

ELSA

The Final Report

9th Edition of the
ELSA Moot Court Competition on
WTO Law

ELSA Moot Court Competition

C/M/S'
Law-Tax

world
trade
institute

IELPO
INSTITUTE FOR
INTERNATIONAL
ECONOMIC
LAW AND POLICY

TRADE
LAWGUIDE

Maastricht University
Faculty of Law

APPLETON LUFF
INTERNATIONAL LAWYERS

emc²
ELSA
moot court
competition

WORLD TRADE
ORGANIZATION



elsa

The European Law Students Association



The European Law Students' Association

The European Law Students' Association – ELSA Philosophy Statement

Vision

A just world in which there is respect for human dignity and cultural diversity

Purpose

To contribute to legal education, to foster mutual understanding and to promote social responsibility of law students and young lawyers

Means

Providing opportunities for law students and young lawyers to learn about other cultures and legal systems in a spirit of critical dialogue and scientific co-operation;

Assisting law students and young lawyers to be internationally minded and professionally skilled; and

Encouraging law students and young lawyers to act for the good of society



The European Law Students' Association

Contents

I. Foreword.....	3
II. General Background.....	5
Structure of the EMC ² Competition	6
III. THE SELECTION ROUNDS.....	7
ELSA Regional Rounds:	7
Asia–Pacific (non-ELSA) Regional Round:	7
Latin American (non-ELSA) Regional Round:.....	7
North American (non-ELSA) Regional Round:.....	7
International Written Round:	7
IV. NATIONAL ROUNDS	9
V. REGIONAL ROUNDS.....	10
The ELSA Regional Round of Vilnius, Lithuania.....	10
The ELSA Regional Round of Cluj-Napoca, Romania.....	18
The non-ELSA Regional Round of Asia-Pacific, Taipei, Taiwan.....	25
The non-ELSA Regional Round of Latin America, Mexico City, Mexico	37
The non-ELSA Regional Round of North America, Washington DC, USA	44
The Final Oral Round, Evian, France & Geneva, Switzerland	50



The European Law Students' Association

I. Foreword

Dear EMC² Participant,

The 9th Edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO Law (EMC²) through this Final Report on the overall competition of 2010-2011 has now concluded.

The 9th Edition of EMC² commenced with five separate Regional Rounds: one each in North America, Latin America and the Asia-Pacific region as well as two ELSA Regional Rounds in Europe. On behalf of the EMC² Team, I would like to personally thank the organisers of each Regional Round for their tremendous work and contribution to the competition.

After two years of the Final Oral Round of the EMC² touring the world, the competition was brought back to its European roots with the support of an ELSA Member country - ELSA Switzerland. Keeping the internationality of ELSA in mind, an International Organising Secretariat (IOS) was formed of ELSA members from different countries. I would like to thank the President of ELSA Switzerland, my friend, Daniel Gardavsky, the Head of the IOS, Claudius Krucker and the rest of the IOS Team for their commitment to ELSA and their hard work in ensuring the EMC² FOR was a success. ELSA International and ELSA Switzerland welcomed 16 Teams from the five Regional Rounds of to the Final Oral Round, which was held in Evian, France and Geneva, Switzerland from 23rd to 30th May 2011.

Through ELSA and the EMC² I not only gained experience and professionalism, but also a new family and new friendships. Firstly, I would like to thank my 2010-2011 Board; my new family that I have been working with for the past twelve months: Oscar, Filipe, Olga, Laurits, Paolo and last, but definitely not least, Elif. They have supported me throughout the year in this competition and in my work as VP AA. Secondly, thanks to a new friendship developed through hours working on the EMC², the Director for the EMC², Ms. Marje Muelder. She was dedicated to the EMC² and helped me to overcome the many demanding tasks which are part of this event. Finally, I would like to thank the ELSA Organising Secretariat (EOS) Team for their efforts to promote the EMC² in Europe and especially in the ELSA Network. The EOS consisted of eight active ELSA members from different National Groups, including myself and Ms. Marje Muelder, who were responsible for the promotion of the EMC², assisting the National Groups with EMC² knowledge and establishing a marketing strategy for the EMC². Thus I would like to thank: Zinaida Chkhaidze, Liisa Oravisto, Joelle Joosten, Aphrodite Giovanopoulou, Henrike Landgraf and Amanda Bertilsdotter Nilsson for their time and work throughout the year.

The EMC² would not be possible without the extensive contribution of the permanently appointed EMC² Academic Supervisors. I would like to thank Associate Professor Laura Nielsen (Europe, Africa and Middle East Academic Supervisor), Ms. Ieva Zebryte (Americas Academic Supervisor) and Mrs. Letizia Raschella Sergi (Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor) for their tireless work on the academic components of the EMC² competition since its inception in 2003, and in the case of the non-ELSA Regional Rounds, for organising these events. My special thanks to

Letizia for agreeing to step in at the last moment to supervise the Final Oral Round and organise the associated Academic Conference when Associate Professor Nielsen took ill. My sincere gratitude to all Panellists who have been involved in the competition throughout the Regional Oral Rounds, the Final Oral Round and especially those who significantly contributed to the



The European Law Students' Association

scoring of the Written Submissions. It is my sincere hope that you will all continue to support ELSA in our EMC² work for the coming years.

I would also like to thank our long-term EMC² Global Sponsors; The World Trade Institute and the University of Barcelona's Master Programme on International Economic Law and Policy (IELPO), as well as our new sponsors; Appleton Luff International Lawyers, The Trade Law Guide and finally the University of Maastricht with its Advanced Master in International and European Economic Law (IEEL). Also our Regional Rounds sponsors, National Rounds sponsors as well as individual team sponsors. Finally, the EMC²'s Technical Supporter, the World Trade Organization, who have contributed to the success of the competition since its commencement in 2003.

Lastly, on behalf of ELSA International, I would like to thank our Corporate partner, CMS and our Human Rights partner, the Council of Europe.

The 10th Edition of EMC² will hopefully attract an increased number of teams, Regional Rounds and more diverse cultural exchanges. Please follow the "News" section of the official website of the EMC² at www.elsa.org for updates on the 10th Edition.

Sincerely yours,
Zekiye Ezgi Kilinc
Vice President Academic Activities
ELSA International 2010/2011

and

Head of the Organising Committee
ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO Law 2010/2011



The European Law Students' Association

II. General Background

Introduction

The European Law Students' Association (ELSA), is an international, independent, non-political, and non-profit-making organization comprised and run by law students and young lawyers. Founded in 1981, ELSA is today the world's largest independent law students' association and is present in more than 300 law faculties in 42 countries across Europe with a membership in excess of 32,000 students and young lawyers.

The **vision of ELSA** is:

“A just world in which there is respect for human dignity and cultural diversity”

ELSA's main purpose is to contribute to legal education, to foster mutual understanding and to promote social responsibility amongst law students and young lawyers. It does this by providing opportunities for their members to learn about other cultures and legal systems through critical dialogue and scientific co-operation. ELSA has been involved in legal education in Europe for 29 years, and we will be in years to come. ELSA is glad to be contributing towards the development of law students worldwide.

ELSA chose WTO Law as the basis for its international moot court competition due to the growth in global trade since the 1990's and the necessity to provide security and stability to those involved in such trade through regulations. The World Trade Organization, which was established in 1995 and based on the old GATT Agreement, aims to create a system for efficiently regulating international trade.

Although the WTO as an organization and its Agreements has created controversies, the present structure and regulations will promote and enhance international trade for years to come.



The European Law Students' Association

Structure of the EMC² Competition

The Case for the Competition was issued on 15th of September 2010 with teams required to register for participation by the 22nd of December 2010. Only one team per law faculty or law school was allowed to participate in the Competition.

The EMC² consists of two different phases of the Regional Rounds whereby the teams qualify for the Final Oral Round of the EMC². The first of the two phases consists of the Written Submissions, which account for 30% of the final scores and second, the Oral Pleadings which account for 70% of the final scores. Before entering a Regional (Oral) Round every team had to submit their Written Submissions for both the Complainant and Respondent parties of the EMC² Case. For the 9th Edition of the EMC² documents were required to be submitted to ELSA International by the 19th January 2011 and the Regional Rounds were held globally, throughout March 2011.

ELSA National Groups were also given the opportunity to organise National (Oral) Rounds of the EMC². There was one National Round organised in Kiev, Ukraine. Since there were three teams registered from ELSA Ukraine, the National Round served a platform for the Ukrainian Teams to practice, instead of elimination in order to qualify to the Regional Rounds.

The Preliminary Rounds and Quarter Final Rounds of the Final Oral Round of the EMC² 2010-2011 were held at Palais Lumiere in Evian, France and the Semi Finals and Grand Finals were held at the World Trade Organization in Geneva, Switzerland from the 23rd to 30th May 2011.



The European Law Students' Association

III. THE SELECTION ROUNDS

To participate in the EMC² competition, most teams enter via a Regional Round or the International Written Round. At minimum, the Winner and Runner-up of each Regional Round and the International Written Round progress to the Final Oral Round. For the 9th Edition of the EMC² no teams signed up from a country that is attributed to the International Written Round, thus all 16 Teams that progressed to the Final Oral Round qualified through a Regional (Oral) Round.

ELSA International has geo-politically attributed countries to specific EMC² Selection Rounds as follows:

ELSA Regional Rounds:

Albania, Andorra, Austria, Belgium, Belarus, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Kazakhstan, Latvia, Lithuania, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Malta, Moldova, Montenegro, The Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Serbia, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, Ukraine and United Kingdom.

Asia-Pacific (non-ELSA) Regional Round:

Australia, Bhutan, Brunei-Darussalam, Cambodia, Chinese Taipei (Taiwan), Fiji, Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Japan, Laos, Macau China, Malaysia, Mongolia, Myanmar, Nepal, New Zealand, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Republic of China, Republic of (South) Korea, Samoa, Singapore, Solomon Islands, Thailand, Tonga, Vanuatu and Vietnam.

Latin American (non-ELSA) Regional Round:

Antigua and Barbuda, Argentina, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Grenada, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, México, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent & the Grenadines, Suriname, Trinidad and Tobago, Uruguay and Venezuela.

North American (non-ELSA) Regional Round:

Canada and the United States of America

International Written Round:

Teams from WTO Member or Observer states not listed above have been allocated to the International Written Round:

Africa: Algeria, Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Cape Verde, Chad, Comoros, Democratic Republic of Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Djibouti,

Equatorial Guinea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Kenya, Lesotho, Republic of Liberia, Libya, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Namibia, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sao Tomé Príncipe, Senegal, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Sudan, Swaziland, Tanzania, Togo, Tonga, Tunisia, Uganda, Zambia and Zimbabwe.



The European Law Students' Association

Middle East: Afghanistan, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Kingdom of Bahrain, Bangladesh, Egypt, Georgia, Iran, Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Kyrgyz Republic, Lebanese Republic, Maldives, Mauritius, Oman, Pakistan, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Seychelles, Sri Lanka, Tajikistan, United Arab Emirates, Uzbekistan and Yemen.

i) Structure of the Final Oral Round

At the Final Oral Round, 16 teams pled against each other in the Preliminary Rounds – once as Complainant and once as Respondent. In 2011, ELSA introduced Quarter Final Rounds in the Final Oral Round competition. The eight highest ranked teams of the Preliminary Rounds proceeded to the Quarter Final Rounds. These eight teams pled against each other only for once as Complainant or Respondent.

The four highest ranked teams after the Quarter Final Rounds progressed to the Elimination Rounds (Semi-Finals), where they pled once each only. The winners of the two Semi Finals Rounds proceeded to the Grand Final where the teams vied each for the title, The Winner of the 9th Edition of EMC² 2010-2011.

ii) Scoring of the Oral Pleadings

There are three Panellist assigned to each Preliminary Round Oral Pleading Session. For the Semi Finals there may be between 5 to 7 Panellist for each session, whilst the Grand Final may consist of 5 to 9 Panellist.

Each member of the Panel can assign a maximum score of 100 points: 0-50 being poor, 51-64 being average, 65-84 being good, and 85-100 being excellent. The scores given by each of the Panellists are added together. Therefore, the maximum score for each oral pleading session in the Preliminary Rounds is 300 points per Team. Each Team pleads twice in the Preliminary Rounds – once as Complainant and once as Respondent. Therefore, the ranking is determined by adding up the points of the two sessions. The maximum of 600 points could be achieved by one Team for the Preliminary Rounds. The maximum number of points a team may score in the Semi Final Round and Grand Final Round depends on the number of Panellist sitting in each individual session.

For the Preliminary Rounds only the Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score is the Overall Team Score from each of the three Panellists added together and the Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score is the Overall Team Score from each of the three Panellists added together. In order to rank the teams at the conclusion of the Preliminary Rounds, the 70% of the Total of Overall Oral Pleading Score is calculated and then added to 30% of the Overall Written Submission Scores.



