIPATING UNIVERSITIES

- *Team 002* – University of Zurich, Law Faculty, Switzerland;
- *Team 006* – ZHAW School of Management and Law, Switzerland;
- *Team 011* – Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany;
- *Team 035* – City Law School (City, University of London), UK;
- *Team 039* – London School of Economics and Political Science, UK;
- *Team 043* – Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies, Geneva - International Law Department, Switzerland;
- *Team 044* – Université de Genève, Faculty of Law, Switzerland;
- *Team 047* – Université Toulouse 1 Capitole, European School of Law, France;
- *Team 050* – Sciences Po Law School, France;
- *Team 051* – Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany;
- *Team 068* – Université de Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, École de droit de la Sorbonne, France;

2. PANELLISTS

This Regional Round was judged by Mr Rambod Behboodi, Mr. Werner Zdouc, Mr. Daniel Baker, Ms. Jenya Grigorova, Mr. Fernando Bertran, Ms. Hayley Ryerson, Mr. Carlo Cantore, Ms. Iveta alexovicova, Mr. Christian Lau, Ms. Gracia Marin Duran, Ms. Weiwei Zhang, Mr. Vladimir Talanov, Mr. Mattijs Kempynck, Mr. Neada Mullalli, Mr. Ridhish Rajvanshi, Ms. Claudia Castillo Comabella, Mr. Sandeep Ravikumar, Mr. Ivan Barankenko, Mr. Jin Woo Kim, Mr. Dmytro

Galagan, Ms. Zvenyslava Opeida, Mr. Naboth
van den Broek, Ms. Tetyana Tanchyn, Mr.
Sergey Tymma, Ms. I-Ju Chen.

3. SCORES

The Score Tables from the first European Regional Round can be found on [this link](#):

[https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.b First European Regional Round Scores.pdf](https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.b%20First%20European%20Regional%20Round%20Scores.pdf) you can find the calculation table for the teams scores and for the individual scores - classed by round and speakers' order of appearance.

4. AWARDS

- Winner: **Team 051** – Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany;
- Best Orator in Preliminary Rounds: **Amanda Miashiro**, *Team 043* – Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies, Geneva - International Law Department, Switzerland;
- Best Orator in Semi-Finals: **Matheus Garci**, *Team 043* – Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies, Geneva - International Law Department, Switzerland;
- Best Orator in Grand Final: **Johann Schmidt**, *Team 051* – Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany;
- Best Written Submission for Complainant: **Team 047** – Université Toulouse 1 Capitole, European School of Law, France;
- Best Written Submission for Respondent: **Team 047** – Université Toulouse 1 Capitole, European School of Law, France;
- Best Written Submission Overall: **Team 047** – Université Toulouse 1 Capitole, European School of Law, France.

SECOND EUROPEAN REGIONAL ROUND

The round was organised online by ELSA International. Special thanks goes to:

- Mathieu Verhelst;
- Alexandra Badillo Kúsulas;
- Lillan margaux Schröder;
- Ariadna Blanco Casares;
- Shrutakeerti Eshwar ;
- Tina Pirnovar;
- Anca Andreea-Gabriela;
- Emily Greenaway.

1. PARTICIPATING UNIVERSITIES

- *Team 007* – KU Leuven, law faculty, Belgium;
- *Team 009* – Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Institute of Law, Ukraine;
- *Team 025* – Jagiellonian University, Faculty of Law and Administration, Poland;
- *Team 027* – University of Georgia, Faculty of Law, Georgia;
- *Team 029* – National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russia;
- *Team 030* – Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań, law, Poland;
- *Team 031* – Belarusian State University, Belarus;
- *Team 046* – National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece;
- *Team 053* – Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Facoltà di Giurisprudenza, Italy;
- *Team 058* – Amsterdam University, Netherland;
- *Team 060* – The University of Southern Denmark, The Faculty of Business and social science, Denmark;
- *Team 061* – National Research University Higher School of Economics - Saint Petersburg, Faculty of Law, Russia;
- *Team 066* – Uniwersytet Gdański, Wydział Prawa i Administracji, Poland;
- *Team 084* – Tbilisi State University, Faculty of Law, Georgia.