The European Law Students' Association

IV. NATIONAL ROUNDS

During the 9th Edition of the EMC² only one National (Oral) Round (NR) was held within the ELSA Network. The NR of ELSA Ukraine took place for the 5th time in Kyiv, Ukraine on 18-19th February 2011. The round consisted of 3 teams

National Round in Ukraine

ELSA Moot Court Competition is an annual project of International Students Association ELSA. EMC² is one of the most ambitious projects of ELSA, which brings together participants from around the world. This year the National Round was held on 18th to 19th February, 2011 at the National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy. During the two days of competition, competitors displayed their knowledge of international law through the presentation of legal arguments and the ability to promptly and correctly respond to questions from panellist. In addition, they demonstrated their clinical by working with international. Teams from the Taras Shevchenko Kyiv National University, Institute of International Relations and National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy showed a high level of knowledge of WTO Law and English language.

The Panellists consisted of leading experts in international trade law:

- Borys Danevych, Vadym Shestakov - Law Firm "Paritet"
- Danyil Fedorchuk - Law Firm "Beiten Burkhardt"
- Natalya Mykolska - Law Firm "Vasyl Kysil&Partners"
- Natalya Haletska - Law Firm "Vasyl Kysil&Partners"
- Dmyro Marchukov - Law Firm "Magisters"

The team from the Taras Shevchenko, Kyiv National University were pronounced the National Champion of the Ukrainian National Round of the EMC². The Team consisted of Kseniya Pohruzhal'ska (who was also named the Best Orator of the competition) and Valeriya Tryfonova.

The [PARITET law firm](#) acted as a general partner in organising the competition for law students.

Yours sincerely,

Maksym Kostetskyi

Head of the Organising Committee

Vice President Academic Activities of ELSA Ukraine



The European Law Students' Association

V. REGIONAL ROUNDS

The ELSA Regional Round of Vilnius, Lithuania

The first ELSA Regional Round took place in Vilnius, Lithuania from 9th to 13th of March 2011.

A) Lithuania Regional Round Organiser

First, I would like to thank Mr. Justas Bukatis, the President of ELSA Lithuania and the Head of the Regional Round Organising Committee, Mr. Mantas Malisauskas. His enthusiasm inspired his team and in conclusion the Regional Round was a great success, both in terms of academic and social aspects. ELSA Lithuania's strong human resources and organising skills resulted in a successful event.

B) Academic Quality of the Lithuania Regional Round

i) List of Panellists:

The following individuals participated in the Lithuanian Regional Round as panellist:

- **Dr. Tomer Broude** - (Joint Case Author) – Hebrew university of Jerusalem, Israel
- **Ms. Ruta Zarnauskaite** - European Union Directorate General for Trade
- **Mr. Dr. David Luff** – AppletonLuff, Brussels
- **Mr. Lothar Ehring** – European Union Directorate General for Trade
- **Dr. Tomas Kamblevicius** – Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiunas and Partners LAWIN, Vilnius, Lithuania
- **Ms. Gintare Mockute** -
- **Mr. Rokas Bukauskas** -
- **Ms. Agne Mereckaite** – Varul Law Firm, Vilnius, Lithuania
- **Ms. Milda Bareisaite** -

A special thanks go to Ruta Zarnauskaite, who spent the entire week acting as an Academic Advisor for this specific Regional Round. It was also great to have a number of new panellists taking part in their first EMC² competition especially some very recent ELSA Officers who acted as a Panellist. I hope they will continue to contribute to the EMC² in the future.

As the number of panellists was limited in this Regional Round, the individuals judged almost all the Oral Pleading Sessions with no breaks, thus giving them the chance to evaluate each Team's performance, thereby ensuring the scores were consistent.



The European Law Students' Association

C) Timekeepers

The Organising Committee acted as Timekeepers, throughout the different Oral Pleading Sessions. I would like to thank the members of the Organising Committee for their enthusiasm and professionalism ensuring the competition was in compliance with the EMC² Rules.

D) Lithuania Regional Round Participating Teams

Due to visa issues with the team from Ukraine and the withdrawal of the team from Georgia, twelve teams participated in the Lithuania Regional Round.

The teams came from Belarus, Switzerland, Germany, Belgium, Finland, Russia, United Kingdom (x2), Greece, The Netherlands and Romania. However the participants were from many different countries.

I believe the teams found it challenging to face the panels, but it was also rewarding to see that many of the Team Coaches, who were former participants, support their universities representatives in this Edition. It is encouraging, from an organiser's perspective, to see that a moot court competition can attract some of the best law students to commit and contribute their spare time for others. I highly recommend a team to have an experienced team coach due to the high level of excellence in this competition.

E) Lithuania Regional Round Awards

The Award Ceremony took place in the conference room of the Holiday-Inn which was also the competition venue, on Saturday night. Participation Certificates were distributed to all teams registered for the ELSA Regional Round as well as the Panellists. Certificates were also awarded as follows:

i) Teams - Preliminary Rounds

At the conclusion of the Preliminary Rounds the Teams were ranked as follows:

- 1st ranked: Team 021 – Graduate Institute of International Development and Studies, Switzerland
- 2nd ranked: Team 036 – Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- 3rd ranked: Team 043 – King's College London, The United Kingdom
- 4th ranked: Team 032 – London School of Economics, The United Kingdom
- 5th ranked: Team 023 – Martin Luther University, Germany
- 6th ranked: Team 020 – Belarusian State University, Belarus
- 7th ranked: Team 024 – Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
- 8th ranked: Team 040 – University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 9th ranked: Team 056 – University of Bucharest, Romania
- 10th ranked: Team 027 – University of Helsinki, Finland
- 11th ranked: Team 030 – Saint Petersburg State University, Russia
- 12th ranked: Team 038 – International Institute of Labour and Social Relations, Belarus



The European Law Students' Association

ii) Teams – Preliminary Rounds:

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Scores	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Scores	Total of Oral Pleading Score (70%) & Written Submission Score (30%)
021	258.25	264.25	453.13
036	243.75	227.00	416.98
043	232.00	228.25	407.76
032	241.00	201.25	398.91
023	203.00	208.00	391.05
020	183.25	226.00	372.36
024	213.50	179.50	370.83
040	254.75	155.50	365.71
056	198.25	171.50	357.23
027	248.25	129.75	352.43
030	199.75	184.75	346.03
038	155.75	144.00	281.91

iii) Teams – Semi Final Rounds:

As per the Rules the elimination Teams mooted per the following schedule:

Semi-Final #1: Team 32 vs Team 043 = Winner: Team 032

**(ranked 3rd vs ranked 4th as the Teams were from the same country, see EMC² Rule 7.5.1.4)*

Semi-Final #2: Team 036 vs Team 021 = Winner: Team 036

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Semi-Final No. 1			
036	256.25	0.00	256.25
021	0.00	254.25	254.25
Semi-Final No. 2			
032	249.00	0.00	249.00
043	0.00	216.50	216.50



The European Law Students' Association

iv) Teams - Grand Final

Grand Final: Team 036 vs Team 032 = Winner: Team 036

**The Grand Final consisted of 7 Panellist*

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
036	564.00	0.00	564.00
032	0.00	482.25	482.25

v) Teams – Overall Awards

Winner of the Regional Round:

Team 036 - Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Runner-up of the Regional Round:

Team 032 – London School of Economics, United Kingdom

The ELSA Spirit Award:

040 – University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands

vi) Teams – Written Submission Awards

Best Complainant Written Submission:

Team 023 – Martin Luther University, Germany

Best Respondent Written Submission:

Team 023 – Martin Luther University, Germany

Best Overall Written Submissions:

Team 023 – Martin Luther University, Germany

vii) Individual – Orator Award for the Preliminary Rounds

As per rule 8.1.6.2 to be eligible for the Best Orator Award a participant must plead both as complainant and as Respondent.

Best Orator Preliminary Rounds:

Team 021 – Graduate Institute of International Development and Studies, Switzerland - Ms. Mikella Hurley.

Team Members:	Team No:	Total Complainant Score	Total Respondent Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Mikella Hurley	021	260.50	253.75	514.25
Maura Iliuteanu	021	260.75	252.00	512.75
Christy Burzio	043	240.00	241.00	481.00
Sheila Reidy	043	232.00	248.25	480.25



The European Law Students' Association

Sophia Saouridou	036	252.25	223.75	476.00
Zila Milupi	032	251.50	214.50	466.00
Konstantinos Voulgarakis	036	239.50	213.75	453.25
Gbemiro Igunnubolr	043	237.00	216.00	453.00
Sabrina Burkert	023	217.75	230.00	447.75
Amy Wan	032	243.25	202.75	446.00
Elli Resvani	036	213.50	221.00	434.50
Alexander Hassler	023	224.25	209.50	433.75
Andrei Solin	020	197.00	227.00	424.00
Tudor Stanciu	056	236.50	180.00	416.50
Ada Siqueira	032	234.75	176.75	411.50
Raman Ramanouski	020	166.75	242.00	408.75
Adrian Wardzynski	027	254.75	142.00	396.75
Iryna Hliabovich	020	170.75	219.00	389.75
Lisa Achimescu	056	203.00	183.00	386.00
Maxim Usynin	030	193.25	191.50	384.75
Farzan Sabet	021	0.00	276.00	276.00
Kseniya Malmygina	030	189.75	168.75	358.50
Laura Kravale	027	222.75	134.75	357.50
Yolanda Ghita-Blujdescu	056	167.50	185.00	352.50
Sabin Dragoman	040	261.75	0.00	261.75
Arunabh Singh	021	257.00	0.00	257.00
Florentine Dirks	040	243.00	0.00	243.00
Michael Dirkx	024	0.00	240.25	240.25
David van Gaever	024	214.50	0.00	214.50
Tim Treunen	024	206.75	0.00	206.75
Nastasia Mautsinson	038	204.25	0.00	204.25
Judith Heyder	023	0.00	200.75	200.75
Frank Zeugner	023	196.50	0.00	196.50



The European Law Students' Association

Yana Tamulyavchute	030	193.50	0.00	193.50
Maxim Losik	030	0.00	187.75	187.75
Danya Vavatyutsava	038	0.00	181.00	181.00
Anneli Sits	040	0.00	165.00	165.00
Andrei Pajarau	038	157.50	0.00	157.50
Ilya Okuneu	038	0.00	155.00	155.00
Wouter D'Herde	024	0.00	153.75	153.75
Edyta Winnicka	040	0.00	153.00	153.00
Giorgos Fasfalis	036	0.00	0.00	0.00

viii) Individual – Orator Award for the Semi Final Rounds

Best Orator Semi-Finals:

Team 021 – Graduate Institute of International Development and Studies, Switzerland, Mr Farzan Sabet.

Team Members	Team No	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Scores	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Scores	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Farzan Sabet	021	0.00	263.50	263.50
Sophia Saouridou	036	256.50	0.00	256.50
Konstantinos Voulgarakis	036	256.00	0.00	256.00
Mikella Hurley	021	0.00	254.25	254.25
Zila Milupi	032	253.00	0.00	253.00
Elli Resvani	036	251.50	0.00	251.50
Maura Iliuteanu	021	0.00	250.00	250.00
Amy Wan	032	247.50	0.00	247.50
Ada Siqueira	032	237.50	0.00	237.50
Sheila Reidy	043	0.00	230.50	230.50
Christy Burzio	043	0.00	209.25	209.25
Gbemiro Igunnubolr	043	0.00	205.25	205.25

ix) Individual - Orator Award for the Grand Final Round

Best Orator Grand Final Round:

Team 036 – Aristotle University of Thessaloniki - Ms. Konstantinos Vouldgarakis

Team Members	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Konstantinos Voulgarakis	036	584.00	0.00	584.00
Sophia Saouridou	036	564.75	0.00	564.75
Elli Resvani	036	537.00	0.00	537.00
Ada Siqueira	032	0.00	495.25	495.25
Zila Milupi	032	0.00	484.00	484.00
Amy Wan	032	0.00	445.75	445.75
Giorgos Fasfalis	036	0.00	0.00	0.00

x) IELPO Prizes Awarded to ELSA Lithuania Regional Round

One of the EMC² major sponsors, IELPO (University of Barcelona), provided an 'educational' prize to the Winner of the Lithuania Regional Round. Ms. Laura Gómez Bustos of IELPO presented the Awards to the following Team during a special segment of the Awards Ceremony in Vilnius on Saturday, 12th March 2011.

Winner of ELSA Lithuania Regional Round - Team 036 – Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

- Voucher for 40 hours of IELPO's specialised courses; or
- An equivalent waiver on the tuition fees for IELPO's LLM (International Economic Law and Policy) Programme to be used during the IELPO 2011-2012 academic year.

**Up to a maximum of 5 students will be accepted for the same specialised course (i.e. four team members and one student coach).*

xi) WTI Prizes Awarded to ELSA Lithuania Regional Round

The EMC²'s other major sponsor, WTI (World Trade Institute), provided an 'educational' prizes for the Winner of the Best Overall Written Submission Award of the Regional Rounds.

Ms. Corinne Karlagis presented the Awards on behalf of the WTI to the following Team during a special segment of the Awards Ceremony in Vilnius on Saturday, 12th March 2011.



The European Law Students' Association

Best Overall Written Submission of ELSA Lithuania Regional Round – Team 023 - Martin Luther University, Germany

- Voucher for participation in a weekly course of the Master of International Law and Economics (MILE) Programme; or
- Participation in a week of the WTT's Summer Academy; or
- An equivalent waiver on the tuition fees for MILE Programme to be used during the MILE 2011-2012 academic year.

F) Concluding Remarks

The Lithuania Regional Round was a great success and a sign of the good organisational skills of the Organising Committee. The tasks were delegated to groups of teams and when necessary, the teams were flexible to meet organisational challenges. The Organising Committee was fully motivated for the event and in general for ELSA. They were professional and worked very hard thereby ensuring the good name of the association. All in all, the Panellists and the participants were satisfied with their host and the programme. I would like to thank once more everyone who was involved in the Lithuania Regional Round.

Sincerely
Zekiye Ezgi Kilinc
Vice President Academic Activities
ELSA International 2010/2011;

and

Head of Organisation Committee
ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO Law 2010-2011



The European Law Students' Association

The ELSA Regional Round of Cluj-Napoca, Romania

The second ELSA Regional Round was held in the capital of Transylvania, in Cluj-Napoca, Romania from 16th to 20th March 2011

A) Romanian Regional Round Organiser

I would like to express my gratitude for the very hard-working organising team of ELSA Cluj-Napoca. My special thanks go to the President, Mr. Emi Bondulici and the Head of the Organising Committee, Mr. Paul Cirlanaru who worked tirelessly throughout event. The Regional Round was superbly organized, which demonstrates the work that lies behind the successful event. The participants, Panellists and ELSA International were taken good care of throughout the event and welcomed with true Romanian hospitality.

B) Academic Quality of the Romanian Regional Round

i) List of Panellists:

The following individuals participated in the Romanian Regional Round as Panellists:

- **Dr. Lukasz Gruszczynski** - (Joint Case Author) – Institute of Legal Studies – Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland
- **Mr. Roberto Rios Herran** – AppletonLuff, Warsaw
- **Prof. Horia Tit** - Professor, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, Iasi, Romania
- **Ms. Judith Czako** - Counsellor, Rules Division, WTO
- **Dr. Jan Bohannes** – ACWL, Switzerland
- **Mr. George Dian Balan** - Academic Assistant, the College of Europe, Natolin, Romania
- **Ms. Paula Oprea**

As the 9th Edition of the EMC² consisted of two Case Authors, we were able to have one individual participate as Panellist in each ELSA Regional Round. For the Romanian Regional Round we kept the number of Panellists to a minimum due to expenses as well as the desire to control the academic component through consistent scoring. Even though some last minute changes occurred we managed to work with only seven Panellists quite successfully. I would like to especially thank Mr. Roberto Rios Herran for being acting as the Academic Advisor for the Cluj-Napoca Regional Round.

C) Timekeepers

The Timekeepers were informed of their duties in a briefing session a few days before the event. Timekeepers did an excellent job during the competition, and it was a pleasure to see them all being very well organised and managing the administration of the oral pleading sessions with professionalism. I could really see the benefit of using the same Timekeepers several times during the week, however to have some extra Timekeepers is always a good idea.

D) Participants

Ten teams from Lithuania, Spain, Switzerland, United Kingdom (x2), Ukraine (x2), Denmark, France and the Netherlands participated in the Regional Round. The two teams from United Kingdom and Ukraine were put in the same Regional Round to try to spread out the nationalities of the teams qualifying for the Final Oral Round. Another team from the United Kingdom was scheduled to participate, however had to withdraw due to financial reasons.

The level of commitment by the participants was impressive as they all spent many hours after each Oral Pleading Session preparing for their next moot. I was pleased to observe that many of last year's participants acted as Team Coaches thereby supporting their University teams with their knowledge and experience from the previous year. You could definitely see all teams working very hard as was evident from the results. I hope that the trend of becoming a Team Coach for next year's team will continue and increase in the future.

E) Romanian Regional Round Awards

The Award Ceremony took place in the dining hall of the Belvedere Hotel, which was also the location of the competition. The Awards Ceremony commenced with Sponsor presentation followed by the Awards.

i) Teams – Preliminary Rounds

At the conclusion of the Preliminary Rounds the Teams were ranked as follows:

- 1st ranked: Team 001 – Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
- 2nd ranked: Team 039 - Taras Shevchenko Kyiv National University, Ukraine
- 3rd ranked: Team 059 - Maastricht University, The Netherlands
- 4th ranked: Team 026 - University of Bern, Switzerland
- 5th ranked: Team 008 – University of Barcelona, Spain
- 6th ranked: Team 066 - University of Dundee, The United Kingdom
- 7th ranked: Team 061 - University of Nottingham, The United Kingdom
- 8th ranked: Team 064 - Syddansk Universitet, Denmark
- 9th ranked: Team 048 - National University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy, Ukraine
- 10th ranked: Team 049 - Université Paris 1 Pantheon-Sorbonne, France

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Scores	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Scores	Total of Oral Pleading Score (70%) And Written Submission Score (30%)
001	257.50	237.00	446.58
039	266.25	249.75	441.38
059	249.00	236.50	438.55
026	202.75	240.00	409.98
008	254.50	214.75	408.21
066	241.75	246.75	407.28
061	240.75	189.00	395.03



The European Law Students' Association

064	228.75	200.50	394.01
048	230.75	211.00	392.78
049	226.75	194.75	381.60

ii) Teams – Semi Final Rounds

As per the Rules the elimination Teams mooted per the following Schedule:

Semi Final #1: Team 059 vs Team 001 = Winner: Team 059

Semi Final #2: Team 039 vs Team 026 = Winner: Team 026

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Scores	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Scores	Total of Oral Pleading Scores
Semi-Final No. 1			
059	450.50	0.00	450.50
001	0.00	441.00	441.00
Semi-Final No. 2			
026	0.00	416.25	416.25
039	413.25	0.00	413.25

iii) Teams - Grand Final:

Grand Final: Team 026 vs Team 059 = Winner: Team 059

**The Grand Final consisted of 7 Panellist*

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Scores
059	0.00	633.00	633.00
026	604.50	0.00	604.50

iv) Teams – Overall Awards

The following teams received certificates:

Winner of the Regional Round:

Team 059 – Maastricht University, The Netherlands

Runner-up of the Regional Round:

Team 026 – University of Bern, Switzerland

v) Teams – Written Submission Awards

Best Complainant Written Submission:

Team 059 – Maastricht University, The Netherlands

Best Respondent Written Submission:

Team 026 – University of Bern, Switzerland



The European Law Students' Association

Best Overall Written Submissions:

Team 001 - Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania

vi) Individual – Orator Award for the Preliminary Rounds

As per rule 8.1.6.2 to be eligible for the Best Orator Award a participant must plead both as complainant and as Respondent.

Best Orator Preliminary Rounds:

Team 039 – Taras Shevchenko Kyiv National University, Ukraine – Ms Kseniia Potruzalska

Team Member	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Kseniia Potruzalska	039	260.00	255.25	515.25
Valeriia Tryfonova	039	267.00	246.00	513.00
Maria Rajabali	066	249.00	258.25	507.25
Aisté Dumbryté	001	256.00	241.75	497.75
Karolis Gudas	001	251.25	238.00	489.25
Kimberley Chan	059	250.00	234.00	484.00
Dariusz Szuk	059	244.00	238.75	482.75
Grace Olsen	066	226.25	252.50	478.75
Justas Randis	001	248.25	227.00	475.25
Petar Cimentarov	061	254.00	209.50	463.50
Sara Enstedt	026	205.75	246.00	451.75
Illia Peregontsev	048	238.00	213.50	451.50
Katja Andersen	064	243.25	200.00	443.25
Dmytro Galagan	048	235.00	208.00	443.00
Marlene Eriksen	064	238.25	197.00	435.25
Vasyl Chorny	061	235.75	181.50	417.25
Whitney Nielsen	064	260.25	0.00	260.25
Marc Gordo	008	256.00	0.00	256.00
Ester González	008	252.75	0.00	252.75
Alona Shkrum	049	0.00	251.00	251.00
Laura Bayley	026	0.00	241.00	241.00
Giuseppe Bianco	049	234.25	0.00	234.25



The European Law Students' Association

Luke Large	061	0.00	217.25	217.25
Sissel Hansen	064	0.00	215.00	215.00
Carla Pujol	008	0.00	213.25	213.25
Elena Victoria Rodriguez	059	211.75	0.00	211.75
Claudia Bosch	008	0.00	211.50	211.50
Marie Papon	026	202.25	0.00	202.25
Milan Tahraoui	049	0.00	201.00	201.00
Verena Inden	049	200.00	0.00	200.00

vii) Individual – Orator Award for the Semi Final Rounds

Best Orator Semi Final Rounds:

Team 001 – Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania – Ms Aistė Dumbrytė

Team Member	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Scores
Aistė Dumbrytė	001	0.00	457.75	457.75
Kimberley Chan	059	455.75	0.00	455.75
Justas Randis	001	0.00	438.25	438.25
Elena Victoria Rodriguez	059	432.50	0.00	432.50
Laura Bayley	026	0.00	421.25	421.25
Karolis Gudas	001	0.00	419.50	419.50
Valeriia Tryfonova	039	415.00	0.00	415.00
Sara Enstedt	026	0.00	412.25	412.25
Kseniia Potruzhalska	039	408.75	0.00	408.75
Marie Papon	026	0.00	0.00	0.00
Dariusz Szuk	059	0.00	0.00	0.00



The European Law Students' Association

viii) Individual – Orator Award for the Grand Final Round

Best Orator Grand Final Round:

Team 059 – Maastricht University, The Netherlands – Ms Kimberley Chan

Team Member	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Scores
Kimberley Chan	059	656.00	0.00	656.00
Dariusz Szuk	059	636.75	0.00	636.75
Laura Bayley	026	0.00	632.50	632.50
Sara Enstedt	026	0.00	607.00	607.00
Elena Victoria Rodriguez	059	578.75	0.00	578.75
Marie Papon	026	0.00	0.00	0.00

ix) IELPO Prizes Awarded to ELSA Regional Round in Romania

One of the EMC² major sponsors, IELPO (University of Barcelona), provided an ‘educational’ prize to the Winner of the Romanian Regional Round. Ms. Laura Gómez Bustos of IELPO presented the Awards to the following Team during a special segment of the Awards Ceremony in Cluj-Napoca on Saturday, 19th March 2011.

Winner of ELSA Romanian Regional Round - Team 059 – Maastricht University, The Netherlands

- Voucher for 40 hours of IELPO’s specialised courses; or
- An equivalent waiver on the tuition fees for IELPO’s LLM (International Economic Law and Policy) Programme to be used during the IELPO 2011-2012 academic year.

**Up to a maximum of 5 students will be accepted for the same specialised course (i.e. four team members and one student coach).*

x) WTI Prize Awarded to ELSA Romanian Regional Round

WTI Prizes Awarded to ELSA Regional Round in Romania

The EMC²’s other major sponsor, WTI (World Trade Institute), provided an ‘educational’ prizes for the Winner of the Best Overall Written Submission Award of the Regional Rounds. Ms. Corinne Karlagis presented the Awards on behalf of the WTI to the following Team during a special segment of the Awards Ceremony in Cluj-Napoca on Saturday, 12th March 2011.

Best Overall Written Submission of ELSA Romania Regional Round – Team 001 - Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania

- Voucher for participation in a weekly course of the Master of International Law and Economics (MILE) Programme; or
- Participation in a week of the WTI’s Summer Academy; or
- An equivalent waiver on the tuition fees for MILE Programme to be used during the MILE 2011-2012 academic year.



The European Law Students' Association

F) Concluding Remarks

I can categorically state that the Cluj-Napoca Regional Round was one of the best ELSA events I have attended throughout my ELSA career. The Organising Committee's professionalism and the understanding of their responsibilities was outstanding. Once more, congratulations to the Organising Committee for an outstanding event and thank you for your perfect hospitality. It is my opinion that this Organising group will be very successful in any international event that they will host in the future.

**Sincerely
Zekiye Ezgi Kilinc
Vice President Academic Activities
ELSA International 2010/2011;**

and

**Head of Organisation Committee
ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO Law 2010-2011**



The European Law Students' Association

The non-ELSA Regional Round of Asia-Pacific, Taipei, Taiwan

A) Asia-Pacific Regional Round Organiser

For the 9th Edition of the EMC² the Asia Regional Round and the Pacific Regional Round were joined to truly reflect the importance of trade in the Asia-Pacific region.

For the sixth consecutive year, ELSA International's long term partner, the ASIAN Centre for WTO and International Health Law and Policy (ACWH) – National Taiwan University was appointed the Regional Round Organiser (RRO). ACWH, under the direction of its Executive Director and former Dean of the College of Law (NTU), Professor Chang-fa Lo once again hosted a high quality event and making the first Asia-Pacific Regional Round, which was held on the 1st to 6th March, in Taipei, Taiwan at the GIS Convention Centre, memorable.

For students and panellist who are unaware, Professor Lo was awarded ELSA's highest honour of 'ELSA Patron' in May 2009 at the close of the Final Oral Round, which was held for the first time outside of Europe. The 'ELSA Patron' award is recognition of Professor Lo's extensive contribution to clinical legal education globally and his dedication to young law students.