2. PANELLISTS

This Regional Round was judged by Ms Isabelle Van Damme, Mr. Horacio Lopez Portillo, Ms. Jenya Grigorova, Mr. James Flett, Ms. Tetyana Payosova, Mr. Daniel Baker, Mr. Fernando Bertran, Mr. Hannu Wager, Ms. Hayley Ryerson, Ms. Eva Maria Carballeira, Ms. Natasha King, Ms. Weiwei Zhang, Ms. Altagracia Cuevas Arthur, Ms. Claudia Castillo Comabella, Mr. Patrick Wasilczyk, Ms. Pallavi Bajaj, Mr. Wojciech Maciejewski, Ms. Lijun Zhao, Mr. Vladimir Talanov, Ms. Leonila Guglya, Mr. Sandeep Ravikumar, Mr. Alejandro Jaramillo, Mr. Carlos Ignacio Arboleda Suarez, Ms. Mariela de Amstalden, Mr. Ridhish Rajvanshi, Mr. Simón Hernández, Ms. Tetiana Tanchyn, Ms. Gracia Marin Duran, Ms. Iryna Polovets, Mr. Mattijs Kempynck, Mr. Jin Woo Kim.

3. SCORES

The Score Tables from the second European Regional Round can be found on [this link](#):

[https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.c Second European Regional Round Scores .pdf](https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.c%20Second%20European%20Regional%20Round%20Scores.pdf), you can find the calculation table for the teams scores and for the individual scores - classed by round and speakers' order of appearance.

4. AWARDS

- Winner: **Team 029** – National Research University Higher School, Russia;
- Best Orator in Preliminary Rounds: **Mia Magelund Pedersen**, *Team 060* – The University of Southern Denmark, Denmark;
- Best Orator in Semi-Finals: **Olivier Chef**, *Team 007* – KU Leuven, law faculty, Belgium;
- Best Orator in Grand Final: **Anastasia Parkhomenko**, *Team 029* – National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russia;
- Best Written Submission for Complainant: **Team 007** – KU Leuven, law faculty, Belgium;
- Best Written Submission for Respondent: **Team 009** – Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Institute of Law, Ukraine;
- Best Written Submission Overall: **Team 007** – KU Leuven, law faculty, Belgium;

AFRICAN REGIONAL ROUND

The round was organised online by ELSA International. Special thanks goes to:

- Mathieu Verhelst;
- Megi Dukeli;
- Lydia Sedda;
- Antonia-Andreea Pîslariu;
- Francisco Arga E Lima;
- Weronika Banska.

1. PARTICIPATING UNIVERSITIES

- *Team 010* – Faculty of Legal Political and Social Sciences of Tunis - University of Carthage, Tunisia;
- *Team 016* – Kenyatta University, School of Law, Kenya;
- *Team 018* – Obafemi Awolowo University, Faculty of Law, Nigeria;
- *Team 032* – Kabarak University, Kenya;
- *Team 065* – Uganda Christian University, Uganda;
- *Team 072* – University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa;
- *Team 073* – Faculty of Law, University of Ibadan, Nigeria;
- *Team 076* – Moi University School of Law, Kenya;
- *Team 087* – Rhodes University, Faculty of Law, South Africa.

2. PANELLISTS

This Regional Round was judged by Ms. Svetlana Chobanova, Ms. Mariela de Amstalden, Mr. Weng Keong Kok, Ms. Shailja Singh, Mr. Aman Sadiwala, Ms. Anna Gladshtein, Mr. Andrés Constantin, Ms. Leonila Guglya, Mr. Akhil Raina, Mr. Mateo Ferrero, Mr. Carlo Cantore, Mr. Fernando Bertrán, Ms. Resian Tolu, Mr. Samuel Akhwale, Mr. John Nyanje, Mr. Mulualet Getachew, Mr. Lothar Ehring, Ms. Tetyana Payosova, Mr. Iain Sandford, Mr. Dominic Coppens, Ms. Maryanne Kamau, Mr. Ridhish Rajvanshi, Ms. Pallavi Bajaj, Ms. Sruti Sivasubramanian, Mr. Sergey Tymma, Mr. Harsh Gursahani.

3. SCORES

The Score Tables from the African Regional Round can be found on [this link](https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.d_African_Regional_Round_Scores.pdf):

https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.d_African_Regional_Round_Scores.pdf,

you can find the calculation table for the teams scores and for the individual scores - classed by round and speakers' order of appearance.