B) Academic Quality of the Asia-Pacific Regional Round

i) Panellists

The individuals chosen to judge the Asia-Pacific Regional Round were all qualified WTO practitioners and academics. We were particularly fortunate to have three world renowned WTO legal experts participate as Panellist. Firstly, former WTO Appellate Body Member and Professor of International Law (University of Tokyo) – Professor Mitsuo Matsushita (1995-2000) who has been a part of the Asia Regional Round since its establishment in 2006 as he was joint Regional Round Organiser of the event with Professor Lo. Next, attending his second EMC² competition as a Panellist, former WTO Appellate Body Member and Professor of International Law (Kyoto University) - Professor Yasuhei Taniguchi (2001-2007). Finally, Emeritus Professor Mary Hiscock of Bond University, Australia. Professor Hiscock was the first non-ELSA Regional Round Organiser in 2004 of the Pacific Regional Round held in Australia. In 2011 Professor Hiscock celebrates 50 years of teaching law and specifically International Trade Law.

A number of the Panellists stated that they had thoroughly enjoyed the experience of judging and especially assisting young law students from this region to develop their analytical and advocacy skills. Similar to other regional rounds, many of the Panellist were 'Alumni' who judged in the many editions of both the Asia and Pacific Regional Rounds as well as the Final Oral Round held in Taipei in May 2009. In addition, as the new Asia-Pacific Regional Round meant a substantial increase in the number of teams participating, form panellist alumni from the European Rounds as well as new panellist participated in the event.

A number of Panellists indicated that they would like to read the participants' Written Submissions. Consequently, a randomly selected Complainant and Respondent Written Submission were sent to all Panellists. All commented that the participants had indeed developed their oral pleadings since tendering their written documents.



The European Law Students' Association

Our sincere thanks to all our Panellists for their enthusiasm, dedication and at times, highly complex questions. Their participation made the Asia-Pacific Regional Round a wonderful experience for the students and an event which is likely to attract many teams from the region to future editions of the EMC² competition:

International Trade Law Academics:

- **Professor Mitsuo Matsushita** – University of Tokyo, Japan - former WTO Appellate Body Member (1995-2000) and ARR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni';
- **Professor Yasuhei Taniguchi** – Kyoto University, Japan and University of Tokyo, Japan - former WTO Appellate Body Member (2001-2007) and ARR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni';
- **Emeritus Professor Mary Hiscock** – Bond University, Australia (Inaugural EMC² Pacific Regional Round Administrator and PRR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni');
- **Professor Bryan Mercurio** – The Chinese University, Hong Kong (2009-2010 EMC² Case Author and ARR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni');
- **Professor (Dr) Shin-yi Péng** – Director, Institute of Law for Science & Technology, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan (2007-2008 EMC² Case Author as well as ARR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni' and ARR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni');
- **Professor David Morgan** – University of Melbourne, Australia (PRR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni');
- **Dr Bret Williams** – University of Sydney (and PRR 'Panellist Alumni');
- **Assistant Professor Pasha L. Hsieh** – Singapore Management University, Singapore (former WTO Appellate Body Secretariat Intern and ARR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni');
- **Associate Professor (Dr) Via Lo** – Bond University, Australia;
- **Associate Professor (Dr) Cathryn Yu** - Gongshang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China; and
- **Mrs Letizia Raschella-Sergi** - EMC² Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor – Institute for International Trade, University of Adelaide, Australia

Government Trade Officials:

**Please note that the following individuals participated in their individual capacity and not as representatives of their respective governments*

- **Ambassador Manickam Supperamaniam** - Former Ambassador/Permanent Representative of Malaysia to the WTO, Malaysia (and ARR 'Panellist Alumni')
- **Mr Stefan Amarasinha** – Head of Trade and Economic Section, European Union Office to Hong Kong and Macao (former Legal Counsel EU Director General Trade Office, Brussels and ELSA RR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni')



The European Law Students' Association

Private International Trade Law Practitioners

(in alphabetical order of Law firms and seniority of staff):

- **Mr James Lockett** – Special Counsel, Baker & McKenzie (Vietnam) Ltd, Vietnam;
- **Mr Li-Pu Lee** – Partner, Formosan Brothers Foundation, Taiwan (ARR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni');
- **Ms Kitty Shen** – Foreign Attorney, Formosan Brother Foundation, Taiwan;
- **Mr I-Che Hsieh** – Associate Lawyer, Formosa Transnational Attorneys at Law (and former Participant in ARR of EMC²);
- **Mr Pi-jan Wu** – Senior Counsel, LCS & Partners, Taiwan (Adjunct Associate Professor Soochow University School of Law and ARR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni');
- **Ms Jill Niu** – Counsellor, Lee & Li, Taiwan;
- **Ms I Sha Liu** – Counsellor, Lee & Li, Taiwan (and former member of the ARR Organising Secretariat and Participant in ARR of EMC²); and
- **Ms Sophia Hsieh** – Integrated Partner, TSAR & TSAI Law Firm, Taiwan.

We acknowledge the following individuals of Asia-Pacific Regional Round sponsors, who were scheduled to participate as Panellist but due to work related commitments had to withdraw during the competition.

- **Ms Jen-ni Yang** – Deputy Director, Office of Trade Negotiations, Ministry of Economic Affairs, Taiwan (ARR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni');
- **Mr Chern-chyi Chen** – Trade Negotiator, Office of Trade Negotiations, Ministry of Economic Affairs, Taiwan (ARR and FOR 'Panellist Alumni'); and
- **Ms Rebecca Chang** – Associate, Chien Yeh Law Offices, Taiwan

ii) Oral Pleading Sessions

The Preliminary Round pleading sessions were conducted concurrently over two days. Professor Mercurio, Professor Hiscock, Professor Morgan, kindly agreed to Chair the majority of Preliminary Round sessions, thereby lending a consistency to the process. The two Semi-Final Panels were Chaired by the Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor, Mrs Letizia Raschella-Sergi and the Grand Final Panel was Chaired by Professor Mitsuo Matsushita. Participants were reminded of the importance of time management, paced oral submissions and the fact that for most participants (and panellist) in the Asia-Pacific Regional Round, that English was not their native

language— hence articulation of arguments was crucial. All Panellists were mindful of the EMC² Rules and only permitted orators to run over time if they were answering the Panel's questions.

iii) Written Submissions

For the sixth consecutive year, Professor Shin-yi Péng (Institute of Law for Science & Technology, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan) and the Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor, Mrs Letizia Raschella-Sergi (Institute for International Trade - University of Adelaide, Australia) marked all the Written Submissions. The Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor also briefed the Panellists, during the Panellists' Briefing session, in relation to arguments put forward by teams in their Written Submissions, as of the 19th January 2011 (Written Submission deadline). As



The European Law Students' Association

experienced in other Regional Rounds, the teams progressed from their Written Submission pleadings by the time they orally pleaded in Taipei.

C) Timekeepers

The Panels were assisted with time management by members of the Asia-Pacific RRO Secretariat who acted as Timekeepers for all the pleadings sessions. Timers were utilised and this made it easier to record each Orators' pleading time as well as the overall team pleading time. In addition, they provided the Panel Chair with additional information regarding any breaches of the time rules. Furthermore, the Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor monitored all Preliminary Round sessions, allocated Panellist to hearings, managed the assessment sheets and any breaches of the EMC² Rules as well as answered competitors and Panellists questions.

D) Asia-Pacific Regional Round Participating Teams

The Asia-Pacific Regional Round has grown exponentially and in 2011 a total of 21 teams from Australia, Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Japan, New Zealand, the People's Republic of China, Philippines, South Korea, Taiwan and Vietnam registered for the event. As a number of teams were from developing and least developing countries, they were unable to participate due to lack of sponsorship funds. The actual participation number of teams for the event was 15 and we were delighted to have our first team from the People's Republic of China. The most represented WTO Member country in the Asia-Pacific Regional Round event was India, with 5 teams' participating.

Congratulations to all the Asia-Pacific Regional Round teams on their performance at the competition!

E) Asia-Pacific Regional Round Sponsors and Awards

Professor Chang-fa Lo is to be congratulated for securing Taiwan's major trade law firms to sponsor the Asia-Pacific Regional Round. The sponsorship ensured that WTO Law and Trade Policy experts could be panellist, as well as ensuring that all Participants and Panellists received Certificates and that the major prize winners in all categories received magnificent trophies. Participants were awarded Certificates of Participation, whilst the Panellist and Sponsors received Certificates of Appreciation at the official Asia-Pacific Regional Round Awards Ceremony - which was held after the Grand Final on Saturday, 5th March at the prestigious Sherwood Hotel – a truly magnificent venue for an auspicious occasion!

i) Sponsors of the 2010-2011 EMC2 Asia-Pacific Regional Round

- Chien Yeh Law Offices, Taipei, Taiwan
- Formosan Brothers Foundation, Taipei, Taiwan
- Formosa Transnational Attorneys at Law, Taipei, Taiwan
- LCS & Partners, Taipei, Taiwan
- Lee and Li Foundation, Taipei, Taiwan
- Y R Lee & Partners, Taipei, Taiwan
- TSAR & TSAI Law Firm, Taipei, Taiwan
- Ministry of Economic Affairs - Bureau of Foreign Trade



The European Law Students' Association

ii) Teams - Preliminary Rounds

At the conclusion of the Preliminary Rounds the teams were ranked as follows:

- 1st ranked: Team 011 – University of Melbourne, Australia
- 2nd ranked: Team 015 – National Taiwan University, Taiwan
- 3rd ranked: Team 019 – University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- 4th ranked: Team 028 – University of Otago, New Zealand
- 5th ranked: Team 009 – National Law University Jodhpur, India
- 6th ranked: Team 069 – Seoul National University, South Korea
- 7th ranked: Team 012 – Gujarat National Law University, India
- 8th ranked: Team 046 – National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan
- 9th ranked: Team 052 - Ateneo de Manila University, Philippines
- 10th ranked: Team 062 – Amity Law School Delhi, India
- 11th ranked: Team 051 – Yokohama National University, Japan
- 12th ranked Team 034 – Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia
- 13th ranked: Team 010 - Diplomatic Academy of Vietnam, Vietnam
- 14th ranked Team 003 – Xi'an Jiatong University, People's Republic of China
- 15th ranked Team 068 - Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University, Chennai, India

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Scores (70%) & Written Submission Score (30%)
011	266.75	267.75	481.03
015	255.00	246.00	456.53
019	248.00	254.50	451.5
028	242.25	243.75	438.75
009	248.25	218.75	434.73
069	223.00	235.25	420.96
012	253.25	198.00	419.31
052	202.00	229.75	402.28
046	211.50	211.25	395.53
062	206.00	198.25	380.71
051	191.25	196.25	360.13
034	179.50	209.50	359.90
010	172.00	183.50	354.08
003	194.75	178.50	350.98
068	188.75	155.25	326.30



The European Law Students' Association

iii) Teams - Semi Final Rounds

As per Rules the elimination teams mooted per the following schedule:

Semi Final #1: Team 011 (ranked 1st) vs Team 019 (ranked 3rd) = Winner Team 011

Semi Final #2: Team 015 (ranked 4th) vs Team 028 (ranked 2nd) = Winner Team 028

**The Semi Finals consisted of 5 Panellist per session*

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Semi-Final No. 1			
011	450.00	0.00	450.00
019	0.00	429.75	429.75
Semi-Final No. 2			
028	387.50	0.00	387.50
015	0.00	380.75	380.75

iv) Teams - Grand Final Round

Grand Final: Team 011 vs Team 028 = Winner Team 011

**The Grand Final consisted of 9 Panellist*

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
011	758.25	0.00	758.25
028	0.00	738.5	738.50

v) Teams – Overall Awards

The following teams and individuals received trophies and certificates generously donated by all the sponsors of the Asia-Pacific RR:

Winner of the EMC² Asia-Pacific Regional Round

Team 011 – University of Melbourne, Australia

Runner-up of the EMC² Asia-Pacific Regional Round

Team 028 – University of Otago, New Zealand

Spirit of ELSA Award:

Team 046 – National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan



The European Law Students' Association

vi) Teams – Written Submission Awards

The 2010-2011 Asia-Pacific Regional Round Written Submissions were once again assessed by the team of Professor (Dr) Shin-yi Péng and the Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor, Mrs Letizia Raschella-Sergi. The following teams received trophies and certificates generously donated by the sponsors of the Asia-Pacific Regional Round:

Best Complainant Written Submission of the Asia-Pacific Regional Round:

Team 009 – National Law University Jodhpur, India

Best Respondent Written Submission of the Asia-Pacific Regional Round:

Team 011 – University of Melbourne, Australia

Best Overall Written Submissions of the Asia-Pacific Regional Round - 'The Professor (Dr) Shin-yi Péng Award':

Team 009 – National Law University Jodhpur, India

Team No:	Total Complainant Written Submission Score	Total Respondent Written Submission Score	Total of Overall Written Submission Scores
009	178.00	178.75	356.75
011	176.5	179.75	356.25
015	176.00	176.75	352.75
010	175.75	175.00	350.75
012	172.25	172.50	344.75
052	167.50	166.00	333.50
019	166.50	166.00	332.50
046	165.50	165.50	332.00
069	164.00	165.25	329.25
028	164.75	163.75	328.50
062	163.00	162.75	325.75
003	149.50	149.50	299.00
051	147.50	148.75	296.25
034	146.25	145.75	292.00
068	143.75	141.25	285.00

vii) Individual – Orator Awards for the Preliminary Rounds

As per Rule 8.1.6.2 to be eligible for the Best Orator Award a participant must plead both as Complainant and as Respondent. The following individuals received Certificates and/or Trophies generously donated by the sponsors of the Asia-Pacific Regional Round:

Best Orator Preliminary Rounds

Team 011 – University of Melbourne – Mr Glyn Ayres

2nd Best Orator Preliminary Rounds

Team 011 – University of Melbourne – Mr Daniel Ari Baker

3rd Best Orator Preliminary Rounds

Team 019 – University of Hong Kong – Ms Wan Kei Kam



The European Law Students' Association

4th Best Orator Preliminary Rounds

Team 015 – National Taiwan University – Ms Pin-hsien Lee

5th Best Orator Preliminary Rounds

Team 019 – University of Hong Kong – Ms Zi Yun Sonia Lor

Name	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Glyn Ayres	011	265.5	271.25	536.75
Daniel Ari Baker	011	264.75	256.75	521.50
Wan Kei Kam	019	246.5	266.75	513.25
Pin-hsien Lee	015	254.25	248.50	502.75
Zi Yun Sonia Lor	019	247.25	255.00	502.25
Chih-wei Hsu	015	245.5	239.00	484.50
Lagna Panda	009	247.00	227.00	474.00
Ko Ya Choi	069	229.75	243.25	473.00
Jeremy Stewart	028	240.00	226.25	466.25
Emma Foley	028	242.00	224.00	466.00
Nayanthika Ramakrishnan	009	247.50	217.75	465.25
Brierly Broad	028	230.00	227.00	457.00
Ja Yong Lee	069	224.00	227.50	451.50
Pragya Sood	012	235.00	200.50	435.50
A-Yong Lim	069	219.75	222.50	442.25
Katrina Isabel Hirang	052	196.25	237.25	433.50
Tirth Bhatt	012	238.25	192.50	430.75
Chi Lee	046	216.00	211.75	427.75
Avantika	012	228.50	193.75	422.25
Roberto Miguel Dimaculangan	052	195.50	225.75	421.25
Chieh Lo	046	208.50	210.25	418.75
Aniket Jain	062	209.25	200.25	409.50
Levi Anthony B. Malaylay*	052	192.25	212.00	404.25
Sythan Prou	051	192.50	209.5	402.00
Xioa-wen Guo	003	198.00	202.25	400.25
Dhruv Berry	062	205.25	193.25	398.50
Catherine Setiawan	034	178.75	216.25	395.00
Si-cheng Zhu	003	194.25	194.50	388.75
Yi-shu Jia	003	189.75	191.50	381.25
Thanh Nguyen	010	180.50	194.00	374.50
Defina Rosa Tantri	034	174.00	200.00	374.00



The European Law Students' Association

Quynh Nguyen	010	170.75	195.75	366.50
Phong Pham	010	168.75	195.25	364.00
Vinay Metha	068	190.25	156.75	347.00
Vijay Metha	068	185.50	161.50	347.00
INELIGIBLE FOR PRELIMINARY ROUNDS BEST ORATOR AWARD AS ONLY PRESENTED FOR EITHER COMPLAINANT OR RESPONDENT				
Philip Aitken	011	264.75	0	264.75
Julian Ried	019	0	262.25	262.25
Yu-hsin Yeh	015	257.75	0	257.75
Pei-fen Hsieh	015	0	247.5	247.5
Rebecca Koh	011	0	246.75	246.75
Wai Lo	019	245.75	0	245.75
Hsiao-han (Abbey) Lin	046	218.75	0	218.75
Yu-ju Yen	046	0	208.25	208.25
Timur Bektemirov	051	0	201.75	201.75
Akihiro Ishimoto	051	187.75	0	187.75

viii) Individual – Orator Awards for the Semi Final Rounds

As per Rule 8.1.7.1 the participant with the highest Personal Score from the two Semi Final sessions will be declared as the Best Orator of the Semi Final Rounds.

Best Orator Semi Final Rounds

Team 011 – University of Melbourne, Australia - Mr Glyn Ayres

Name	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Glyn Ayres	011	444.00	0	444.00
Daniel Ari Baker	011	432.00	0	432.00
Philip Atkien	011	415.50	0	415.50
Emma Foley	028	404.50	0	404.50
Julian Ried	019	0	401.25	401.25
Pin-hsien Lee	015	0	393.50	393.50
Wan Kei Kam	019	0	388.50	388.50
Zi Yun Sonia Lor	019	0	379.50	379.50
Jeremy Stewart	028	378.25	0	378.25
Brierly Broad	028	377.50	0	377.50
Pei-fen Hsieh	015	0	376.50	376.50
Chih-wei Hsu	015	0	362.25	362.25



The European Law Students' Association

ix) Individual – Orator Awards for the Grand Final Round

As per Rule 8.1.8.1 the participant with the highest Personal Score from the two Semi Final sessions will be declared as the Best Orator of the Semi Final Rounds.

Best Orator Grand Final Round

Team 011 – University of Melbourne, Australia – Mr Glyn Ayres

Name	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Glyn Ayres	011	769.25	0.00	769.25
Philip Atkien	011	721.75	0.00	721.75
Jeremy Stewart	028	0.00	719.75	719.75
Emma Foley	028	0.00	719.25	719.25
Briely Broad	028	0.00	711.00	711.00
Daniel Ari Baker	011	709.25	0.00	709.25

x) EMC² Major Sponsorship Prizes Awarded to Asia-Pacific Regional Round Teams

Two of the EMC² major sponsors, provided ‘educational’ prizes for the overall Winner and the Best Overall Written Submissions Winner of the Asia-Pacific Regional Round.

IELPO (Universitate De Barcelona, Spain) Prize to the Winner of Asia-Pacific Regional Round - Team 011 – University of Melbourne, Australia:

- Voucher for 40 hours of IELPO’s specialised courses; or
- An equivalent waiver on the tuition fees for IELPO’s LLM (International Economic Law and Policy) Programme to be used during the IELPO 2011-2012 academic year.

**Up to a maximum of 5 students will be accepted for the same specialized course (i.e. four team members and one student coach).*

World Trade Institute (University of Berne, Switzerland) Prize to the Best Overall Written Submissions Asia-Pacific Regional Round - Team 009 – National Law University Jodhpur, India

- Voucher for participation in a weekly course of the Master of International Law and Economics (MILE) Programme, held in ; or
- Voucher for participation in a one week course of the WTI’s Summer Academy



The European Law Students' Association

F) Asia-Pacific Regional Round Academic Conference

In-keeping with ELSA International's objective to promote legal education, a one day Academic Conference titled, "Economic Integration of the Asia-Pacific Region and Beyond" was held on Friday, 4th March 2011. Professor Lo invited all the EMC² Panellist to participate as well as students.

Professor Lo welcomed all the participants to Conference and invited the Vice Minister of Economic Affairs - Mr Francis Kuo-Hsin Liang, the Academic Conference's major sponsor, to address the attendees:

In honour of the joining of the Asia and Pacific Regional Rounds, it was agreed upon by Professor Lo and the Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor that two Keynote Speeches should take place to honour the Special Contributions of Professor Mitsuo Matsushita and Emeritus Professor Mary Hiscock as the Inaugural Regional Round Organisers of the individual events.

Conference Presenters and Papers:

- **Keynote Speaker No. 1 – Professor Mitsuo Matsushita** (Inaugural Joint Asia Regional Round Administrator) - *'Export Controls of Natural Resources and the WTO/GATT Disciplines'*

Session I - Economic Integration in Asia-Pacific Region in General

- **Professor Chang-fa Lo** - *'The Landmark Cross-Strait Economic Cooperation Framework Agreement (ECFA) between Taiwan and China and Its International Law and Political Implications'*
- **Associate Professor, Dr Vai Io Lo** - *'China-Hong Kong CEPA: Qianhai Modern Service Cooperation Zone'*
- **Assistant Professor Branislav Hazucha** - *'The Role of International Standardization in the Technological Progress of Far East Asian Countries: From Imitators to Innovators'*

Session II - Trade and Investment Agreements and the WTO

- **Mr Stefan Amarasinha** - *'The Case for Critical Mass Agreements in the WTO'*
- **Dr Brett Williams** - *'Reconciling Discriminatory Trade Agreements with the GATT/WTO Objective of Eliminating Discrimination in International Trade'*
- **Associate Professor, Dr Andrew Mitchell** - *'The Implications of WTO & Investment Law for Tobacco Control Measures'*
- **Professor Bryan Mercurio** - *'The Potential Impact of Intellectual Property Rights in Bilateral Investment Treaties'*

Session III - Dispute Resolution, Trade Remedy and Economic Integration

- **Professor Yasuhei Taniguchi** - *'Dispute Settlement and Economic Integration in the Asia-Pacific Region'*
- **Professor David Morgan** - *'The Future of Dispute Settlement in the WTO, Looking Forward 10 Years'*
- **Associate Professor, Dr Yanning Yu** - *'China's Adopting Emergency Safeguard Measures for Services: A Political Economy Analysis'*

Session IV - Emerging Trade Agreement Issues:

- **Ambassador M Supperamaniam** - *'Towards an East Asia Free Trade Agreement (EAFTA)'*
- **Assistant Professor, Dr Chien-huei Wu** - *'EU-ASEAN relations after 30 Years: Legal Framework and Policy Change'*



The European Law Students' Association

- **Assistant Professor, Dr Pasha L Hsieh** - *'America's Return to Asia? A proposal for an ASEAN-United States FTA'*
- **Mr James Lockett** - *'Negotiation of the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP): Status and Challenges'*
- **Keynote Speaker No. 2 – Professor Mary Hiscock** (Inaugural Pacific Regional Round Administrator) - *'Economic Integration through Treaty: The Blurring of Public Law and Private Law'*

At the conclusion of the Academic Conference, the Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor thanked the Conference Presenters, the Conference Sponsor and announced the four Semi Finalist teams progressing in the competition. The Conference Presenters then attended a dinner hosted by the Director General of the Bureau of Foreign Trade – Mr Shih-Chao Ho, Shih.

G) Concluding Remarks

The sixth Asia-Pacific Regional Round was a highly successful event. Professor Chang-fa Lo and his 2010-2011 Asia-Pacific Regional Round Organising Secretariat of Ms Laura (Hsin-hua) Wang, Ms Anne (Al-li) Chen and Ms Ming-chieh Liu are to be sincerely congratulated for the professional organization of the moot court competition.

All participants were provided with a folder pack containing the event information and welcome gifts. Participants, Panellists and Sponsors were attended to with efficiency, courtesy and professionalism.

ACWH arranged for professional designers to brand the event and produced various promotional material including; event programs, acrylic document case; banners, posters, event name tags; place table tags as well as wonderful participation certificates. It is suggested that the EMC² follows ACWH's lead and instigate a brand marketing campaign to raise the profile of the competition and the marketability to employers of all participants.

The organization of the Asia-Pacific Regional Round continues to push the standard of the EMC² for all Regional Round Organisers. It is personally with deep sadness that the Asia-Pacific Regional Round will not be held in Taipei in 2012 as Professor Lo's personal commitment to clinical legal education, the EMC² and ELSA has been outstanding. However, on a happier note, it is with great joy that I congratulate Professor Lo on his recent appointment as a Grand Justice of the Constitutional Court of Taiwan. A worthy honour for a brilliant legal scholar and true friend to law students around the world! Although we all will miss you Professor Lo as the outstanding organiser of the Asia-Pacific Regional Round and its Academic Conferences, we are honoured that you will continue to participate in the Asia-Pacific Regional Round as a Panellist.

I invite all universities that are interested in hosting the 2012 Asia-Pacific Regional Round event to contact me direct for an [Application Package](#).

Yours Sincerely,
Mrs Letizia Raschella-Sergi
EMC² Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor



The European Law Students' Association

The non-ELSA Regional Round of Latin America, Mexico City, Mexico

A) Organisational Aspects

The Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, UNAM, performed as the Organizer of the non-ELSA Latin America and the Caribbean Regional Round (LARR) in México City, held from 22nd to 25th of March, 2011.

UNAM was founded on September 21st, 1551, under the name Royal and Pontifical University of Mexico. It is the biggest and most important university in Mexico. The fundamental aim of the UNAM is to serve both the country and humanity, train professionals, organize and carry out research, mainly on national problems and conditions, and offer cultural benefits in the broadest sense possible and has played a major role in the history and formation of our country. This public, autonomous and lay institution's fundamental tasks are teaching, research and culture spreading.

i) Logistics

In order to hold the ELSA Moot Court Competition Latin America and the Caribbean Regional Round in its 9th Edition, UNAM's Faculty of Law facilitated the Oral Pleading Rooms used for the UNAM's teams participating in international competitions to be used as venue for the EMC² Oral Pleadings and some Auditoriums in order to be used for the Welcome Ceremony and the Grand Final.