4. AWARDS

- Winner: **Team 072** – University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa;
- Best Orator in Preliminary Rounds: **Muchina NG'the**, *Team 016* – Kenyatta University, School of Law, Kenya;
- Best Orator in Semi-Finals: **Muchina NG'the**, *Team 016* – Kenyatta University, School of Law, Kenya;
- Best Orator in Grand Final: **Muchina NG'the**, *Team 016* – Kenyatta University, School of Law, Kenya;
- Best Written Submission for Complainant: **Team 073** – Faculty of Law, University of Ibadan, Nigeria;
- Best Written Submission for Respondent: **Team 073** – Faculty of Law, University of Ibadan, Nigeria;
- Best Written Submission Overall: **Team 073** – Faculty of Law, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

EAST ASIA & OCEANIA REGIONAL ROUND

The round was organised online by ELSA International, with the support of ALSA Thailand and ALSA International. Special thanks goes to:

- Mathieu Verhelst;
- Chanita Chumsri;
- Benyapa Kaewjinda;
- Jidapa Buasri;
- Napassorn Puapanniwat;
- Pakornkeat Insawang;
- Panchaya Rattanaumnuaishai;
- Pattita Siripraparoj;
- Pimnalin Tanathaipakdee;
- Piyatida Magunwong;
- Puntanut Prapapraditchote;
- Rawhiphutsagorn Chaiphairojn;
- Sirapat Inthong;
- Thippharat Wu;
- Tienyada Saranchol.

1. PARTICIPATING UNIVERSITIES

- *Team 003* – National Taiwan University, Taiwan;
- *Team 008* – National University of Singapore Faculty of Law, Singapore;
- *Team 012* – Tsinghua University, China;
- *Team 013* – National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan;
- *Team 021* – Ateneo de Manila University School of Law, Philippines;
- *Team 023* – Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia;
- *Team 038* – Shanghai University of International Business and Economics, School of Trade Negotiations, China;
- *Team 040* – University of International Business and Economics, School of Law, China;
- *Team 042* – Hongik University, Republik of Korea;

- *Team 048* – Institution of Law for Science and Technology, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan;
- *Team 049* – Korea University, Republik of Korea;
- *Team 070* – Guangdong University of Foreign Studies, China;
- *Team 075* – Shandong University, China;
- *Team 078* – Peking University School of Transnational Law, China;
- *Team 082* – University of the Philippines College of Law, Philippines;
- *Team 088* – Zhengzhou University, China.

2. PANELLISTS

This Regional Round was judged by Mr. Victor Crochet, Mr. Zaker Ahmad, Mr. Mats Cuvelier, Ms. Iryna Bogdanova, Mr. Henry Gao, Mr. James Nedumpara, Ms. Pasha Hsieh, Ms. Tatiana Yanguas, Ms. Svetlana Chobanova, Mr. Daniel Baker, Ms. Suzanne Zhou, Mr. Ridhish Rajvanshi, Mr. Weng Keong Kok, Mr. Prasit Aekaputra, Mr. Alex Ho Cheung Wong, Ms. Sruti Sivasubramanian, Ms. Olesia Kryvetska, Mr. Kunio Miyaoka, Mr. Anastasiosourgourinis, Ms. Yuka Fukunaga, Mr. Sergey Tymma, Mr. Fernando Bertran, Ms. Deena Bajrai, Ms. Jerry Shalmont, Ms. I-Ju Chen, Mr. Thanes Surcharikul, Mr. Joseph Koesnaidi, Mr. Akawat Laowonsiri, Ms. Iulianna Romanchyshyna, Ms. Anastasia Koltunova, Mr. Kotaro Okada, Ms. Iveta Alexovicova.

3. SCORES

The Score Tables from the East Asia and Oceania Regional Round can be found on [this link](#):

[https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.e East Asia and Oceania Regional Round Scores.pdf](https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.e%20East%20Asia%20and%20Oceania%20Regional%20Round%20Scores.pdf), you can find the calculation table for the teams scores and for the individual scores - classed by round and speakers' order of appearance.

4. AWARDS

- Winner: *Team 082* – University of the Philippines College of Law, Philippines;
- Best Orator in Preliminary Rounds: **Jayesh Kishor Melvani**, *Team 008* – National University of Singapore Faculty of Law, Singapore;

- Best Orator in Semi-Finals: **Matthew Dayday**, *Team 082* – University of the Philippines College of Law, Philippines;
- Best Orator in Grand Final: **Bianca Ortiz**, *Team 082* – University of the Philippines College of Law, Philippines;
- Best Written Submission for Complainant: **Team 078** – Peking University School of Transnational Law, China;
- Best Written Submission for Respondent: **Team 040** – University of International Business and Economics, School of Law, China;
- Best Written Submission Overall: **Team 078** – Peking University School of Transnational Law, China.