Additionally, teams and Panellists were picked up at the airport and transported to their hotels. The Organizers made reservations for the Panellists in the Camino Real Hotel, nearby UNAM, while the participating teams stayed at the City Express Teatro de los Insurgentes Hotel and granted daily transportation to the Faculty of Law.

Meals were included for both, Panellists and Teams in different stages nearby the Faculty of Law. For Panellists meals took place in NubeSiete and Azul y Oro Restaurants which are part of the University and have a variety of Mexican Gourmet Food. Teams had their meals at Allende Restaurant located three blocks away from the university, where due to its amenities the Gala Ceremony was also held.

ii) Finances

The basic income for the LARR organization came from the Participation Fee charged to all the participating Teams. On the other hand the venue for the oral pleadings and the welcome ceremony were sponsored by the Faculty of Law. With that the budget was all used to provide the participants and panellists of the LARR commodities and some presents.



The European Law Students' Association

B) Academic Quality of the Latin American Regional Round

i) Panellists

Those chosen to act as panellists in the LARR by the Academic Advisor Ms. Ieva Zebryte, were qualified as WTO practitioners and academics. We gladly received Mr. Ricardo Ramirez Hernández, member of the WTO Appellate Body and Mr. Carlo Gamberale from the WTO Appellate Body Secretariat to be an important part of the pool of panellists for the LARR 2011.

Besides Mr. Carlo Gamberale and Mr. Ricardo Ramirez, the following also participated as Panellists:

- **Mr. Pedro Negueloaecherry** – Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Argentina
- **Ms. Luz Elena Reyes** – Partner in SAI Consultores SC
- **Mr. Hugo PerezcanoDíaz** – Head of the International Commerce Practices Unit from the Mexican Economy Secretary
- **Ms. Olga Lucía Lozano** – Partner of Research & Opportunities in Colombia
- **Mr. Carlos Vejar Borrego** – General Director, Legal Counsel for Negotiations in the Mexican Economy Secretary
- **Mr. Orlando Pérez Gárate** – Deputy General Director, Legal Counsel for Negotiations in the Mexican Economy Secretary “A”
- **Mr. Carlos Reyes Díaz** – Academic on International Commerce matters in UNAM
- **Mr. Gregory Spak** – Partner of White & Case
- **Ms. Linda Pasquel** – Leading Counsel at IQOM
- **Mr. Mariano Gómez Peralta** – General Counsel of Mexico’s Ministry of Economy
- **Mr. Santiago Wills Valderrama** – Prior Participant and member the EMC² Final Oral Round 6th Edition Winning Team.

ii) Oral Pleading Sessions

The oral pleading sessions were held during three days, composed of three Panellists and assisted by two timekeepers in each session. The performance of the participant teams in these sessions above the average, as acknowledged by all the Panellists, showing wide knowledge of the case and the information around it.

C) Timekeepers

Panellists were assisted with the time management by voluntary students from UNAM acting as Timekeepers in all the pleading sessions. Timers were used which made easier to record the time of speakers, making in this way easier for the Panellists to know if a speaker had gone over his or her time.



The European Law Students' Association

D) Latin American Regional Round Participating Teams

Reflecting the growing prestige of ELSA Moot Court competition in Latin America and the Caribbean, its 9th Edition counted with the greatest number of participating teams ever, 10 teams from Brazil, Colombia and Mexico, from the following universities:

i) Teams – Preliminary Rounds

At the conclusion of the Preliminary Rounds the Teams were ranked as follows:

1st ranked: Team 025 - Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Colombia

2nd ranked: Team 054 - Universidad del Rosario, Colombia

3rd ranked: Team 060 - Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico

4th ranked: Team 037 - Universidad de los Andes, Colombia

5th ranked: Team 031 - Universidad Autonoma del Estado de Hidalgo - Instituto de Ciencias Sociales y Humanidades, Mexico

6th ranked: Team 055 - Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México (ITAM), Mexico

7th ranked: Team 058 - Universidad Externado de Colombia, Colombia

8th ranked: Team 035 - Fundação Getulio Vargas (FGV) Sao Paulo Law School, Brazil

9th ranked: Team 050 - Pontificia Universidade Católica de São Paulo, Brazil

10th ranked: Team 022 - Universidad Sergio Arboleda, Colombia

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score (70%) & Written Submission Score (30%)
025	274.00	263.50	461.45
054	242.75	270.00	448.18
060	233.75	255.00	441.73
037	225.00	238.25	422.38
031	276.00	191.25	412.28
055	258.00	191.50	403.98
058	210.00	222.31	399.97
035	239.50	195.00	390.78
050	208.25	224.25	383.45
022	201.00	180.00	347.25



The European Law Students' Association

ii) Teams – Semi Final Rounds

As per the Rules the elimination Teams mooted per the following schedule:

Semi Final #1: Team 025 vs Team 060 = Winner: Team 025

Semi Final #2: Team 037 vs Team 054 = Winner: Team 054

	Total Complainant Oral Pleadings Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Scores
Semi-Final No. 1			
Team 025	273.25	0.00	273.25
Team 060	0.00	265.25	265.25
Semi-Final No. 2			
Team 037	255.00	0.00	255.00
Team 054	0.00	270.00	270.00

iii) Teams - Grand Final Round

Grand Final Team 054 vs Team 025 = Winner: Team 025

**The Grand Final Round consisted of 7 Panellists*

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Scores
Team 054	604.50	0.00	604.50
Team 025	0.00	637.25	637.25

iv) Teams – Overall Awards

Participation Certificates were distributed to all teams registered for the ELSA Regional Round as well as the Panellists. Certificates were also awarded for the following:

Winner of the Regional Round:

Team 025 - Pontificia Universidad Javeriana

Runner-up of the Regional Round:

Team 054 – Universidad del Rosario

v) Teams – Written Submission Awards

Best Complainant Written Submission:

Team 037 - Universidad de los Andes

Best Respondent Written Submission:

Team 060 - Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)

Best Overall Written Submissions:

Team 060 - Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM)



The European Law Students' Association

vi) Individual – Orator Award for the Preliminary Rounds

As per rule 8.1.6.2 to be eligible for the Best Orator Award a participant must plead both as complainant and as Respondent.

Best Orator Preliminary Rounds:

Team 025 - Pontificia Universidad Javeriana – Mr Sebastian Mantilla

Name	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Sebastian Mantilla	025	280.00	253.75	533.75
Walter Westphal Oberschmidt	055	263.00	265.25	528.25
Juan Daniel Londoño Tabares	054	249.50	271.25	520.75
Lucas Queiroz Pires	035	274.75	210.00	484.75
Angélica Ruíz Torres	058	220.00	254.00	474.00
Ana Cristina González Rincón	060	221.75	249.00	470.75
Imanol Ramírez Martínez	031	271.25	194.25	465.50
Juan Felipe Ortiz Quijano	054	215.00	223.75	438.75
Adrián Roberto Villagomez Alemán	031	243.75	190.75	434.50
Lina María Díaz Vera	058	195.00	215.00	410.00
Nathalie Tiba Sato	035	208.00	195.00	403.00
Diana María Beltran Vargas	058	182.00	218.75	400.75
Aldo González Melo	055	245.25	155.25	400.50
Juan Sebastian Gómez Latorre	022	203.00	195.00	398.00
Juan Pablo Martinez	022	185.00	180.00	365.00



The European Law Students' Association

vii) Individual – Orator Award for the Semi Final Rounds

Best Orator Semi Final Rounds:

Team 025 - Pontificia Universidad Javeriana – Mr. Carlos Ignacio Arboleda

Name	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Carlos Ignacio Arboleda	025	280.00	0.00	280.00
Francisco Diego Pacheco Román	060	0.00	279.50	279.50
Juan Daniel Londoño Tabares	054	0.00	270.00	270.00
Sebastian Mantilla	025	265.00	0.00	265.00
Edgar Ruiz	037	255.00	0.00	255.00
Ana Cristina González Rincón	060	0.00	244.75	244.75
Juan Felipe Ortiz Quijano	054	0.00	240.00	240.00
Javier Valderrama	037	225.00	0.00	225.00

viii) Individual – Orator Award for the Grand Final Round

Best Orator Grand Final:

Team 025 - Pontificia Universidad Javeriana – Ms Catalina García

Name	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Catalina García	025	0.00	637.00	637.00
Carlos Ignacio Arboleda	025	0.00	630.00	630.00
Juan Daniel Londoño Tabares	054	605.75	0.00	605.75
Juan Felipe Ortiz Quijano	054	598.25	0.00	598.25
Julián Eduardo Huertas Cárdenas	054	586.25	0.00	586.25
Felipe Alarcón	025	0.00	566.53	566.53
Diego Alejandro Gómez Alvarado	054	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sebastian Mantilla	025	0.00	0.00	0.00



The European Law Students' Association

ix) EMC² Major Sponsorship Prizes Awarded to the Latin America Regional Round Teams

Two of the EMC² major sponsors, IELPO (University of Barcelona), provided 'educational' prizes for the overall Winner and the Best Overall Written Submissions winner of the Latin American Regional Round.

IELPO (Universitat De Barcelona, Spain) Prize to the Winner of Latin American Regional Round - Team 024 – Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Colombia:

- Voucher for 40 hours of IELPO's specialised courses; or
- An equivalent waiver on the tuition fees for IELPO's LLM (International Economic Law and Policy) Programme to be used during the IELPO 2011-2012 academic year.

**Up to a maximum of 5 students will be accepted for the same specialized course (i.e. four team members and one student coach).*

World Trade Institute (University of Berne, Switzerland) Prize to the Best Overall Written Submissions Latin American Regional Round - Team 060 - Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico:

- Voucher for participation in a weekly course of the Master of International Law and Economics (MILE) Programme, held in ; or
- Voucher for participation in a one week course of the WTI's Summer Academy

Yours sincerely,
Ms. Ieva Zebryte
EMC² LARR & NARR Academic Supervisor



The European Law Students' Association

The non-ELSA Regional Round of North America, Washington DC, USA

A) Organizational Aspects

The high organisational and academic standard set by the North America Regional Round organised in Canada just last year was taken up by professor Padideh Ala'i and her team of which I want to highlight invaluable contribution of Jennifer Dabson (and her Special Events Department's team) and Thomas Whitehead (and his team of 'Timekeepers') of the American University Washington Collage of Law, Washington D.C., USA. It was an absolute pleasure to work with the WCL team.

i) Logistics

The space for moot procedures, the panellists' room, the moot court documentation, transportation and other aspects were very well organised, and to say that there was enough food would be to say nothing. The Academic Supervisor gained at least one kilogram to confirm that the Special Events Department of AU WCL has lived up to its reputation. Finally, if something was not ready it was taken care of in the matter of minutes.

ii) Finances

The bill was fully covered by the American University Washington Collage of Law, the NARR Participation Fee and kind contribution of some panellists and their institutions towards the presence at the NARR of high quality WTO law experts from governmental, private practice and academia. THANK YOU!

B) Academic Quality of the Latin American Regional Round

i) Panellists

Due to Padideh Ala'i's contribution an experienced, zealous and highly qualified pool of judges was gathered to evaluate the students' performance. My thanks go to all of them. They have contributed their valuable time

- **Ms. Jennifer Hillman** - Appellate Body WTO
- **Mr. Ricardo Ramirez** - Appellate Body WTO
- **Mr. Bruce Wilson** - former Director of Legal Affairs Division WTO
- **Mr. Steve Fabry** - U.S. Department of State
- **Mr. Matthew Kronby** - Director General, Trade Law Bureau, Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada
- **Mr. Dominic Gingras** - Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada (DFAIT)
- **Mr. Jason Tolland** - Deputy Director, Foreign Affairs and International Trade Canada

- **Ms. Rosine M. Plank** – Brumback, Organisation of American States
- **Mr Gary Horlick** - Attorney at Law – International Trade



The European Law Students' Association

- **Ms. Meredith Lewis** - Georgetown University
- **Mr. Timothy J. Keeler** - Mayer Brown
- **Mr. Stephen Kho** - Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
- **Ms. Melida Hodgson** - Foley Hoag LL.P.
- **Ms. Beth Argenti** - Law Offices of Stewart and Stewart
- **Mr. Dan Brinza** - U.S. Trade Representative
- **Mr. Philip Butler** - Law Offices of Stewart and Stewart
- **Mr. Jay Eizenstat**, - Miller & Chevalier
- **Mr. Yongjie Li** -
- **Mr. Andrew Shoyer** - Sidley Austin LLP – Partner
- **Ms. Kelly Slater** - Appleton Luff, Washington D.C.
- **Ms. Amy Stanley** - King & Spalding (an international law firm)
- **Mr. Joshua Meltzer**
- **Mr. Eric M. Solovy** - Sidley Austin LLP – Partner
- **Mr. Jorge Miranda**
- **Ms. Amy Porges** - Attorney at Law – International Trade
- **Mr. Shubha Sastry** - Office of the United States Trade Representative
- **Mr. Michael Gilles**

ii) Oral Pleading Sessions

C) Timekeepers

AU WCL students were trained by the Academic Supervisor and advised by former EMC² participants hence ensuring quality assistance to the timekeeping obligation of the panels. Their academic and professional interest in International Trade Law kept the spirits high during the hour of hard work assisting the Academic Supervisor to administer the scores. My thanks go to them and sincere wish to meet them in the future in some WTO law event or environment.