WEST & SOUTH ASIA REGIONAL ROUND

The round was organised online by ELSA International, with the support of ALSA Thailand and ALSA International. Special thanks goes to:

- Maja Rajic;
- Mathieu Verhelst;
- Chathathaichanok Sukhmon;
- Napatchanok Insawang;
- Pornteera Saengphonsit;
- Surasak Swangpolkrang;
- Thanisa Sothornjit;
- Thanyaphorn Cheepnurat;
- Thavirat Buthpho;
- Wanisa Yimsabai;
- Yanisa Kunwee.

1. PARTICIPATING UNIVERSITIES

- *Team 005* – The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, Kolkata, India;
- *Team 019* – Hidayatullah National Law University, Raipur, India;
- *Team 022* – Government Law College, University of Mumbai, India;
- *Team 024* – American University of Afghanistan, Professor Haroun Rahimi, Afghanistan;
- *Team 026* – National Law Institute University, Bhopal, India;
- *Team 028* – Sri Lanka Law College, Sri Lanka;
- *Team 036* – Chanakya national law university, Patna, India;
- *Team 037* – Maharashtra National Law University, Mumbai, India;
- *Team 041* – O.P. Jindal Global University, India;
- *Team 045* – School of Law, Ashwin Kunal Singh, India;
- *Team 055* – National Law School of India University, India;
- *Team 056* – Symbiosis Law School, Pune, India;
- *Team 057* – National Law University, Jodhpur, India;
- *Team 059* – University of Colombo, Sri Lanka;
- *Team 063* – Tashkent State University of Law, Uzbekistan;

- *Team 071* – Symbiosis Law School, Hyderabad, India;
- *Team 077* – School of Law, SASTRA University, India;
- *Team 079* – Gujarat National Law University, Gandhinagar, India;
- *Team 080* – Nirma University and Institute of Law, India;
- *Team 083* – Tamil Nadu National Law University, India.

2. PANELLISTS

This Regional Round was judged by Mr. Van den Bossche, Ms. Jennifer Hillman, Mr. Werner Zdouc, Ms. Kahaki Jere, Mr. Henry Gao, Mr. Weihuan Zhou, Ms. Jenya Grigorova, Ms. Michelle Sunita Kummar, Mr. Kotaro Okada, Mr. Benjamin Czapnik, Ms. Svetlana Chobanova, Mr. Harsh Gursahani, Ms. Pallavi Bajaj, Mr. Wonmong Choi, Ms. Lourdes Catrain, Ms. Chelsea Pollard, Ms. Camille Flechet, Mr. Ridhish Rajvanshi, Mr. Akshaya Venkataraman, Ms. Deena Bajrai, Ms. Ozlem Canbelek, Mr. Thomas WK Wong, Mr. Atul Sharam, Mr. Fernando Bertran, Ms. Iulianna Romanchyshyna, Mr. Daniel Baker, Mr. Akhil Raina, Ms. Chian Voen Wong, Ms. Michelle Limenta, Ms. Shailja Singh, Mr. Markus Wagner, Mr. Anastasios Gourgourinis, Ms. Meng Fang, Ms. Nataliia Kozschuk, Ms. Stéphanie Seeuws, Ms. Irene Mira, Mr. Akawat Laowonsiri, Ms. Eugene Lim, Ms. Diana Rahman, Ms. Hongliu Gong, Mr. Krzysztof Pawlowski, Mr. Panos Delimatsis, Mr. Ivan Baranenko, Mr. Anran Zhang, Ms. Aditi Rao.

3. SCORES

The Score Tables from the West and South Asia Regional Round can be found at [this link](#):

<https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.f West and South Asia Regional Round Scores.pdf>, you can find the calculation table for the teams scores and for the individual scores - classed by round and speakers' order of appearance.

4. AWARDS

- Winner: ***Team 019*** – Hidayatullah National Law University, Raipur, India;
- Best Orator in Preliminary Rounds: **Aaryyan Aathreya**, *Team 077* – School of Law, SASTRA University, India;

- Best Orator in Semi-Finals: **Kashish Satra**, *Team 005* – The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, Kolkata, India;
- Best Orator in Grand Final: **Kasvi Thakkar**, *Team 055* – National Law School of India University, India; and **Shreya Harikumar**, *Team 019* – Hidayatullah National Law University, Raipur, India;
- Best Written Submission for Complainant: **Team 071** – Symbiosis Law School, Hyderabad, India;
- Best Written Submission for Respondent: **Team 059** – University of Colombo, Sri Lanka;
- Best Written Submission Overall: **Team 005** – The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, Kolkata, India.

V. FINAL ORAL ROUND

For this Final Oral Round, FOR, of the 19th edition, 25 teams qualified and joined online the last phase of the competition.

As the regional rounds, the FOR was organised online by ELSA International and we would like to thanks:

- Anastasiya Boychenko;
- Melissa Marrano;
- Cindy Palha;
- Ariadna Blanco Casares;
- Mathieu Verhelst;
- Weronika Banska;
- Joelle Marciano;
- Samira Safarova;
- Dario Schönbachler;
- Maja Rajic;
- Basil Schaller;
- Lea Röthlin;
- Sina Gertsch;
- Zlatko Hadzic;
- Susanna Mendicino;
- Emily Greenaway;
- Carlos Eduardo Pereira.

1. PARTICIPATING UNIVERSITIES

- *Team 005* – The West Bengal National University of Juridical Sciences, Kolkata, India;
- *Team 007* – KU Leuven, law faculty, Belgium;
- *Team 008* – National University of Singapore Faculty of Law, Singapore;
- *Team 009* – Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Institute of Law, Ukraine;
- *Team 011* – Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany;
- *Team 016* – Kenyatta University, School of Law, Kenya;
- *Team 019* – Hidayatullah National Law University, Raipur, India;

- *Team 020* – Pontificia Universidad Javeriana de Bogotá, Colombia;
- *Team 026* – National Law Institute University, Bhopal, India;
- *Team 029* – National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russia;
- *Team 033* – Georgetown University Law Center, USA;
- *Team 040* – University of International Business and Economics, School of Law, China;
- *Team 043* – Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies, Geneva - International Law Department, Switzerland;
- *Team 048* - Institution of Law for Science and Technology, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan;
- *Team 051* – Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany;
- *Team 052* – University of Ottawa Faculty of Law, Canada;
- *Team 054* – Los Andes University - Law School, Colombia;
- *Team 055* – National Law School of India University, India;
- *Team 059* – University of Colombo, Sri Lanka;
- *Team 060* – The University of Southern Denmark, Denmark;
- *Team 072* – University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa;
- *Team 073* – Faculty of Law, University of Ibadan, Nigeria;
- *Team 076* – Moi University School of Law, Kenya;
- *Team 078* – Peking University School of Transnational Law, China;
- *Team 082* – University of the Philippines College of Law, Philippines.

2. PANELLISTS

This Final Oral Round was judged by Mr. Henry Gao, Ms. Gracia Marin Duran, Mr. Werner Zdouc, Ms. Weiwei Zhang, Ms. Natasha King, Mr. Krzysztof Pawlowski, Mr. Lothar Ehring, Mr. Rambod Behboodi, Ms. Jan Yves Remy, Ms. Marisa Goldstein, Ms. Valerie Hughes, Mr. Stephen Kho, Mr. Matthew Yeo, Mr. Julián Becerra, Ms. Xiaolu Zhu, Mr. Christian Lau, Mr. Gustavo Guarín, Ms. Charlotte Sieber-Gasser, Ms. Jennifer Hillman, Ms. Isabelle Van Damme, Mr. James Flett, Mr. Van den Bossche, Ms. Sara Lucía Dangón, Mr. Alan Yanovich, Ms. Hayley Ryerson, Ms. Amy Lentz, Mr. Serge Pannatier, Ms. Mariela de Amstalden, Mr. Wojtek Maciejewski, Ms. Ada Siquiera, Ms. Maryanne Kamau, Mr. Daniel Baker, Mr. Thomas WK Wong, Ms. Claudia Castillo Comabella, Ms. Iveta Alexovicova, Ms. Jenya Grigorova, Ms. Jerry Shalmont, Mr. Horacio