D) North American Regional Round Participating Teams

i) Teams – Preliminary Rounds

At the conclusion of the Preliminary Rounds the Teams were ranked as follows:

1st ranked: Team 018 - Queen's University Faculty of Law, Canada

2nd ranked: Team 047 - Duke Law School, USA

3rd ranked: Team 045 - University of Ottawa - Faculty of Common Law, Canada

4th ranked: Team 006 - American University Washington College of Law, USA

5th ranked: Team 002 - University of Houston Law Center, USA

6th ranked: Team 029 - University of Missouri- Kansas City, USA



The European Law Students' Association

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score (70%) & Written Submission Score (30%)
018	256.75	246.75	454.68
047	252.00	257.50	437.50
045	256.50	221.25	434.18
006	218.75	237.75	418.63
002	267.00	211.75	415.83
029	215.50	181.50	369.93

ii) Teams – Semi Final Rounds

As per the Rules the elimination Teams mooted per the following schedule:

Semi Final #1: Team 018 vs Team 045 = Winner: Team 018

Semi Final #2: Team 006 vs Team 047 = Winner: Team 006

	Total Complainant Oral Pleadings Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Scores
Semi-Final No. 1			
018	450.00	.00	450.00
045	.00	425.00	425.00
Semi-Final No. 2			
006	544.50	0.00	544.50
047	0.00	420.25	420.25

iii) Teams - Grand Final Round

Grand Final Team 006 vs Team 018 = Winner: Team 006

**The Grand Final Round consisted of 7 Panellists*

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Scores
006	617.50	0.00	617.50
018	0.00	559.63	559.63



The European Law Students' Association

iv) Teams – Overall Awards

Participation Certificates were distributed to all teams registered for the ELSA Regional Round as well as the Panellists. Certificates were also awarded for the following:

Winner of the Regional Round:

Team 006 - American University Washington College of Law, USA

Runner-up of the Regional Round:

Team 018 - Queen's University - Faculty of Common Law, Canada

v) Teams – Written Submission Awards

Best Complainant Written Submission:

Team 045 - University of Ottawa - Faculty of Common Law, Canada

Best Respondent Written Submission:

Team 018 - Queen's University Faculty of Law, Canada

Best Overall Written Submissions:

Team 018 - Queen's University - Faculty of Law, Canada

vi) Individual – Orator Award for the Preliminary Rounds

As per rule 8.1.6.2 to be eligible for the Best Orator Award a participant must plead both as Complainant and as Respondent.

Best Orator Preliminary Rounds:

Team 047 - Duke Law School, USA – Mr. Abraham Smith

Name	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Abraham Smith	047	270.00	274.50	544.50
Rebecca Icenogle	002	274.00	243.00	517.00
Visnja Jovanic	045	252.50	239.00	491.50
Michael O'Mara	047	236.25	254.25	490.50
Justin Kornegay	002	275.50	194.00	469.50
Daniel Herzlin	045	251.25	212.50	463.75
Yujin Kim	006	217.00	234.75	451.75
Robert Freehill	002	256.50	195.00	451.50
Keeley McCarty	006	215.75	234.75	450.50
Cameron Chong	006	222.00	225.25	447.25
Aaron Lukken	029	211.00	223.75	434.75



The European Law Students' Association

vii) Individual – Orator Award for the Semi Final Rounds

Best Orator Semi Final Rounds:

Team 045 - University of Ottawa - Faculty of Common Law, Canada – Mr Daniel Hezlin

Team Members:	Team No:	Total of Oral Pleading Scores
Daniel Herzlin	045	453.75
Eowynne Feeney-Scott	018	450.00
Yujin Kim	006	443.00
Elaz Bahrami	018	432.00
Andrew Christie	045	409.00
Visnja Jovanic	045	401.00
Abraham Smith	047	394.75
Cameron Chong	006	383.75
Jessica Stringer	047	372.25
Keeley McCarty	006	359.25
Michael O'Mara	047	342.75

viii) Individual – Orator Award for the Grand Final Round

Best Orator Grand Final:

Team 006 - American University Washington College of Law, USA – Ms Yujin Kim

Name	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Yujin Kim	006	635.25	0.00	635.25
Sienne Lam	018	0.00	578.25	578.25
Cameron Chong	006	574.00	0.00	574.00
Keeley McCarty	006	557.25	0.00	557.25
Aaron Wolochatiuk	018	0.00	533.50	533.50
Jenna Godfrey	006	0.00	0.00	0.00
Elaz Bahrami	018	0.00	0.00	0.00
Eowynne Feeney-Scott	018	0.00	0.00	0.00



The European Law Students' Association

ix) EMC² Major Sponsorship Prizes Awarded to the North American Regional Round Teams

Two of the EMC² major sponsors, IELPO (University of Barcelona), provided 'educational' prizes for the overall Winner and the Best Overall Written Submissions winner of the Latin American Regional Round.

IELPO (Universitat De Barcelona, Spain) Prize to the Winner of North American Regional Round – Team 006 - American University Washington College of Law, USA

- Voucher for 40 hours of IELPO's specialised courses; or
- An equivalent waiver on the tuition fees for IELPO's LLM (International Economic Law and Policy) Programme to be used during the IELPO 2011-2012 academic year.

**Up to a maximum of 5 students will be accepted for the same specialized course (i.e. four team members and one student coach).*

World Trade Institute (University of Berne, Switzerland) Prize to the Best Overall Written Submissions North American Regional Round - Team 018 - Queen's University - Faculty of Law, Canada

- Voucher for participation in a weekly course of the Master of International Law and Economics (MILE) Programme, held in ; or
- Voucher for participation in a one week course of the WTI's Summer Academy

**Yours sincerely,
Ms. Ieva Zebryte
EMC² LARR & NARR Academic Supervisor**



The European Law Students' Association

The Final Oral Round, Evian, France & Geneva, Switzerland

A) Organisational Aspects

The event was a good result of highly motivated and dedicated Organizing Committee with an international background. The Organizing Committee was formed of members from different ELSA Groups and countries who have been working together for the Final Oral Round and showed a true dedication to ELSA. I would like to thank especially to Mr. Daniel Gardavsky, the President of ELSA Switzerland and Mr. Claudius Krucker, the Head of the International Organizing Secretariat for their efforts and contribution in the event. I also shall mention the limited support from ELSA Geneva due to the exam period of students and would like to thank for their contribution.

i) Logistics

All arrangements for the moot procedures were taken well care of such as the Pleading rooms, the panellists' room, the oral pleading documents both in Evan and in Geneva, including the coffee breaks outside the pleading rooms for the Teams. Considering the fact that the Organizing Committee was fairly small, anything that was not ready, was taken care of in the matter of minutes.

ii) Finances

The event was fully covered by the sponsors of International Organizing Secretariat, the FOR Participation Fee, ELSA Switzerland and kind contributions of some panellists who have not only given their time and expertise but their presence in the FOR. I would like to thank the Swiss Confederation Secretariat for Education and Research (SER), the L.L.M-Rating.com and the Schellenberg Wittmen for their support in the FOR on behalf of the International Organizing Secretariat.

B) Academic Quality of the Final Oral Round

i) Panellists

The advantage of being back in Geneva and region resulted in being able to gather very high qualified pool of Panellists for the FOR. I would like to thank once more not only to all of the Panellists for their valuable time, but also to those who have contributed in the finances of the event with their travel expenses.

- **Mr Werner Zdouc** – Director, Appellate Body Secretariat WTO
- **Mr Alejandro Jara** - Deputy Director General WTO
- **Professor (Dr) Peter Van Den Bossche** - Appellate Body Member WTO
- **Professor (Dr) Gabrielle Marceau** – Counsellor, Legal Affairs Division WTO and Case Review Board Member
- **Ms Victoria Donaldson** – Counsellor, Appellate Body Secretariat WTO and Case Review Board Member
- **Ms Aegyung Jung** - Legal Officer, Legal Affairs Division WTO
- **Mr Jesse Kreier** – Counsellor, Rules Division WTO
- **Ms Fernanda Garza** - Legal Consultant, Rules Division WTO



The European Law Students' Association

- **Ms Jennifer Hamaoui** - Legal Officer, Legal Affairs Division WTO
- **Dr Tomer Broude** - Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Law and Department of International Relations, Hebrew University of Jerusalem and EMC² Joint Case Author
- **Dr Lukasz Gruszczynski** - Assistant Professor, Institute of Legal Studies Policy Academy of Science and EMC² Joint Case Author
- **Professor Mary Hiscock** - Bond University, Gold Coast, Australia
- **Dr Thomas Cottier** - World Trade Institute Berne, Switzerland
- **Dr Christian Häberli** - World Trade Institute Berne, Switzerland
- **Dr Christina Schröder** - Former Counsellor Agriculture Division, Consultant to WTI and ACWL
- **Dr Arthur Appleton** – AppletonLuff Geneva, Switzerland
- **Mr Hannes Schloemann** - WTI Advisors Geneva, Switzerland
- **Dr Christian Pitschas** - WTI Advisors Geneva, Switzerland
- **Ms Hadil Hijazi** - WTI Advisors Geneva, Switzerland
- **Dr. Mohammad Saeed** - Senior Technical Advisor, UNCTAD
- **Mr Serge Pannatier** - Baker McKenzie Geneva, Switzerland
- **Mr Hunter Nottage** – Counsel, Advisory Centre on WTO Law (ACWL) Geneva, Switzerland
- **Ms Cherise M Valles** – Senior Counsel, Advisory Centre on WTO Law (ACWL) Geneva, Switzerland
- **Mr Niall Meagher** - Senior Counsel, Advisory Centre on WTO Law (ACWL) Geneva, Switzerland

ii) Oral Pleading Sessions

The Oral Pleading sessions were held during four days, composed of three Panellists in the Preliminary Rounds, five Panellists in the Quarter-Final and the Semi Final Rounds and nine Panellists in the Grand Final Round. The Panellists were assisted by two Timekeepers in each session. The performance of the teams were advanced after the Regional Rounds and the high performances were acknowledged by the Panellists.

C) Timekeepers

Panellists were assisted by two Timekeepers in each session who were representatives from ELSA International in all sessions. Timers were used which made easier to record the time of the speakers, making in this way easier for the Panellists to know if a speaker had gone over his or her time.

D) Final Oral Round Participating Teams

According to the structure of the ELSA Moot Court Competition, there were teams qualifying from each Regional Round of the competition, however there were no teams in the International Written Round, thus there were only sixteen universities were represented by a team in the FOR.



The European Law Students' Association

i) Teams – Preliminary Rounds

At the conclusion of the Preliminary Rounds the Teams were ranked as follows:

- 1st ranked: Team 011 - University of Melbourne, Australia
- 2nd ranked: Team 015 - Natinal Taiwan University, Taiwan
- 3rd ranked: Team 028 - Univesity of Otago, New Zealand
- 4th ranked: Team 019 - University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- 5th ranked: Team 026 - University of Bern-Law Faculty, Switzerland
- 6th ranked: Team 032 - London School of Economics-Department of Law, United Kingdom
- 7th ranked: Team 018 - Queen's Univeristy, Canada
- 8th ranked: Team 001 - Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
- 9th ranked: Team 021 - Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies, Switzerland
- 10th ranked: Team 039 - Taras Shevchenko Kyiv National University-Faculty of Law, Ukraine
- 11th ranked: Team 043 - Kings College London, United Kingdom
- 12th ranked: Team 036 - Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- 13th ranked: Team 025 - Pontifica Universidad Javeriana, Colombia
- 14th ranked: Team 059 - Maastricht University, The Netherlands
- 15th ranked: Team 006 - American University Washington, United States of America
- 16th ranked: Team 054 - Uniersidad del Rosario, Colombia

Team Number:	Total Complainant Oral pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score (70%) & Written Submission Score (30%)
Team 011	258.50	259.75	468.75
Team 015	243.25	250.75	451.18
Team 028	258.25	241.75	448.40
Team 019	250.50	236.00	440.15
Team 026	242.25	243.50	440.00
Team 032	254.50	240.50	438.75
Team 018	235.75	243.00	439.90
Team 001	235.75	235.25	429.75
Team 021	218.25	265.50	428.10
Team 039	216.25	267.25	427.48
Team 043	232.00	209.50	398.60
Team 036	192.25	227.25	383.73
Team 025	223.75	190.50	377.65
Team 059	199.00	188.25	372.93
Team 006	194.25	170.75	358.93
Team 054	192.75	169.75	341.88