Lopez-Portillo, Ms. Natasha King, Ms. Ozlem Canbeldek, Mr. Panos Delimatsis, Mr. Ivan Baranenko, Mr. Simon Hernandez, Mr. Fernando Bertran, Ms. Leonila Guglya, Mr. Akawat Laowonsiri, Ms. Yuka Fukunaga, Mr. Aman Sadiwala, Ms. Resian Tolu, Mr. Harsh Gursahani, Mr. Vladimir Talanov, Ms. Anastasiia Koltunova, Mr. Zaker Ahmad, Ms. Eugene Lim, Ms. Michelle Limenta, Ms. Meng Fang, Mr. Kotaro Okada, Mr. Weng Keong Kok, Mr. Gerard Penalosa, Ms. Neada Mullalli, Mr. Ridhish Rajvanshi, Mr. Adrian Vazquez, Mr. Atul Sharma, Ms. Akshaya Venkataraman, Ms. Kahaki Jere, Mr. David Gantz, Mr. Carlos Arboleda, Ms. Camille Flechet, Ms. Pallavi Bajaj, Ms. Tetiana Tanchyn, Ms. Svetlana Chobanova, Mr. Anastasios Gourgourinis, Mr. Sergey Tymma, Mr. Weihuan Zhou, Mr. Mattijs Kemyndck, Mr. Joseph Koesnaldi, Mr. Alejandro Jaramillo, Mr. Yannick Trudel, Mr. Victor Saco, Ms. Olesia Kryvetska, Ms. I-Ju Chen, Ms. Saweria Mwangi, Mr. Miguel Villamizar.

3. SCORES

The Score Tables from the Final Oral Round can be found at [this link: https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.g Final Oral Round Scores.pdf](https://files.elsa.org/MCC/2021/3.g%20Final%20Oral%20Round%20Scores.pdf), you can find the calculation table for the teams scores and for the individual scores - classed by round and speakers' order of appearance.

4. AWARDS

- Winner: **Team 059** – University of Colombo, Sri Lanka;
- Runner-up: **Team 007** – KU Leuven, law faculty, Belgium;
- Best Orator in Preliminary Rounds: **Olivier Chef**, *Team 007* – KU Leuven, law faculty, Belgium;
- Best Orator in Quarterfinals: **Avishka Jayaweera**, *Team 059* – University of Colombo, Sri Lanka;
- Best Orator in Semi-Finals: **Olivier Chef**, *Team 007* – KU Leuven, law faculty, Belgium;
- Best Orator in Grand Final: **Saheli Wikramanayake**, *Team 059* – University of Colombo, Sri Lanka;
- Best Written Submission for Complainant: **Team 052** – University of Ottawa Faculty of Law, Canada, and **Team 054** – Los Andes University - Law School, Colombia;

- Best Written Submission for Respondent: **Team 059** – University of Colombo, Sri Lanka;
- Best Written Submission Overall: **Team 052** – University of Ottawa Faculty of Law, Canada.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Once again, the John H. Jackson Moot Court Competition saw an edition happening online successfully. For this 19th edition, and despite the pandemic of COVID-19, hundreds of students decided to engage in the competition and hundreds of experts decided to join the benches of the rounds and to coach and support the teams.

Before closing symbolically this 19th edition, I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to the people thanks to whom we were able to organise, online, this year's competition. The challenge changed from an in-person organisation but remained tremendous and required the combined effort of an active, motivated and engaged team to make things happen as smoothly as possible.

Thanks to Mathieu Verhelst, assistant for regional rounds of this edition. He will take my seat for the year to start and will, for sure, do a splendid job. Thanks to Joelle Marciano and to Emily Greenaway, assistants for panellists and for teams and previous participants of the competition - they did a work that was more than professional and their spirit contributed to develop the competition.

Thanks also to the WTO staff, not only representing our technical supporter, but supporting us. Many thanks to Ms. Iryna Polovets, to Mr. Miguel Villamizar and to Ms. Marisa Goldstein for the work we did this year together. Many thanks also to Ms. Svetlana Chobanova, to Ms. Saweria Mwangi and finally to Mr. Werner Zdouc.

And finally thanks to the members of the Academic Board, their support was more than valuable and their engagement extremely precious.

To avoid accumulating pages and lines, I will end this conclusion by saying that it was our pleasure, in ELSA, to organise this edition, and to see such engagement from all over the world - more than everything, thanks to you.

With the best regards,

Louis Bremond

Vice President in charge of Moot Court Competitions of the International Board of ELSA 2020/2021.