The European Law Students' Association

ii) Teams – Quarter Final Rounds

The four highest scoring Teams in the Quarter Final Rounds qualified to the Semi-Final Rounds. As per the Rules the Teams mooted in the following schedule:

Quarter Final #1: Team 028 vs Team 018

Quarter Final #2: Team 019 vs Team 026

Quarter Final #3: Team 001 vs Team 011

Quarter Final #4: Team 015 vs Team 032

Team No:	Total Complainant Team Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Team Oral Pleading Score	Total Oral Pleading Score
Quarter Final No. 1			
Team 028	425.5	0	425.5
Team 018	0	428	428
Quarter Final No. 2			
Team 019	393.75	0	393.75
Team 26	0	410.25	410.25
Quarter Final No. 3			
Team 001	414.75	0	414.75
Team 011	0	428.75	428.75
Quarter Final No. 4			
Team 015	429.75	0	429.75
Team 032	0	450.25	450.25

iii) Teams – Semi Final Rounds

As per the Rules, Teams mooted per the following schedule:

Semi Final #1: Team 032 vs Team 011

Semi Final #2: Team 015 vs Team 018

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Semi-Final No. 1			
Team 032	406.50	0.00	406.50
Team 011	0.00	446.00	446.00
Semi-Final No. 2			
Team 015	469.75	0.00	469.75
Team 018	0.00	463.50	463.50



The European Law Students' Association

iv) Teams – Grand Final Round

Grand Final: Team 011 vs Team 015 = Team 011

**The Grand Final Round consisted of 9 Panellists*

Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Team 011	846.50	0.00	846.50
Team 015	0.00	808.75	808.75

v) Teams – Overall Awards

Participation Certificates were distributed to all teams participated in the Final Oral Round as well as the Panellists. Certificates were also awarded for the following:

The ELSA Spirit Award:

Team 018 - Queen's University, Canada

Winner of the Final Oral Round:

Team 011 - University of Melbourne, Australia

Runner-up of the Final Oral Round:

Team 015 - National Taiwan University, Taiwan

vi) Teams – Written Submission Awards

Best Complainant Written Submission:

Team 059 - Maastricht University, The Netherlands

Best Respondent Written Submission:

Team 018 - Queen's University, Canada

Best Overall Written Submission:

Team 011 - University of Melbourne, Australia

vii) Individual – Orator Award for the Preliminary Rounds

As per Rule 8.1.6.2 to be eligible for the Best Orator Award, a participant must plead both as Complainant and Respondent.

Best Orator Preliminary Rounds:

Team 021 - Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies, Switzerland – Ms. Mikella Hurley



The European Law Students' Association

Name:	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total Overall Oral Pleading Score
Mikella Hurley	Team 021	264.50	273.00	537.50
Glyn Ayres	Team 011	256.75	261.00	517.75
Daniel Ari Baker	Team 011	251.25	261.00	512.25
Ada Siqueira	Team 032	261.25	246.50	507.75
Emma Foley	Team 028	253.75	253.25	507.00
Christy Burzio	Team 043	249.75	250.00	499.75
Wan Kei Kam	Team 019	245.50	254.00	499.50
Zila Milupi	Team 032	246.25	252.50	498.75
Brierly Broad	Team 028	253.75	241.50	495.25
Kseniia Pogruzhska	Team 039	212.75	279.50	492.25
Sara Maria Enstedt	Team 026	243.75	241.75	485.50
Aiste Dumbryte	Team 001	253.00	230.00	483.00
Jeremy Stewart	Team 028	251.50	227.50	479.00
Valeriia Tryfonova	Team 039	225.00	250.75	475.75
Zi Yun Sonia Lor	Team 019	253.25	214.75	468.00
Kimberley Chan	Team 059	246.00	216.25	462.25
Gbemiro Igunnubole	Team 043	240.50	218.25	458.75
Justas Randis	Team 001	237.50	220.00	457.50
Chih-Wei Hsu	Team 015	229.25	228.25	457.50



The European Law Students' Association

Pin-Hsien Lee	Team 015	235.50	210.75	446.25
Amy Wan	Team 032	219.00	225.50	444.50
Sofia Saouridou	Team 036	196.75	247.00	443.75
Maura Ada Iliuteanu	Team 021	193.25	248.00	441.25
Karolis Gudas	Team 001	207.50	221.50	429.00
Konstantinos Voulgarakis	Team 036	185.50	240.00	425.50
Sebastian Mantilla	Team 025	236.25	178.75	415.00
Elli Resvani	Team 036	175.00	228.00	403.00
Dariusz Szulc	Team 059	199.00	193.00	392.00
Juan Daniel Londoño Tabares	Team 054	214.75	161.25	376.00
Keeley McCarty	Team 006	187.50	180.75	368.25
Cameron C. Chong	Team 006	191.25	171.25	362.50
Juan Felipe Ortiz Quijano	Team 054	200.00	159.50	359.50

viii) Individual – Orator Award for the Semi Final Rounds

Best Orator Semi Final Rounds:

Team 015 - National Taiwan University, Taiwan – Mr. Pin-Hsien Lee

Names:	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Chih-Wei Hsu	Team 015	476.00	0,00	476.00
Sienne Lam	Team 018	0,00	470.00	470.00
Glyn Ayres	Team 011	0,00	460.50	460.50



The European Law Students' Association

Pin-Hsien Lee	Team 015	458.50	0,00	458.50
Aaron Wolochatiuk	Team 018	0,00	455.50	455.50
Rebecca Koh	Team 011	0,00	436.75	436.75
Philip Aitken	Team 011	0,00	422.00	422.00
Zila Milupi	Team 032	398.50	0,00	398.50
Amy Wan	Team 032	396.25	0,00	396.25
Ada Siqueira	Team 032	377.50	0,00	377.50

ix) Individual – Orator Award for the Grand Final Round

Best Orator Grand Final:

Team 011 - University of Melbourne, Australia – Mr. Glyn Ayres

Names:	Team No:	Total Complainant Oral Pleading Score	Total Respondent Oral Pleading Score	Total of Oral Pleading Score
Glyn Ayres	Team 11	858.75	0.00	858.75
Daniel Ari Baker	Team 11	823.25	0.00	823.25
Philip Aitken	Team 11	792.75	0.00	792.75
Chih-Wei Hsu	Team 15	0.00	776.50	776.50
Pin-Hsien Lee	Team 15	0.00	775.50	775.50



The European Law Students' Association

x) EMC² Major Sponsorship Prizes awarded to the Final Oral Round Teams

Two of the EMC² major sponsors provided “educational” prizes for the overall Winner and the Runner-up and the Best Orator of the Preliminary Rounds winners of the Final Oral Round.

World Trade Institute (University of Bern, Switzerland) Prize to the Winner of the 9th Edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition: Team 011 – University of Melbourne, Australia

- Voucher for participation in the five-week World Trade Institute Summer Academy 2011 on International Trade Regulation.

IELPO (Universitat de Barcelona, Spain) Prize to the Runner-up Team of the 9th Edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition: Team 015 - National Taiwan University, Taiwan

- Voucher for 80 hours of IELPO's specialised courses; or
- An equivalent waiver on the tuition fees for IELPO's LLM (International Economic Law and Policy) Programme to be used during the IELPO 2011-2012 academic year

IELPO (Universitat de Barcelona, Spain) Prize to the Best Orator of the Preliminary Rounds winner of the 9th Edition of the ELSA Moot Court Competition: Team 021 - Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies – Mikella Hurley

- Voucher for 40 hours of IELPO's specialised courses; or
- An equivalent waiver on the tuition fees for IELPO's LLM (International Economic Law and Policy) Programme to be used during the IELPO 2011-2012 academic year

Yours sincerely

Zekiye Ezgi Kilinc

Vice President Academic Activities

ELSA International 2010/2011

and

Head of the Organising Committee

ELSA Moot Court Competition on WTO Law 2010/2011

E) EMC² Academic Conference

In August 2010 the ELSA Network commenced addressing the highly topical subject of Health Law, through legal education in the form of Conferences and Seminars. ELSA will continue to focus on this subject matter until 2013 in connection with its International Focus Programme (IFP).

In-keeping with ELSA International's objective to promote legal education, a half day Academic Conference titled, “Science and the WTO Dispute Settlement Mechanism”. The EMC² Academic



The European Law Students' Association

Conference sought to address one section of the vast subject of Health Law. That is, it addressed the important issue of the use of scientific evidence in international trade law cases in the WTO Dispute Settlement process. The Conference was held at the Université de Genève, Switzerland on Friday, 27th May 2011. ELSA International would like to thank the Dean of the Faculty of Law, Professor Christian Bovet Doyen, for permitting the Academic Conference to be held at the Université de Genève and for taking time from his busy schedule to officially opening the Conference and welcoming the Participants and Panellist to the event.

A Moderator facilitated the EMC² Academic Conference as it was an informal session consisting of a number of Panellists. The Moderator first posed questions to the Panellist and then invited Participants and Academic Advisors to further question the Panellist. The Conference Panellist included one of the EMC² 2010-2011 Case Authors, as well as members of academia and international organisations – all who have experience in WTO dispute settlement cases involving scientific evidence, thereby giving the students a rare opportunity to interact with experts in the field of International Trade Law:

ELSA International would like to thank all the experts who served as Panellist of the EMC² Academic Conference:

- i) **Professor (Dr) Gabrielle Marceau** – Professor of International Economic Law, Université de Genève, Faculty of Law and Legal Counsellor, WTO Legal Affairs Division and Member of EMC² Case Review Board.
- ii) **Dr Erick Wijkstrom** – Counsellor, WTO Trade and Environment Division - a world expert on Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) and Sanitary and Phytosanitary (SPS) matters and the associated WTO Agreements on the subject matter.
- iii) **Dr Tomer Broude** – EMC² Case Author and Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Law and Department of International Relations, Hebrew University of Jerusalem.
- iv) **Dr Christian Häberli** – Senior Research Fellow, World Trade Institute University of Berne, Switzerland and Panel Chairperson of WTO *EC-Biotech* case (the most important SPS Case in WTO jurisprudence).
- v) **Dr Mohammed Saeed**– Senior Technical Advisor UNCTAD, and Panellist in the *US-Poultry (China)* (an SPS case) and Panel Chairperson *US-Shrimp (Vietnam)* (an Anti-dumping Case) and medical doctor, lawyer and former trade diplomat with the Pakistan Mission to the WTO.
- vi) **Dr Christian Schroeder** - Former Counsellor - Agriculture Division (GATT and WTO) involved in numerous dispute settlement panels such as the *EC-Bananas* and *EC-Sugar* cases. Currently, consultant to various international organizations and associations including, FAO, WTI and ACWL.

ELSA International would like to specifically thank Emeritus Professor Mary E. Hiscock – Bond University, Australia for agreeing to serve as the Conference Moderator. Professor Hiscock was the first female legal academic in Australia and in 2011 celebrates 50 years as a professional legal educator. Her Australian academic life has been interspersed with visiting appointments in Europe, Asia and North America and on several occasions she has represented Australia at the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) as well as at the GATT. In addition, she has been a Fellow of the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law, Heidelberg. Professor Hiscock is also an Expert Adviser to the European



The European Law Students' Association

Bank for Reconstruction and Development and was for many years a consultant to the Asian Development Bank. She continues to be a member of the Editorial Boards of the Australian Journal of Asian Law and of the Melbourne Journal of International Law and she is the immediate past Chair of the International Law Section of the Law Council of Australia and a past President of the Academy of Commercial and Consumer Law.

Professor Hiscock has also been involved with ELSA since the late 1990's when ELSA students from Norway, Sweden and Denmark chose to study international trade law with her at Bond University. ELSA appointed Professor Hiscock as the first non-ELSA EMC² Regional Round Administrator in 2004 and since this time has judged the Orals and Written Submissions of the Asia-Pacific Regional Rounds as well as the 2009 Final Oral Round in Taipei.

The Academic Conference would not have been possible without the generous assistance of Professor (Dr) Gabrielle Marceau who has been a long time supporter of ELSA and the EMC². Professor Marceau graciously agreed to assist the Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor in organising the Conference by arranging for the use of the forum at the Université de Genève, suggested and encouraged WTO Legal Experts to serve as Panellist and made a number of use comments regarding the development of Panellist questions. In addition, Professor Marceau personally hosted an after Conference Reception for the Participants and Panellist at the Université de Genève. Professor Marceau, ELSA is truly enriched for having such a staunch and generous supporter – thank you.

Last, but not least, ELSA would like to thank Professor Marceau's assistant, Mr Julian Wyatt and the members of the ELSA Genève for setting-up the Conference venue, escorting the Panellist and Participants through the complex, serving drinks at the Conference Reception and generally making ELSA International and the Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor's job so much easier by taking care of all the small, but important details.

Yours sincerely

Mrs Letizia Raschella-Sergi

Asia-Pacific Academic Supervisor

Z. Ezgi Kilinc

Vice President Academic Activities

ELSA International 2010/2011

ELSA International

239, Boulevard Général Jacques

B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

Phone: +32-2-646-2626 Fax: +32-2-646-2923

E-mail: elsa@elsa.org Website: www.elsa.org

